

Local Jobs and Payroll in Wyoming in Second Quarter 2014: Construction Leads Job Growth

by: David Bullard, Senior Economist

tables by: Nancy Brennan, Senior Economist

The purpose of this article is to illustrate and describe employment and payroll changes between second quarter 2013 and second quarter 2014. These economic changes help gauge the overall strength of Wyoming's economy and identify the fastest and slowest growing sectors and geographic areas.

otal unemployment insurance (UI) covered payroll increased by \$148.5 million (4.8%) in second quarter 2014. Employment rose by 4,562 jobs (1.6%) and average weekly wage increased by \$26 (3.1%). In second quarter, employment (1.6% compared to 0.6%), total wages (4.8% compared to 3.2%), and average weekly wage (3.1%compared to 2.6%; see Table 1, page 3) all grew faster than their five year averages. In terms of dollars, UI covered payroll represents approximately 91.5% of all wage and salary disbursements and 43.8% of personal income in the state (U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis, 2014). Analysts have noted that "minerals related

employment is one of the key predictors of sales and use tax revenue" in Wyoming (CREG 2010).

Despite the recent growth, overall employment remained approximately 1,500 jobs (0.1%) below its second quarter 2008 level. It appears that the state is getting close to making up all the job losses of 2009 and 2010.

Recently, Research & Planning (R&P) published a report that uses employment and wage data to identify labor shortages within the construction industry and

(Text continued on page 3)

HIGHLIGHTS

- Economic conditions before and after the first spell of unemployment played a significant role in determining length of future unemployment insurance benefit collection. ... page 13
- Benefits paid by Wyoming unemployment insurance fell 20.6% from November 2013 to November 2014, suggesting improvement in the state's labor market. page 32

Unemployment Rate by Wyoming County, November 2014 (Not Seasonally Adjusted)



IN THIS ISSUE

Wyoming Labor Force Trends

A monthly publication of the Wyoming Department of Workforce Services, Joan Evans, Director

Research & Planning P.O. Box 2760 Casper, WY 82602-2760 dws-researchplanning@wyo.gov 307-473-3807

> Tom Gallagher, Manager Tony Glover, Workforce Information Supervisor

Carola Cowan, Bureau of Labor Statistics Programs Supervisor

Phil Ellsworth, Editor Michael Moore, Associate Editor

Media Contact: Michele Holmes, 307-473-3819

Editorial Committee: David Bullard, Valerie A. Davis, Phil Ellsworth, Michele Holmes, and Michael Moore

Contributors to *Wyoming Labor Force Trends* this month: Nancy Brennan, David Bullard, Carola Cowan, and Patrick Harris.

Subscriptions, additional copies, and back issues available free of charge. © Copyright 2015 by the Wyoming Department of Workforce Services, Research & Planning.

Material contained in this publication is in the public domain and may be reproduced without special permission provided that source credit is given to: **Wyoming Labor Force Trends,** Wyoming Department of Workforce Services, Research & Planning

Department of Workforce Services Nondiscrimination Statement

The Department of Workforce Services does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, sex, age, or disability. It is our intention that all individuals seeking services from our agency be given equal opportunity and that eligibility decisions be based upon applicable statutes, rules, and regulations.



(Text continued from page 1)

construction occupations (Faler & Moore, 2014). It defined a labor shortage as a situation where real wages (wages adjusted for inflation) increase. The report used data from 2009 to 2013 and concluded that within Wyoming's construction sector, labor shortages exist for a number of occupations, including crane & tower operators; drywall & ceiling tile installers; electrical powerline installers & repairers; electricians; excavating & loading machine & dragline operators; heating, air conditioning, & refrigeration mechanics; insulation workers; mechanical, operating engineers & other construction equipment operators; and telecommunications line installers & repairers.

The covered payroll and employment data in this article are tabulated by place of work, in contrast to the labor force estimates (see page 33) which are a measure of employed and unemployed persons by place of residence. Also, the employment data presented in this article represent a count of jobs, not persons. When individuals work more than Table 1: Percentage Change in Wyoming Covered Employment andWages for Second Quarter 2010 (2010Q2) to Second Quarter 2014(2014Q2)

	Average Monthly Employment Percentage Change Over the Previous		Total Wages Percentage Change Over the Previous		Average Weekly Wage Percentage Change Over the Previous	
	Year	Quarter	Year	Quarter	Year	Quarter
2010Q2	-1.7	4.7	1.1	6.7	2.9	1.9
2011Q2	0.8	4.4	4.7	5.9	3.9	1.5
2012Q2	2.2	4.1	4.8	2.8	2.6	-1.3
2013Q2	0.2	4.0	0.6	2.3	0.4	-1.7
2014Q2 ^a	1.6	4.5	4.8	3.8	3.1	-0.7
Five-Year	0.6	4.3	3.2	4.3	2.6	-0.1
Average						
for Q2						
^a Preliminary						

Source: Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages, developed through a cooperative program between Research & Planning and the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics. Extract date: October 2014.

one job, each job is counted separately. Finally, job growth (or decline) is stated in terms of net change. The Quarterly Turnover Statistics by Industry table (see page 27) presents alternative measures of job gains and losses using the same data sources and calculated to describe the components of change.

Wages and salaries are only one part of personal income. Table 2 (see page 4) shows net earnings; dividends, interest, and rent; and transfer receipts as percentages of personal income for the U.S. and the 50 states. Net earnings include wages, salaries, proprietor's income, and supplements to wages & salaries, such as employerpaid benefits. More than half of personal income in every state comes from net earnings.

Dividends, interest, and rent is the next largest component of personal income in the United States (18.9%). The percentage of personal income from dividends, interest, and rent varies widely across the 50 states, ranging from 14.2% in West Virginia to 28.5% in Wyoming. Differences across states in this percentage may reflect residence patterns of wealthy individuals who rely on income from assets that they own. Additionally, income from dividends, interest, and rent might be

Table 2: Componen	ts of Personal Incon	ne for the U.S. and	States, 2013
_	Net Earnings by	Dividends,	Personal Current
State	Place of Residence	Interest, & Rent	Transfer Receipts
United States	64.1%	18.9%	17.1%
Alabama	60.7%	16.9%	22.4%
Alaska	67.7%	18.1%	14.1%
Arizona	61.6%	18.4%	20.0%
Arkansas	56.5%	20.7%	22.8%
California	64.5%	20.3%	15.1%
Colorado	66.4%	20.8%	12.8%
Connecticut	64.4%	22.0%	13.6%
Delaware	63.2%	17.4%	19.4%
District of Columbia	70.9%	17.0%	12.1%
Florida	53.6%	26.6%	19.8%
Georgia	65.8%	16.7%	17.5%
Hawaii	63.4%	21.1%	15.5%
Idaho	61.1%	20.5%	18.4%
Illinois	66.1%	18.6%	15.3%
Indiana	65.3%	15.3%	19.4%
lowa	66.0%	17.8%	16.2%
Kansas	65.5%	19.3%	15.3%
Kentucky	61.1%	15.9%	23.0%
Louisiana	63.7%	17.1%	19.1%
Maine	59.5%	17.4%	23.0%
Maryland	67.6%	19.3%	13.1%
Massachusetts	66.0%	19.1%	14.9%
Michigan	61.9%	16.5%	21.6%
Minnesota	66.0%	18.3%	15.7%
Mississippi	60.4%	14.6%	25.0%
Missouri	62.4%	18.2%	19.4%
Montana	58.1%	23.5%	18.4%
Nebraska	67.0%	19.1%	13.9%
Nevada	61.8%	22.6%	15.6%
New Hampshire	66.7%	19.2%	14.1%
New Jersey	67.4%	18.3%	14.3%
New Mexico	60.0%	18.5%	21.5%
New York	63.3%	19.3%	17.3%
North Carolina	63.2%	17.1%	19.7%
North Dakota	67.9%	19.7%	12.4%
Ohio	64.8%	15.5%	19.7%
Oklahoma	64.4%	17.5%	18.1%
Oregon	60.7%	19.7%	19.6%
Pennsylvania	63.4%	17.5%	19.1%
Rhode Island	62.4%	18.4%	19.3%
South Carolina	61.0%	16.8%	22.2%
South Dakota	63.9%	21.7%	14.4%
Tennessee	65.0%	14.3%	20.7%
Texas	69.1%	16.4%	14 5%
Utah	68.3%	18.1%	13.6%
Vermont	60.6%	19.2%	20.2%
Virginia	66.2%	20.5%	13.2%
Washington	63.7%	21.0%	15 3%
West Virginia	59.0%	14.2%	26.8%
Wisconsin	65.0%	18.0%	17.0%
Wyoming	59.2%	28.5%	12.3%
Source: State Income	and Employment Sur	nmary US Bureau of	f Economic Analysis

higher for older individuals, who have had more time to accumulate wealth. Finally, the underlying financial assets which produce dividends, interest, and rent may be located anywhere, but the income they generate is reported in the state where the owner resides.

Transfer receipts include Social Security, Medicare, Medicaid, unemployment insurance, and veteran's benefits. In the United States, transfer receipts make up 17.1% of personal income. Similar to dividends, interest, and rent, the percentage of personal income from transfer receipts varies across states. In two states (West Virginia, 26.8%; and Mississippi, 25.0%), transfer receipts account for one-fourth of personal income. In the District of Columbia (12.1%), Wyoming (12.3%), and North Dakota (12.4%), only one-eighth of personal income comes from transfer receipts.

The data presented in Table 2 do not address the question of the distribution of personal income across the population. The fact that a relatively high percentage of personal income in some states comes from dividends, interest, and rent does not necessarily suggest that such income is widely distributed across individuals. It is possible that a relatively small group of individuals receive a significant portion of that income. Similarly, per capita personal income is calculated by simply dividing total personal income by the population. By itself, that number does not show how income is shared by different individuals or households.

Table 3 shows the states ranked by their average weekly wage. There are many factors that affect average weekly wage at the state level, such as the industry distribution within a state, the average educational attainment of workers, and the mix of part-time and full-time jobs. It is also possible that differences in cost of living across states affect the average wage level. Wyoming's average weekly wage in 2013 was \$865, which was higher than all neighboring states except Colorado (\$978).

Figure 1 shows Wyoming wage & salary employment by covered/non-covered status. Approximately 92% of wage & salary jobs in the state are covered by state unemployment insurance, while 2.6% of jobs are covered by federal unemployment insurance, and 0.9% are covered by unemployment insurance administered by the railroad retirement board. There are several categories of non-covered jobs, and together they account for approximately 5% of wage & salary jobs in the state. Some examples of non-covered employment include elected officials, students working at educational institutions, employees of churches, and workers at small non-profit organizations.

As seen in Figure 2 (see page 6), job growth accelerated from 0.2% in second quarter 2013 to 1.6% in second quarter 2014. Growth in total payroll also increased over that same period (see Table 4, page 6).



Figure 1: Wyoming Wage & Salary Employment by Covered/Non-Covered Status, March 2011

Table 3: States Ranked by AverageWeekly Wage, 2013

StateWageDistrict of Columbia\$1,597New York1,213Connecticut1,199Massachusetts1,188New Jersey1,144California1,098Maryland1,039Washington1,020Illinois1,011Delaware1,001Virginia998Alaska992Texas985Colorado978Minnesota964Pennsylvania944New Hampshire942North Dakota919Rhode Island918Michigan906Georgia899Arizona883Oregon866Wyoming865Ohio859Nevada848Tennessee848Louisiana846Hawaii843North Carolina842Florida839Missouri828Wisconsin823Oklahoma816Alabama813Vermont809Utah804Indiana801Kansas799Iowa791New Mexico785Kentucky784West Virginia773Nebraska769South Carolina765Maine755Maine755Arkansas749Montana723South Dakota716Idaho708Mississ	weekiy wage, 2013	Average Weekly
District of Columbia \$1,597 New York 1,213 Connecticut 1,199 Massachusetts 1,188 New Jersey 1,144 California 1,098 Maryland 1,039 Washington 1,020 Illinois 1,011 Delaware 1,001 Virginia 998 Alaska 992 Texas 985 Colorado 978 Minnesota 964 Pennsylvania 944 New Hampshire 942 North Dakota 919 Rhode Island 918 Michigan 906 Georgia 899 Arizona 883 Oregon 866 Wyoming 865 Ohio 859 Nevada 848 Tennessee 848 Louisiana 846 Hawaii 843 North Carolina 816	State	Wage
New York 1,213 Connecticut 1,199 Massachusetts 1,188 New Jersey 1,144 California 1,098 Maryland 1,039 Washington 1,020 Illinois 1,011 Delaware 1,001 Virginia 998 Alaska 992 Texas 985 Colorado 978 Minnesota 964 Pennsylvania 944 New Hampshire 942 North Dakota 919 Rhode Island 918 Michigan 906 Georgia 899 Arizona 883 Oregon 866 Wyoming 865 Ohio 859 Nevada 848 Louisiana 846 Havaii 843 North Carolina 842 Florida 839 Missouri 828 Wisconsin 823 Oklahoma 816	District of Columbia	\$1,597
Connecticut 1,199 Massachusetts 1,188 New Jersey 1,144 California 1,098 Maryland 1,039 Washington 1,020 Illinois 1,011 Delaware 1,001 Virginia 998 Alaska 992 Texas 985 Colorado 978 Minnesota 964 Pennsylvania 944 New Hampshire 942 North Dakota 919 Rhode Island 918 Michigan 906 Georgia 899 Arizona 883 Oregon 866 Wyoming 865 Ohio 859 Nevada 848 Tennessee 848 Louisiana 846 Hawaii 843 North Carolina 842 Florida 839 Missouri 828 Wisconsin 823 Oklahoma 816	New York	1,213
Massachusetts 1,188 New Jersey 1,144 California 1,098 Maryland 1,039 Washington 1,020 Illinois 1,011 Delaware 1,001 Virginia 998 Alaska 992 Texas 985 Colorado 978 Minnesota 964 Pennsylvania 944 New Hampshire 942 North Dakota 919 Rhode Island 918 Michigan 906 Georgia 899 Arizona 883 Oregon 866 Wyoming 865 Ohio 859 Nevada 848 Tennessee 848 Louisiana 846 Hawaii 843 North Carolina 842 Florida 839 Missouri 828 Wisconsin 823 Oklahoma 816 Alabama 813 <td< td=""><td>Connecticut</td><td>1,199</td></td<>	Connecticut	1,199
New Jersey1,144California1,098Maryland1,039Washington1,020Illinois1,011Delaware1,001Virginia998Alaska992Texas985Colorado978Minnesota964Pennsylvania944New Hampshire942North Dakota919Rhode Island918Michigan906Georgia899Arizona883Oregon866Wyoming865Ohio859Nevada848Tennessee848Louisiana846Hawaii843North Carolina842Florida839Missouri828Wisconsin823Oklahoma816Alabama813Vermont809Utah804Indiana801Kansas799Iowa791New Mexico785Kentucky784West Virginia773Nebraska769South Carolina765Maine755Arkansas749Montana723South Dakota716Idaho708Mississippi701Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics,Quarterly Census of Employment andWages.	Massachusetts	1,188
California 1,098 Maryland 1,039 Washington 1,020 Illinois 1,011 Delaware 1,001 Virginia 998 Alaska 992 Texas 985 Colorado 978 Minnesota 964 Pennsylvania 944 New Hampshire 942 North Dakota 919 Rhode Island 918 Michigan 906 Georgia 899 Arizona 883 Oregon 866 Wyoming 865 Ohio 859 Nevada 848 Tennessee 848 Louisiana 844 Hawaii 843 Visconsin 823 Oklahoma 816 Alabama 813 Vermont 809 Utah 804 Indiana 801 Kansas 799 Iowa 751 Nethraska 76	New Jersey	1,144
Maryland 1,039 Washington 1,020 Illinois 1,011 Delaware 1,001 Virginia 998 Alaska 992 Texas 985 Colorado 978 Minnesota 964 Pennsylvania 944 New Hampshire 942 North Dakota 919 Rhode Island 918 Michigan 906 Georgia 899 Arizona 883 Oregon 865 Ohio 859 Nevada 848 Tennessee 848 Louisiana 846 Hawaii 843 North Carolina 842 Florida 839 Missouri 828 Wisconsin 823 Oklahoma 816 Alabama 813 Vermont 809 Utah 804 Indiana 801 Kansas 799 Iowa 7	California	1,098
Washington 1,020 Illinois 1,011 Delaware 1,001 Virginia 998 Alaska 992 Texas 985 Colorado 978 Minnesota 964 Pennsylvania 944 New Hampshire 942 North Dakota 919 Rhode Island 918 Michigan 906 Georgia 899 Arizona 883 Oregon 866 Wyoming 865 Ohio 859 Nevada 848 Tennessee 848 Louisiana 846 Hawaii 843 North Carolina 842 Florida 839 Missouri 828 Wisconsin 823 Oklahoma 816 Alabama 813 Vermont 809 Utah 804 Kansas 799	Maryland	1,039
Illinois 1,011 Delaware 1,001 Virginia 998 Alaska 992 Texas 985 Colorado 978 Minnesota 964 Pennsylvania 944 New Hampshire 942 North Dakota 919 Rhode Island 918 Michigan 906 Georgia 899 Arizona 883 Oregon 866 Wyoming 865 Ohio 859 Nevada 848 Tennessee 848 Louisiana 846 Hawaii 843 North Carolina 842 Florida 839 Missouri 828 Wisconsin 823 Oklahoma 816 Alabama 813 Vermont 809 Utah 804 Indiana 801 Kansas 799 Iowa 791 <tr td=""> 804</tr>	Washington	1,020
Delaware1,001Virginia998Alaska992Texas985Colorado978Minnesota964Pennsylvania944New Hampshire942North Dakota919Rhode Island918Michigan906Georgia899Arizona883Oregon866Wyoming865Ohio859Nevada848Tennessee848Louisiana846Hawaii843North Carolina842Florida839Missouri823Oklahoma816Alabama813Vermont809Utah804Indiana801Kansas799Iowa791New Mexico785Kentucky784West Virginia773Nebraska769South Carolina765Maine755Arkansas749Montana723South Dakota716Idaho708Mississippi701Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics, Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages.	Illinois	1,011
Virginia998Alaska992Texas985Colorado978Minnesota964Pennsylvania944New Hampshire942North Dakota919Rhode Island918Michigan906Georgia899Arizona883Oregon866Wyoming865Ohio859Nevada848Tennessee848Louisiana846Hawaii843North Carolina842Florida839Missouri828Wisconsin823Oklahoma816Alabama813Vermont809Utah804Indiana801Kansas799Iowa791New Mexico785Kentucky784West Virginia773Nebraska769South Carolina765Maine755Arkansas749Montana723South Dakota716Idaho708Missisippi701Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics, Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages.	Delaware	1,001
Alaska 992 Texas 985 Colorado 978 Minnesota 964 Pennsylvania 944 New Hampshire 942 North Dakota 919 Rhode Island 918 Michigan 906 Georgia 899 Arizona 883 Oregon 866 Wyoming 865 Ohio 859 Nevada 848 Tennessee 848 Louisiana 846 Hawaii 843 North Carolina 842 Florida 839 Missouri 828 Wisconsin 823 Oklahoma 816 Alabama 813 Vermont 809 Utah 804 Indiana 801 Kansas 799 Iowa 791 New Mexico 785 Kentucky 784 West Virginia 773 Nebraska 769 </td <td>Virginia</td> <td>998</td>	Virginia	998
Texas 985 Colorado 978 Minnesota 964 Pennsylvania 944 New Hampshire 942 North Dakota 919 Rhode Island 918 Michigan 906 Georgia 899 Arizona 883 Oregon 866 Wyoming 865 Ohio 859 Nevada 848 Tennessee 848 Louisiana 846 Hawaii 843 North Carolina 842 Florida 839 Missouri 828 Wisconsin 823 Oklahoma 816 Alabama 813 Vermont 809 Utah 804 Indiana 801 Kansas 799 Iowa 791 New Mexico 785 Kentucky 784 West Virginia 773 Nebraska 769 South Carolina <	Alaska	992
Colorado 978 Minnesota 964 Pennsylvania 944 New Hampshire 942 North Dakota 919 Rhode Island 918 Michigan 906 Georgia 899 Arizona 883 Oregon 866 Wyoming 865 Ohio 859 Nevada 848 Tennessee 848 Louisiana 846 Hawaii 843 North Carolina 842 Florida 839 Missouri 828 Wisconsin 823 Oklahoma 816 Alabama 813 Vermont 809 Utah 804 Indiana 801 Kansas 799 Iowa 791 New Mexico 785 Kentucky 784 West Virginia 773 Nebraska 769 South Carolina 765 Maine <	Texas	985
Minnesota 964 Pennsylvania 944 New Hampshire 942 North Dakota 919 Rhode Island 918 Michigan 906 Georgia 899 Arizona 883 Oregon 866 Wyoming 865 Ohio 859 Nevada 848 Tennessee 848 Louisiana 846 Hawaii 843 North Carolina 842 Florida 839 Missouri 828 Wisconsin 823 Oklahoma 816 Alabama 813 Vermont 809 Utah 804 Indiana 801 Kansas 799 Iowa 791 New Mexico 785 Kentucky 784 West Virginia 773 Nebraska 769 South Carolina 765 Maine 755 Arkansas <	Colorado	978
Pennsylvania 944 New Hampshire 942 North Dakota 919 Rhode Island 918 Michigan 906 Georgia 899 Arizona 883 Oregon 866 Wyoming 865 Ohio 859 Nevada 848 Tennessee 848 Louisiana 846 Hawaii 843 North Carolina 842 Florida 839 Missouri 828 Wisconsin 823 Oklahoma 816 Alabama 813 Vermont 809 Utah 804 Indiana 801 Kansas 799 Iowa 791 New Mexico 785 Kentucky 784 West Virginia 773 Nebraska 769 South Carolina 765 Maine 755 Arkansas 749 Montana <td< td=""><td>Minnesota</td><td>964</td></td<>	Minnesota	964
New Hampshire 942 North Dakota 919 Rhode Island 918 Michigan 906 Georgia 899 Arizona 883 Oregon 866 Wyoming 865 Ohio 859 Nevada 848 Tennessee 848 Louisiana 846 Hawaii 843 North Carolina 842 Florida 839 Missouri 828 Wisconsin 823 Oklahoma 816 Alabama 813 Vermont 809 Utah 804 Indiana 801 Kansas 799 Iowa 791 New Mexico 785 Kentucky 784 West Virginia 773 Nebraska 769 South Carolina 765 Maine 755 Arkansas 749	Pennsylvania	944
North Dakota919Rhode Island918Michigan906Georgia899Arizona883Oregon866Wyoming865Ohio859Nevada848Tennessee848Louisiana846Hawaii843North Carolina842Florida839Missouri828Wisconsin823Oklahoma816Alabama813Vermont809Utah801Kansas799Iowa791New Mexico785Kentucky784West Virginia773Nebraska769South Carolina765Maine755Arkansas749Montana723South Dakota716Idaho708Mississippi701Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics, Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages.	New Hampshire	942
Rhode Island918Michigan906Georgia899Arizona883Oregon866Wyoming865Ohio859Nevada848Tennessee848Louisiana846Hawaii843North Carolina842Florida839Missouri828Wisconsin823Oklahoma816Alabama813Vermont809Utah801Kansas799Iowa791New Mexico785Kentucky784West Virginia773Nebraska769South Carolina765Maine755Arkansas749Montana723South Dakota716Idaho708Mississippi701Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics, Quarterly Census of Employment and ware	North Dakota	919
Michigan906Georgia899Arizona883Oregon866Wyoming865Ohio859Nevada848Tennessee848Louisiana846Hawaii843North Carolina842Florida839Missouri828Wisconsin823Oklahoma816Alabama813Vermont809Utah804Indiana801Kansas799Iowa791New Mexico785Kentucky784West Virginia773Nebraska769South Carolina765Maine755Arkansas749Montana723South Dakota716Idaho708Mississippi701Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics, Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages.	Rhode Island	918
Georgia899Arizona883Oregon866Wyoming865Ohio859Nevada848Tennessee848Louisiana846Hawaii843North Carolina842Florida839Missouri828Wisconsin823Oklahoma816Alabama813Vermont809Utah801Kansas799Iowa791New Mexico785Kentucky784West Virginia773Nebraska769South Carolina765Maine755Arkansas749Montana723South Dakota716Idaho708Mississippi701Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics, Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages.	Michigan	906
Arizona883Oregon866Wyoming865Ohio859Nevada848Tennessee848Louisiana846Hawaii843North Carolina842Florida839Missouri828Wisconsin823Oklahoma816Alabama813Vermont809Utah801Kansas799Iowa791New Mexico785Kentucky784West Virginia773Nebraska769South Carolina765Maine755Arkansas749Montana723South Dakota716Idaho708Mississippi701Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics, Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages.	Georgia	899
Oregon866Wyoming865Ohio859Nevada848Tennessee848Louisiana846Hawaii843North Carolina842Florida839Missouri828Wisconsin823Oklahoma816Alabama813Vermont809Utah801Kansas799Iowa791New Mexico785Kentucky784West Virginia773Nebraska769South Carolina765Maine755Arkansas749Montana723South Dakota716Idaho708Mississippi701Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics, Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages.	Arizona	883
Wyoming865Ohio859Nevada848Tennessee848Louisiana846Hawaii843North Carolina842Florida839Missouri828Wisconsin823Oklahoma816Alabama813Vermont809Utah801Kansas799Iowa791New Mexico785Kentucky784West Virginia773Nebraska769South Carolina765Maine755Arkansas749Montana723South Dakota716Idaho708Mississippi701Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics, Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages.	Oregon	866
Ohio859Nevada848Tennessee848Louisiana846Hawaii843North Carolina842Florida839Missouri828Wisconsin823Oklahoma816Alabama813Vermont809Utah801Kansas799Iowa791New Mexico785Kentucky784West Virginia773Nebraska769South Carolina765Maine755Arkansas749Montana723South Dakota716Idaho708Mississippi701Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics, Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages.	Wyoming	865
Nevada848Tennessee848Louisiana846Hawaii843North Carolina842Florida839Missouri828Wisconsin823Oklahoma816Alabama813Vermont809Utah801Kansas799Iowa791New Mexico785Kentucky784West Virginia773Nebraska769South Carolina765Maine755Arkansas749Montana723South Dakota716Idaho708Mississippi701Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics, Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages.	Ohio	859
Tennessee848Louisiana846Hawaii843North Carolina842Florida839Missouri828Wisconsin823Oklahoma816Alabama813Vermont809Utah801Kansas799Iowa791New Mexico785Kentucky784West Virginia773Nebraska769South Carolina765Maine755Arkansas749Montana723South Dakota716Idaho708Mississippi701Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics, Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages.	Nevada	848
Louisiana846Hawaii843North Carolina842Florida839Missouri828Wisconsin823Oklahoma816Alabama813Vermont809Utah801Kansas799Iowa791New Mexico785Kentucky784West Virginia773Nebraska769South Carolina765Maine755Arkansas749Montana723South Dakota716Idaho708Mississippi701Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics, Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages.	Tennessee	848
Hawaii843North Carolina842Florida839Missouri828Wisconsin823Oklahoma816Alabama813Vermont809Utah804Indiana801Kansas799Iowa791New Mexico785Kentucky784West Virginia773Nebraska769South Carolina765Maine755Arkansas749Montana723South Dakota716Idaho708Mississippi701Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics, Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages.	Louisiana	846
North Carolina842Florida839Missouri828Wisconsin823Oklahoma816Alabama813Vermont809Utah804Indiana801Kansas799Iowa791New Mexico785Kentucky784West Virginia773Nebraska769South Carolina765Maine755Arkansas749Montana723South Dakota716Idaho708Mississippi701Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics, Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages.	Hawaii	843
Florida839Missouri828Wisconsin823Oklahoma816Alabama813Vermont809Utah804Indiana801Kansas799Iowa791New Mexico785Kentucky784West Virginia773Nebraska769South Carolina765Maine755Arkansas749Montana723South Dakota716Idaho708Mississippi701Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics, Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages.	North Carolina	842
Missouri828Wisconsin823Oklahoma816Alabama813Vermont809Utah804Indiana801Kansas799Iowa791New Mexico785Kentucky784West Virginia773Nebraska769South Carolina765Maine755Arkansas749Montana723South Dakota716Idaho708Mississippi701Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics, Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages.	Florida	839
Wisconsin823Oklahoma816Alabama813Vermont809Utah804Indiana801Kansas799Iowa791New Mexico785Kentucky784West Virginia773Nebraska769South Carolina765Maine755Arkansas749Montana723South Dakota716Idaho708Mississippi701Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics, Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages.	Missouri	828
Oklahoma816Alabama813Vermont809Utah804Indiana801Kansas799Iowa791New Mexico785Kentucky784West Virginia773Nebraska769South Carolina765Maine755Arkansas749Montana723South Dakota716Idaho708Mississippi701Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics, Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages.	Wisconsin	823
Alabama813Vermont809Utah804Indiana801Kansas799Iowa791New Mexico785Kentucky784West Virginia773Nebraska769South Carolina765Maine755Arkansas749Montana723South Dakota716Idaho708Mississippi701Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics, Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages.	Oklahoma	816
Vermont 809 Utah 804 Indiana 801 Kansas 799 Iowa 791 New Mexico 785 Kentucky 784 West Virginia 773 Nebraska 769 South Carolina 765 Maine 755 Arkansas 749 Montana 723 South Dakota 716 Idaho 708 Mississippi 701 Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics, Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages.	Alabama	813
Otan804Indiana801Kansas799Iowa791New Mexico785Kentucky784West Virginia773Nebraska769South Carolina765Maine755Arkansas749Montana723South Dakota716Idaho708Mississippi701Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics, Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages.	vermont	809
Indiana801Kansas799Iowa791New Mexico785Kentucky784West Virginia773Nebraska769South Carolina765Maine755Arkansas749Montana723South Dakota716Idaho708Mississippi701Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics, Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages.	Utan	804
Ransas799Iowa791New Mexico785Kentucky784West Virginia773Nebraska769South Carolina765Maine755Arkansas749Montana723South Dakota716Idaho708Mississippi701Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics, Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages.	Indiana	801
Iowa791New Mexico785Kentucky784West Virginia773Nebraska769South Carolina765Maine755Arkansas749Montana723South Dakota716Idaho708Mississippi701Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics, Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages.	Kansas	799
New Mexico785Kentucky784West Virginia773Nebraska769South Carolina765Maine755Arkansas749Montana723South Dakota716Idaho708Mississippi701Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics, Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages.	Iowa	/91
Refrucky784West Virginia773Nebraska769South Carolina765Maine755Arkansas749Montana723South Dakota716Idaho708Mississippi701Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics, Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages.	New Mexico	/85
West Virginia773Nebraska769South Carolina765Maine755Arkansas749Montana723South Dakota716Idaho708Mississippi701Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics, Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages.	Kentucky	/84
Nebraska769South Carolina765Maine755Arkansas749Montana723South Dakota716Idaho708Mississippi701Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics, Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages.	west virginia	//3
South Carolina765Maine755Arkansas749Montana723South Dakota716Idaho708Mississippi701Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics, Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages.	Nebraska	769
Maine755Arkansas749Montana723South Dakota716Idaho708Mississippi701Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics, Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages.	South Carolina	/05
Arkansas 749 Montana 723 South Dakota 716 Idaho 708 Mississippi 701 Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics, Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages.	Maine	/55
South Dakota 723 South Dakota 716 Idaho 708 Mississippi 701 Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics, Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages.	Arkdrisds Montana	749
Journ Dakota716Idaho708Mississippi701Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics, Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages.	NONIANA South Dakata	/23
Mississippi 701 Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics, Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages.	Journ Dakota	/16
Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics, Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages.	Mississippi	/08
Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics, Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages.	wississippi	/01
Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages.	Source: Bureau of Lab	or Statistics,
wages.	Quarterly Census of E	mployment and
	vvages.	

Table 4: Over-the-Year Percentage Change in Wyoming Covered Employment and Wages for Second Quarter 2005 (2005Q2) to Second Quarter 2014 (2014Q2)

	Average	
	Monthly	Total
	Employment	Wages
2005Q2	2.1	8.3
2005Q3	2.7	11.7
2005Q4	3.4	10.1
2006Q1	5.1	15.1
2006Q2	5.0	15.5
2006Q3	4.6	14.8
2006Q4	5.1	17.1
2007Q1	4.8	14.5
2007Q2	3.9	12.4
2007Q3	3.7	8.0
2007Q4	3.8	11.3
2008Q1	3.6	10.6
2008Q2	3.1	8.7
2008Q3	3.4	10.1
2008Q4	2.4	6.8
2009Q1	-1.0	-1.2
2009Q2	-3.4	-5.0
2009Q3	-5.3	-8.4
2009Q4	-6.3	-8.4
2010Q1	-4.7	-4.9
2010Q2	-1.7	1.1
2010Q3	0.0	4.8
2010Q4	1.1	6.0
2011Q1	1.1	5.4
2011Q2	0.8	4.7
2011Q3	1.4	6.5
2011Q4	2.0	2.5
2012Q1	2.5	8.0
2012Q2	2.2	4.8
2012Q3	0.7	0.2
2012Q4	0.3	4.1
2013Q1	0.3	1.1
2013Q2	0.2	0.6
2013Q3	0.5	1.9
2013Q4	0.6	1.5
2014Q1	1.2	3.3
2014O2 ^a	1.6	4.8

^aPreliminary.

Source: Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages, developed through a cooperative program between Research & Planning and the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics.

Extract date: October 2014.

Employment and Wages by County

Employment rose in 17 counties and fell in six counties (see Table 5, page 7). Total payroll increased in all 23 counties.

Campbell County added 1,206 jobs (4.4%) and its total payroll rose by \$19.0 million (5.1%). The largest job gains occurred in construction (nearly 600 jobs), mining (including oil & gas; nearly 200 jobs), wholesale trade (approximately 150 jobs), transportation & warehousing (approximately 150 jobs), and accommodation & food services (approximately 100 jobs). Employment fell in other services and retail trade.

Teton County gained 696 jobs (4.0%) and its total payroll increased by \$11.8 million (7.1%). Employment rose in accommodation & food services (more than 200 jobs), construction (more than 100 jobs), retail trade (approximately 100 jobs), local government (including public schools & hospitals; more than 50 jobs), and real estate & rental & leasing (approximately 50 jobs).

Employment rose in Sheridan County by 430 jobs

(Text continued on page 8)



Figure 2: Over-the-Year Percentage Change in Wyoming Unemployment Insurance Covered Employment and Wages, Second Quarter 2004 (2004Q2) to Second Quarter 2014 (2014Q2)

http://doe.state.wy.us/LMI

e.	
14	
50	
σ	
an	
ŝ	
0	
ñ	
Ľ,	
Ξ	
0	
Ŭ	
Ś	
1	
Ę.	
ar	
S.	
Ĕ	
ž	
8	
S O	
7	
fo	
e D	
aç	
≥	
>	
X	
e	
>	
e	
aç	
er	
2	
ğ	
, a	
ž	
a	
<u> </u>	
ta	
2	
5	
Ľ,	
ne	
ž	
0	
d	
E	
>	
Ч	
nt	
9	
2	
g	
Ľ.	
)e	
¥	
g	
in	
E C	
Ň	
≥	
ŝ	
e	
ld	
0	

County20132014 n %201320142013Ibelany15,56115,519 -42 -0.3 $5145,428,539$ $5149,175$ Big Horm $4,350$ $4,471$ 121 2.8 $4,550,698$ $53,241,56$ Big Horm $4,350$ $4,471$ 121 2.8 $4,2,541,241$ $45,50$ Campbell $2,333$ $7,569$ 236 3.2 $81,296,698$ $86,82$ Carbon $7,333$ $7,569$ 237 $31,296,698$ $86,82$ Converse $6,165$ $6,536$ 371 6.0 $79,02$ Converse $6,165$ $6,536$ 371 6.0 $79,02$ Conock $2,361$ $12,769$ $32,414$ $45,50$ Conock $2,361$ $16,719$ -195 -112 $165,935,180$ $169,80$ Goshen $4,548$ $4,554$ 6 0.1 $37,821,786$ $39,15$ Hot Springs $2,162$ $2,353$ $92,234$ 92 $2,77$ $37,44,62$ Johnson $3,442$ $3,534$ 92 $2,77$ $37,44,62$ Johnson $3,442$ $3,534$ 92 $2,77$ $31,143,140$ $33,44$ Laramie $4,548$ $4,55081,462$ $19,74,62$ $8,84$ Johnson $3,442$ $3,534$ 92 $2,77$ $31,143,140$ $33,44$ Laramie $4,578$ 92 $2,774$ $5,724$ $474,62$ Lincoln $5,774$ $5,821$ 48 08 $63,021,902$ $65,3$	2014 \$ 0.13 20
Total 281,707 286,269 4,562 1.6 5.3,093,096,086 5.3,241,56 Albany 15,561 15,519 -42 -0.3 \$145,428,539 \$149,17 Big Horn 4,350 4,471 121 2.8 42,541,241 45,50 Campbell 27,392 28,598 1,206 4.4 376,250,698 395,28 Campbell 27,333 7,569 236 371 6.0 70,512,149 79,02 Converse 6,165 6,536 371 6.0 70,512,149 79,02 Converse 6,165 6,536 371 6.0 70,512,149 79,02 Crook 2,361 2,364 4,574 6 0.1 37,821,786 39,15 Fremont 16,914 16,719 -195 -1.2 16,9340 19,74 Crook 2,344 4,554 6 0.1 37,821,786 39,14 Hot Springs 2,162 2,127 -35 -1.6 19,149	6 53,241,566,139 5148,470,053 4.8 5845 5 89 \$149,172,178 \$3,743,639 2.6 \$719 \$ 81 45,503,165 2,961,924 7.0 752 \$ 88 395,280,238 19,029,540 5.1 1,057 1, 88 395,280,238 19,029,540 5.1 1,057 1, 84 86,827,561 5,528,877 6.8 853 1,057 1, 84 86,827,561 5,528,877 6.8 853 853 1,057 1, 84 79,023,624 8,511,475 12.1 880 853 853 1,057 1, 86 23,450,866 2,074,268 9.7 696 9.7 696 80 169,801,517 3,866,337 2.3 755 640 640 86 39,151,966 1,330,180 3.5 640 640 640 10 19,749,537 600,197 3.1 681 640 640 86 39,151,966 1,330,180 3.
Albany 15,561 15,519 -42 -0.3 5145,428,539 5149,17 Big Horm 4,350 4,471 121 2.8 42,541,241 45,50 Campbell 27,392 28,598 1,206 4.4 376,250,698 395,28 Carbon 7,333 7,569 236 3.2 81,298,684 86,82 Carbon 7,333 7,569 236 3.71 6.0 70,512,149 79,02 Converse 6,165 6,536 371 6.0 1 37,821,749 86,82 Crook 2,361 2,395 34 1.6 79,149,340 19,74 Crook 2,442 3,534 92 27 31,143,140 33,44 Johnson 4,5307 4,5986 679 1.5 460,120,758 474,62 Johnson 3,442 3,532 18 0.8 0.8 485,081,453 518,95 Johnson 2,162 2,127 35 12 42,574 <th>89 \$149,172,178 \$3,743,639 2.6 \$719 \$ 11 45,503,165 2,961,924 7.0 752 38 395,280,238 19,029,540 5.1 1,057 1, 38 395,280,238 19,029,540 5.1 1,057 1, 34 86,827,561 5,528,877 6.8 853 49 79,023,624 8,511,475 12.1 880 30 169,801,517 3,866,337 6.8 853 30 169,801,517 3,866,337 2.3 755 30 169,801,517 3,866,337 2.3 755 30 169,801,517 3,866,337 2.3 755 30 169,801,517 3,866,337 2.3 755 30 169,801,517 3,866,337 2.3 755 30 169,801,517 3,866,337 2.3 755 40 19,749,537 600,197 3.1 681 40 33,440,600 2,229,7460 7.4 696 53 65,331,939 2,310,037</th>	89 \$149,172,178 \$3,743,639 2.6 \$719 \$ 11 45,503,165 2,961,924 7.0 752 38 395,280,238 19,029,540 5.1 1,057 1, 38 395,280,238 19,029,540 5.1 1,057 1, 34 86,827,561 5,528,877 6.8 853 49 79,023,624 8,511,475 12.1 880 30 169,801,517 3,866,337 6.8 853 30 169,801,517 3,866,337 2.3 755 30 169,801,517 3,866,337 2.3 755 30 169,801,517 3,866,337 2.3 755 30 169,801,517 3,866,337 2.3 755 30 169,801,517 3,866,337 2.3 755 30 169,801,517 3,866,337 2.3 755 40 19,749,537 600,197 3.1 681 40 33,440,600 2,229,7460 7.4 696 53 65,331,939 2,310,037
Big Horn $4,350$ $4,471$ 121 2.8 $4.2,541,241$ $45,50$ Campbell $27,392$ $28,598$ $1,206$ 4.4 $376,250,698$ $395,28$ Carbon $7,333$ $7,569$ 236 3.2 $81,298,684$ $86,82$ Converse $6,165$ $6,536$ 3.71 6.0 $70,512,149$ $79,02$ Crook $2,361$ $2,395$ 34 1.4 $21,376,598$ $23,45$ Crook $2,361$ $2,395$ 34 1.4 $21,376,598$ $23,45$ Crook $2,361$ $2,395$ 34 $1.6,719$ -195 -1.2 $16,930$ Crook $2,361$ $2,395$ 344 $4,554$ 6 0.1 $37,821,786$ $39,15$ Crook $2,162$ $2,127$ -35 -1.6 $19,149,340$ $19,74$ Johnson $4,534$ 92 $2,713$ $32,442$ $33,442$ $33,442$ Johnson $3,442$ $3,534$ 92 $2,71$ $33,44,62$ $19,746$ Johnson $2,774$ $5,822$ 48 0.8 $63,021,902$ $65,33$ Natrona $41,837$ $42,574$ 737 1.8 $485,081,453$ $518,95$ Natrona $41,837$ $42,574$ 737 1.8 $485,081,453$ $518,95$ Natrona 963 978 111 2.9 $42,785,879$ $474,65$ Park $14,063$ $14,149$ 86 0.6 $131,652,660$ $133,35$ Park $14,063$ $14,1$	11 45,503,165 2,961,924 7.0 752 38 395,280,238 19,029,540 5.1 1,057 1, 34 86,827,561 5,528,877 6.8 853 1 49 79,023,624 8,511,475 12.1 880 38 23,450,866 2,074,268 9.7 696 30 169,801,517 3,866,337 2.3 755 30 169,801,517 3,866,337 2.3 755 30 169,801,517 3,866,337 2.3 755 40 19,749,537 600,197 3.1 681 40 19,749,537 600,197 3.1 681 474,628,702 14,507,944 3.2 781 53 518,954,197 33,872,744 7.0 892 53 518,954,197 33,872,744 7.0 892
Campbell 27,392 28,598 1,206 4,4 376,250,698 395,28 Carbon 7,333 7,569 236 3.2 81,298,684 86,82 Converse 6,165 6,536 371 6.0 70,512,149 79,02 Converse 6,165 6,536 371 6.0 70,512,149 79,02 Crook 2,361 2,395 34 1.4 21,376,598 23,45 Fremont 16,914 16,719 -195 -1.2 169,80 39,15 Hot Springs 2,162 2,127 -35 -1.6 19,149,340 19,74 Johnson 4,544 92 2.7 31,143,140 33,44 Laramie 4,5307 45,986 679 1.5 460,120,758 474,62 Lincoln 5,774 5,822 48 0.8 65,031,445 518,47 Natrona 41,837 42,574 737 1.8 485,081,453 518,95 Nobrara	38 395,280,238 19,029,540 5.1 1,057 1, 34 86,827,561 5,528,877 6.8 853 49 79,023,624 8,511,475 12.1 880 38 23,450,866 2,074,268 9.7 696 30 169,801,517 3,866,337 2.3 755 30 169,801,517 3,866,337 2.3 755 30 169,801,517 3,866,337 2.3 755 30 169,801,517 3,866,337 2.3 755 40 19,749,537 600,197 3.1 681 40 33,440,600 2,297,460 7.4 696 58 474,628,702 14,507,944 3.2 781 518,954,197 33,872,744 7.0 892 53 518,954,197 33,872,744 7.0 892
Carbon 7,333 7,569 236 3.2 81,298,684 86,82 Converse 6,165 6,536 371 6.0 70,512,149 79,02 Crook 2,361 2,395 34 1.4 21,376,598 23,45 Fremont 16,914 16,719 -195 -1.2 165,935,180 169,80 Goshen 4,548 4,554 6 0.1 37,821,786 39,15 Hot Springs 2,162 2,127 -35 -1.6 19,149,340 19,74 Johnson 4,548 4,553 51.6 19,143,340 19,74 Johnson 2,162 2,127 -35 -1.6 19,143,340 19,74 Johnson 3,442 3,534 92 2.7 31,143,140 33,44 Laramie 45,307 45,986 679 15 460,120,758 474,62 Laramie 5,774 5,822 48 0.8 65,301,453 518,95 Natrona <	34 86,827,561 5,528,877 6.8 853 49 79,023,624 8,511,475 12.1 880 38 23,450,866 2,074,268 9.7 696 30 169,801,517 3,866,337 2.3 755 36 39,151,966 1,330,180 3.5 640 40 19,749,537 600,197 3.1 681 40 33,440,600 2,297,460 7.4 696 58 474,628,702 14,507,944 3.2 781 53 518,954,197 33,872,744 7.0 892
Converse 6,165 6,536 371 6.0 70,512,149 79,02 Crook 2,361 2,395 34 1.4 21,376,598 23,45 Fremont 16,914 16,719 -195 -1.2 165,935,180 169,80 Goshen 4,554 6 0.1 37,821,786 39,15 Hot Springs 2,162 2,127 -35 -1.6 19,149,340 19,74 Johnson 3,442 3,534 92 2.7 31,143,140 33,44 Laramie 45,307 45,923 65,33 19,44,62 19,74,62 Lincoln 5,774 5,822 48 0.8 63,021,902 65,33 Natrona 14,149 <td< td=""><td>19 79,023,624 8,511,475 12.1 880 38 23,450,866 2,074,268 9.7 696 30 169,801,517 3,866,337 2.3 755 36 39,151,966 1,330,180 3.5 640 40 19,749,537 600,197 3.1 681 40 33,440,600 2,297,460 7.4 696 58 474,628,702 14,507,944 3.2 781 53 518,954,197 33,872,744 7.0 892</td></td<>	19 79,023,624 8,511,475 12.1 880 38 23,450,866 2,074,268 9.7 696 30 169,801,517 3,866,337 2.3 755 36 39,151,966 1,330,180 3.5 640 40 19,749,537 600,197 3.1 681 40 33,440,600 2,297,460 7.4 696 58 474,628,702 14,507,944 3.2 781 53 518,954,197 33,872,744 7.0 892
Crook $2,361$ $2,395$ 34 1.4 $21,376,598$ $23,45$ Fremont $16,914$ $16,719$ -195 -1.2 $165,935,180$ $169,80$ Goshen $4,554$ 6 0.1 $37,821,786$ $39,15$ Hot Springs $2,162$ $2,127$ -35 -1.6 $19,149,340$ $19,74$ Johnson $3,442$ $3,534$ 92 2.7 $31,143,140$ $33,44$ Johnson $3,442$ $3,534$ 92 2.7 $31,143,140$ $33,44$ Johnson $3,442$ $3,534$ 92 2.77 $31,143,140$ $33,44$ Johnson $3,442$ $3,534$ 92 2.77 $31,143,140$ $33,44$ Laramie $45,307$ $45,986$ 679 1.5 $460,120,758$ $474,62$ Laramie $4,737$ 1.8 $65,021,902$ $65,33$ $65,33$ Natrona $41,837$ $42,574$ 737 1.8 $485,081,453$ $518,95$ Niobrara 963 978 15 1.6 $7,984,220$ $8,84$ Niobrara 963 978 15 1.6 $7,984,220$ $8,84$ Park $14,063$ $14,149$ 86 0.6 $131,652,660$ $133,355$ Platte $3,773$ $3,884$ 111 2.9 $42,785,879$ $44,55$ Suberidan $13,038$ $13,468$ 430 3.3 $122,225,186$ $127,61$ Sublette $5,027$ $4,898$ -129 -2.6 $71,257,662$ 7	38 23,450,866 2,074,268 9.7 696 30 169,801,517 3,866,337 2.3 755 36 39,151,966 1,330,180 3.5 640 40 19,749,537 600,197 3.1 681 40 33,440,600 2,297,460 7.4 696 58 474,628,702 14,507,944 3.2 781 72 65,331,939 2,310,037 3.7 840 53 518,954,197 33,872,744 7.0 892
Fremont $16,914$ $16,719$ -195 -1.2 $165,935,180$ $169,80$ Goshen $4,548$ $4,554$ 6 0.1 $37,821,786$ $39,15$ Hot Springs $2,162$ $2,127$ -35 -1.6 $19,149,340$ $19,74$ Johnson $3,442$ $3,534$ 92 2.7 $31,143,140$ $33,44$ Johnson $3,442$ $3,534$ 92 2.7 $31,143,140$ $33,44$ Johnson $3,442$ $3,534$ 92 2.7 $31,143,140$ $33,44$ Laramie $45,307$ $45,986$ 679 1.5 $460,120,758$ $474,62$ Lincoln $5,774$ $5,822$ 48 0.8 $63,021,902$ $65,33$ Natrona $41,837$ $42,574$ 737 1.8 $485,081,453$ $518,95$ Niobrara 963 978 15 1.6 $7,984,220$ $8,84$ Niobrara 963 $14,149$ 86 0.6 $131,652,660$ $133,35$ Platte $3,773$ $3,884$ 111 2.9 $42,785,879$ $44,55$ Platte $3,773$ $3,884$ 111 2.9 $42,785,879$ $44,55$ Sheridan $13,038$ $13,468$ 4130 3.3 $122,225,186$ $127,602$ Sublette $5,027$ $4,898$ -129 -2.6 $71,257,662$ $71,97$ Sweetwater $24,851$ $24,633$ -218 -0.9 $344,967,104$ $359,71$ Teton $17,594$ $8,931$ 40 </td <td>30 169,801,517 3,866,337 2.3 755 86 39,151,966 1,330,180 3.5 640 40 19,749,537 600,197 3.1 681 40 33,440,600 2,297,460 7.4 696 58 474,628,702 14,507,944 3.2 781 52 65,331,939 2,310,037 3.7 840 53 518,954,197 33,872,744 7.0 892</td>	30 169,801,517 3,866,337 2.3 755 86 39,151,966 1,330,180 3.5 640 40 19,749,537 600,197 3.1 681 40 33,440,600 2,297,460 7.4 696 58 474,628,702 14,507,944 3.2 781 52 65,331,939 2,310,037 3.7 840 53 518,954,197 33,872,744 7.0 892
Goshen $4,548$ $4,554$ 6 0.1 $37,821,786$ $39,15$ Hot Springs $2,162$ $2,127$ -35 -1.6 $19,149,340$ $19,74$ Johnson $3,442$ $3,534$ 92 2.7 $31,143,140$ $33,44$ Johnson $3,442$ $3,534$ 92 2.7 $31,143,140$ $33,44$ Laramie $45,307$ $45,986$ 679 1.5 $460,120,758$ $474,62$ Lincoln $5,774$ $5,822$ 48 0.8 $63,021,902$ $65,33$ Natrona $41,837$ $42,574$ 737 1.8 $485,081,453$ $518,95$ Niobrara 963 978 15 1.6 $7,984,220$ $8,84$ Niobrara 963 978 15 1.6 $7,984,220$ $8,84$ Park $14,063$ $14,149$ 86 0.6 $131,652,660$ $133,355$ Platte $3,773$ $3,884$ 111 2.9 $42,785,879$ $44,55$ Sheridan $13,038$ $13,468$ 430 3.3 $122,225,186$ $127,61$ Sublette $5,027$ $4,898$ -129 -2.6 $71,257,662$ $71,97$ Sweetwater $24,851$ $24,633$ -218 -0.9 $344,967,104$ $359,71$ Teton $17,594$ $18,290$ 696 4.0 $167,236,762$ $71,97$ Sweetwater $24,851$ $24,633$ -218 -0.9 $344,967,104$ $359,71$ Teton $17,594$ $18,931$ 40 <td>36 39,151,966 1,330,180 3.5 640 40 19,749,537 600,197 3.1 681 40 33,440,600 2,297,460 7.4 696 58 474,628,702 14,507,944 3.2 781 02 65,331,939 2,310,037 3.7 840 53 518.954,197 33.872,744 7.0 892</td>	36 39,151,966 1,330,180 3.5 640 40 19,749,537 600,197 3.1 681 40 33,440,600 2,297,460 7.4 696 58 474,628,702 14,507,944 3.2 781 02 65,331,939 2,310,037 3.7 840 53 518.954,197 33.872,744 7.0 892
Hot Springs $2,162$ $2,127$ -35 -1.6 $19,149,340$ $19,74$ Johnson $3,442$ $3,534$ 92 2.7 $31,143,140$ $33,44$ Johnson $3,442$ $3,534$ 92 2.7 $31,143,140$ $33,44$ Laramie $45,307$ $45,986$ 679 1.5 $460,120,758$ $474,62$ Lincoln $5,774$ $5,822$ 48 0.8 $63,021,902$ $65,33$ Natrona $41,837$ $42,574$ 737 1.8 $485,081,453$ $518,95$ Niobrara 963 978 15 1.6 $7,984,220$ $8,84$ Park $14,063$ $14,149$ 86 0.6 $131,652,660$ $133,35$ Platte $3,773$ $3,884$ 111 2.9 $42,785,879$ $44,55$ Sheridan $13,038$ $13,468$ 430 3.3 $122,25,186$ $127,61$ Sublette $5,027$ $4,898$ -129 -2.6 $71,257,662$ $71,97$ Sweetwater $24,851$ $24,633$ -218 -0.9 $344,967,104$ $359,71$ Teton $17,594$ $18,290$ 696 4.0 $167,236,762$ $71,97$ Uinta $8,891$ $8,931$ 40 0.4 $88,443,847$ $91,81$	40 19,749,537 600,197 3.1 681 40 33,440,600 2,297,460 7.4 696 58 474,628,702 14,507,944 3.2 781 02 65,331,939 2,310,037 3.7 840 53 518.954,197 33.872,744 7.0 892
Johnson 3,442 3,534 92 2.7 31,143,140 33,44 Laramie 45,307 45,986 679 1.5 460,120,758 474,62 Lincoln 5,774 5,822 48 0.8 63,021,902 65,33 Natrona 41,837 42,574 737 1.8 485,081,453 518,95 Natrona 41,837 42,574 737 1.8 485,081,453 518,95 Niobrara 963 978 15 1.6 7,984,220 8,84 Niobrara 14,063 14,149 86 0.6 131,652,660 133,35 Platte 3,773 3,884 111 2.9 42,785,879 44,55 Sheridan 13,038 13,468 430 3.3 122,225,186 127,61 Sublette 5,027 4,898 -129 -2.6 71,257,662 71,97 Sweetwater 24,851 24,667,104 359,71 71,57662 71,97 Teton<	10 33,440,600 2,297,460 7.4 696 58 474,628,702 14,507,944 3.2 781 02 65,331,939 2,310,037 3.7 840 53 518.954,197 33.872.744 7.0 892
Laramie $45,307$ $45,307$ $45,986$ 679 1.5 $460,120,758$ $474,62$ Lincoln $5,774$ $5,822$ 48 0.8 $63,021,902$ $65,33$ Natrona $41,837$ $42,574$ 737 1.8 $485,081,453$ $518,95$ Niobrara 963 978 15 1.6 $7,984,220$ $8,84$ Park $14,063$ $14,149$ 86 0.6 $131,652,660$ $133,35$ Platte $3,773$ $3,884$ 111 2.9 $42,785,879$ $44,55$ Sheridan $13,038$ $13,468$ 430 3.3 $122,225,186$ $127,61$ Sublette $5,027$ $4,898$ -129 -2.6 $71,257,662$ $71,97$ Sweetwater $24,851$ $24,633$ -218 -0.9 $344,967,104$ $359,71$ Teton $17,594$ $18,290$ 696 4.0 $167,236,762$ $179,06$ Uinta $8,891$ $8,931$ 40 0.4 $88,443,847$ $91,81$	58 474,628,702 14,507,944 3.2 781 02 65,331,939 2,310,037 3.7 840 53 518.954,197 33.872,744 7.0 892
Lincoln 5,774 5,822 48 0.8 63,021,902 65,33 Natrona 41,837 42,574 737 1.8 485,081,453 518,95 Natrona 41,837 42,574 737 1.8 485,081,453 518,95 Niobrara 963 978 15 1.6 7,984,220 8,84 Park 14,063 14,149 86 0.6 131,652,660 133,35 Platte 3,773 3,884 111 2.9 42,785,879 44,55 Sheridan 13,038 13,468 430 3.3 122,25,186 127,61 Sublette 5,027 4,898 -129 -2.6 71,257,662 71,97 Sweetwater 24,851 24,633 -218 -0.9 344,967,104 359,71 Teton 17,594 18,290 696 4.0 167,236,762 71,97 Teton 17,594 18,290 696 4.0 167,236,762 71,906	2 (5,331,939 2,310,037 3.7 840 53 518.954.197 33.872.744 7.0 892
Natrona 41,837 42,574 737 1.8 485,081,453 518,95 Niobrara 963 978 15 1.6 7,984,220 8,84 Niobrara 963 978 15 1.6 7,984,220 8,84 Park 14,063 14,149 86 0.6 131,652,660 133,35 Platte 3,773 3,884 111 2.9 42,785,879 44,55 Sheridan 13,038 13,468 430 3.3 122,225,186 127,61 Sheridan 13,038 13,468 430 3.3 122,225,186 127,61 Sublette 5,027 4,898 -129 -2.6 71,257,662 71,97 Sweetwater 24,851 24,633 -218 -0.9 344,967,104 359,71 Teton 17,594 18,290 696 4.0 167,236,762 179,06 Uinta 8,891 8,931 40 0.4 88,443,847 91,81	53 518.954.197 33.872.744 7.0 892
Niobrara 963 978 15 1.6 7,984,220 8,84 Park 14,063 14,149 86 0.6 131,652,660 133,35 Platte 3,773 3,884 111 2.9 42,785,879 44,55 Platte 3,773 3,884 111 2.9 42,785,879 44,55 Sheridan 13,038 13,468 430 3.3 122,225,186 127,61 Sublette 5,027 4,898 -129 -2.6 71,257,662 71,97 Sweetwater 24,851 24,633 -218 -0.9 344,967,104 359,71 Teton 17,594 18,290 696 4.0 167,236,762 179,06 Uinta 8,891 8,931 40 0.4 88,443,847 91,81	
Park 14,063 14,149 86 0.6 131,652,660 133,35 Platte 3,773 3,884 111 2.9 42,785,879 44,55 Sheridan 13,038 13,468 430 3.3 122,225,186 127,61 Sheridan 13,038 13,468 430 3.3 122,225,186 127,61 Sublette 5,027 4,898 -129 -2.6 71,257,662 71,97 Sweetwater 24,851 24,633 -218 -0.9 344,967,104 359,71 Teton 17,594 18,290 696 4.0 167,236,762 179,06 Uinta 8,891 8,931 40 0.4 88,443,847 91,81	20 8,844,937 860,717 10.8 638
Platte 3,773 3,884 111 2.9 42,785,879 44,55 Sheridan 13,038 13,468 430 3.3 122,225,186 127,61 Sheridan 13,038 13,468 430 3.3 122,225,186 127,61 Sublette 5,027 4,898 -129 -2.6 71,257,662 71,97 Sweetwater 24,851 24,633 -218 -0.9 344,967,104 359,71 Teton 17,594 18,290 696 4.0 167,236,762 179,06 Uinta 8,891 8,931 40 0.4 88,443,847 91,81	50 133,351,601 1,698,941 1.3 720
Sheridan 13,038 13,468 430 3.3 122,225,186 127,61 Sublette 5,027 4,898 -129 -2.6 71,257,662 71,97 Swbeetwater 5,027 4,898 -129 -2.6 71,257,662 71,97 Sweetwater 24,851 24,633 -218 -0.9 344,967,104 359,71 Teton 17,594 18,290 696 4.0 167,236,762 179,06 Uinta 8,891 8,931 40 0.4 88,443,847 91,81	79 44,552,844 1,766,965 4.1 872
Sublette 5,027 4,898 -129 -2.6 71,257,662 71,97 Sweetwater 24,851 24,633 -218 -0.9 344,967,104 359,71 Teton 17,594 18,290 696 4.0 167,236,762 179,06 Uinta 8,891 8,931 40 0.4 88,443,847 91,81	36 127,613,056 5,387,870 4.4 721
Sweetwater 24,851 24,633 -218 -0.9 344,967,104 359,71 Teton 17,594 18,290 696 4.0 167,236,762 179,06 Uinta 8,891 8,931 40 0.4 88,443,847 91,81	52 71,970,591 712,929 1.0 1,090 1,
Teton 17,594 18,290 696 4.0 167,236,762 179,06 Uinta 8,891 8,931 40 0.4 88,443,847 91,81)4 359,710,969 14,743,865 4.3 1,068 1,
Uinta 8,891 8,931 40 0.4 88,443,847 91,81	52 179,066,734 11,829,972 7.1 731
	47 91,819,057 3,375,210 3.8 765
Washakie 3,838 3,788 -50 -1.3 38,307,074 38,97	74 38,979,289 672,215 1.8 768
Weston 2,341 2,372 31 1.3 20,878,472 22,18	72 22,184,082 1,305,610 6.3 686
Nonclassified ^b 4,182 4,474 292 7.0 57,675,752 63,15	52 63,156,889 5,481,137 9.5 1,061 1,
^a Preliminary.	
^b The employer may be located statewide or in more than one county.	

(Text continued from page 6)

(3.3%) and total payroll increased by \$5.4 million (4.4%). Large job growth was seen in accommodation & food services (more than 150 jobs), construction (more than 150 jobs) and retail trade (approximately 50 jobs).

Converse County added 371 jobs (6.0%) and its total payroll rose by \$8.5 million (12.1%). Job growth was seen in mining (including oil & gas; more than 100 jobs), construction (approximately 100 jobs), manufacturing (nearly 50 jobs), and local government (including public schools; nearly 50 jobs).

Sweetwater County lost 218 jobs (-0.9%), but its total payroll rose by \$14.7 million (4.3%). Job gains in construction (approximately 250 jobs) were more than offset by job losses in accommodation & food services (approximately 150 jobs), retail trade (approximately 100 jobs), mining (including oil & gas; more than 50 jobs), local government (more than 50 jobs), and administrative & waste services (approximately 50 jobs).

Employment fell by 195 jobs (-1.2%) in Fremont County, but total payroll rose by \$3.9 million (2.3%). Job losses occurred in local government (including public schools & colleges), state government, accommodation & food services, and retail trade.

Sublette County lost 129 jobs (-2.6%), but its total payroll remained stable (\$0.7 million, or 1.0%). Modest job losses were seen in many sectors, including transportation & warehousing, accommodation & food services, mining, wholesale trade, construction, and other services.

Washakie County's employment fell by 50 jobs (-1.3%), but its total payroll rose by

\$0.7 million (1.8%). Declining employment was seen in health care & social assistance; arts, entertainment, & recreation; construction; mining; and retail trade.

Natrona County added 737 jobs (1.8%) and its total payroll rose by \$33.9 million (7.0%). The largest job gains were found in construction (260 jobs, or 8.4%), accommodation & food services (149 jobs, or 3.6%), mining (including oil & gas; 109 jobs, or 2.7%), and retail trade (107 jobs, or 2.2%). Employment fell in other services (-182 jobs, or -9.4%), federal government (-32 jobs, or -4.8%), and private educational services (-26 jobs, or -17.0%).

Laramie County gained 679 jobs (1.5%) and its total payroll grew by \$14.5 million (3.2%). Employment increased in transportation & warehousing (523 jobs, or 21.4%), accommodation & food services (281 jobs, or 6.7%), and mining (including oil & gas; 147 jobs, or 63.9%). Job losses occurred in construction (-203 jobs, or -5.8%), retail trade (-136 jobs, or -2.4%), other services (-93 jobs, or -6.8%), and federal government (-51 jobs, or -2.0%).

Statewide Employment and Wages by Industry

At the statewide level, the largest job gains occurred in construction, accommodation & food services, mining (including oil & gas), transportation & warehousing, manufacturing, wholesale trade, and professional & technical services (see Table 6, page 9). Job losses were seen in other services and state government.

	Average I	Monthly Er	nployn	nent		Total Payroll			Avera	ge Weekl	y Wag	e
	Second (Quarter	Chan	ge	Second (Quarter	Change		Second (Quarter	Chan	ge
NAICS ^b Title	2013	2014	u	%	2013	2014	Ş	%	2013	2014	Ş	%
Total, All Industries	281,707	286,269	4,562	1.6 \$	3,093,096,086	\$3,241,566,139	\$148,470,053	4.8	\$845	\$871	\$26	3.1
Total Private	213,228	218,147	4,919	2.3	\$2,279,570,821	\$2,428,198,791	\$148,627,970	6.5	\$822	\$856	\$34	4.1
Agriculture	2,663	2,718	55	2.1	19,008,301	20,158,420	1,150,119	6.1	549	571	22	4.0
Mining	26,397	27,024	627	2.4	536,731,909	571,995,700	35,263,791	6.6	1,564	1,628	2	4.1
Utilities	2,489	2,492	m	0.1	50,204,384	52,288,988	2,084,604	4.2	1,552	1,614	62	4.0
Construction	22,166	24,293	2,127	9.6	260,949,292	302,959,859	42,010,567	16.1	906	959	53	5.8
Manufacturing	9,276	9,637	361	3.9	131,443,542	142,200,763	10,757,221	8.2	1,090	1,135	45	4.1
Wholesale Trade	9,194	9,529	335	3.6	125,429,346	136,480,315	11,050,969	8.8	1,049	1,102	23	5.1
Retail Trade	29,706	29,737	31	0.1	194,908,045	198,670,656	3,762,611	1.9	505	514	6	1.8
Transportation & Warehousing	9,675	10,283	608	6.3	114,957,792	122,006,466	7,048,674	6.1	914	913	<u>,</u>	-0.1
Information	3,777	3,768	<u>6</u>	-0.2	39,709,683	41,684,861	1,975,178	5.0	809	851	42	5.2
Finance & Insurance	6,785	6,760	-25	-0.4	84,406,685	86,415,567	2,008,882	2.4	957	983	26	2.7
Real Estate & Rental & Leasing	4,321	4,407	86	2.0	49,815,885	54,227,217	4,411,332	8.9	887	947	99	6.8
Professional & Technical Services	9,172	9,480	308	3.4	125,252,056	137,556,322	12,304,266	9.8	1,050	1,116	99	6.3
Mgmt. of Companies & Enterprises	1,019	1,031	12	1.2	22,913,921	24,801,530	1,887,609	8.2	1,730	1,850	120	6.9
Administrative & Waste Services	8,146	8,132	-14	-0.2	60,473,791	61,438,335	964,544	1.6	571	581	10	1.8
Educational Services	1,681	1,661	-20	-1.2	12,174,625	12,466,927	292,302	2.4	557	577	20	3.6
Health Care & Social Assistance	24,031	24,080	49	0.2	237,462,221	244,809,153	7,346,932	3.1	760	782	77	2.9
Ambulatory Health Care Services	9,425	9,615	190	2.0	126,477,399	133,682,811	7,205,412	5.7	1,032	1,070	38	3.7
Hospitals	3,029	2,988	4	-1.4	40,276,275	40,041,166	-235,109	-0.6	1,023	1,031	8	0.8
Nursing & Res. Care Facilities	4,576	4,559	-17	-0.4	33,502,236	33,400,546	-101,690	-0.3	563	564	-	0.2
Social Assistance	7,002	6,918	-84	-1.2	37,206,311	37,684,630	478,319	1.3	409	419	10	2.4
Arts, Entertainment, & Recreation	2,906	3,033	127	4.4	12,712,507	14,431,041	1,718,534	13.5	337	366	29	8.6
Accommodation & Food Services	31,559	32,197	638	2.0	128,796,170	135,752,440	6,956,270	5.4	314	324	10	3.2
Other Services	8,263	7,883	-380	-4.6	72,220,666	67,854,231	-4,366,435	-6.0	672	662	-10	-1.5
Total Government	68,480	68,122	-358	-0.5	\$813,525,265	\$813,367,348	-\$157,917	0.0	\$914	\$918	\$\$	0.4
Federal Government	7,522	7,451	-71	-0.9	108,619,546	106,185,864	-2,433,682	-2.2	1,111	1,096	-15	-1.4
State Government	13,386	13,162	-224	-1.7	174,675,617	172,715,868	-1,959,749		1,004	1,009	Ŋ	0.5
State Government Education	3,698	3,665	-32	-0.9	47,421,978	47,418,550	-3,428	0.0	987	995	6	0.9
Local Government	47,571	47,509	-62	-0.1	530,230,102	534,465,616	4,235,514	0.8	857	865	∞	1.0
Local Government Education	24,445	24,461	16	0.1	292,428,378	289,010,919	-3,417,459	-1.2	920	606	-11	-1.2
Hospitals	6,999	7,118	119	1.7	91,677,911	96,946,370	5,268,459	5.7	1,008	1,048	4	4.0
^a Preliminary. ^b North American Industry Classifi Source: Ouarterly Census of Employm	ication Syste ment and Wa	em. develo	oed thro	o a douc	rnoberative proo	iram between Rese	arch & Planning.	and th	e U.S. Bure	au of Labo	or Statis	tics.
Extract date: October 2014.				ĥ								

(Text continued from page 8)

Figure 3 shows the percentage change in average monthly employment and average weekly wage by industry in first quarter 2014. This chart was included in a presentation made to the Wyoming Workforce Development Council (Holmes & Gallagher, 2015). In most sectors, both average wage and employment grew in first quarter.

Construction employment rose by 2,127 jobs (9.6%) and its total payroll grew by \$42.0 million (16.1%). Construction of buildings gained approximately 150 jobs, heavy & civil engineering construction gained more than 1,700 jobs, and specialty trade contractors gained more than 200 jobs. Growth was especially strong in oil & gas pipeline and related structures construction.

Accommodation & food services gained 638 jobs (2.0%) and its total payroll rose by \$7.0 million (5.4%). Employment increased by more than 250 jobs in accommodation and more than 350 jobs in food services & drinking places.

The mining sector gained 627 jobs (2.4%) and its total payroll rose by \$35.3 million (6.6%). Support activities for oil & gas added more than 400 jobs and drilling oil & gas wells added more than 300 jobs, but coal mining lost more than 150 jobs.



Figure 3: Wyoming Percentage Change in Average Monthly Employment and Average Weekly Wage by Industry, 2013Q1 to 2014Q1

http://doe.state.wy.us/LMI

Employment rose by 608 jobs (6.3%) in transportation & warehousing and its total payroll increased by \$7.0 million (6.1%). Large job gains were seen in warehousing & storage (approximately 400 jobs), truck transportation (approximately 150 jobs), and support activities for transportation (approximately 150 jobs). Employment fell by more than 200 jobs in air transportation.

Manufacturing added 361 jobs (3.9%) and its total payroll grew by \$10.8 million (8.2%). Growth was seen in petroleum & coal products manufacturing (approximately 150 jobs), fabricated metal product manufacturing (approximately 100 jobs), and computer & electronic product manufacturing (approximately 100 jobs).

Wholesale trade gained 335 jobs (3.6%) and its total payroll rose by \$11.1 million (8.8%). Rapid job growth was seen in industrial machinery & equipment merchant wholesalers, the industry which sells equipment used in oil & gas extraction.

Professional & technical services added 308 jobs (3.4%) and its total payroll increased by \$12.3 million (9.8%). Growth occurred in architectural & engineering services, management & technical consulting services, and other professional & technical services.

Other services lost 380 jobs (-4.6%) and its total payroll fell by \$4.4 million (-6.0%). Employment fell by 300 jobs in repair & maintenance services and smaller job losses were seen in personal & laundry services and membership associations & organizations.

Employment in state government fell by 224 jobs (-1.7%) and its total payroll decreased by \$2.0 million (-1.1%). Small job losses were seen across many different state agencies. In summary, job growth and payroll growth accelerated in second quarter, led by a rapid expansion in construction employment. Growth was also seen in many other sectors, such as accommodation & food services, mining (including oil & gas), transportation & warehousing, and manufacturing. Large job gains were reported in Campbell, Natrona, Teton, Laramie, Sheridan, and Converse counties, while employment fell in Sweetwater, Fremont, and Sublette counties.

References

- Consensus Revenue Estimating Group (CREG; 2010, October). Wyoming state government revenue forecast fiscal year 2011-fiscal year 2016. Retrieved February 17, 2011 from http://eadiv. state.wy.us/creg/GreenCREG_Oct10.pdf
- Faler, K., & Moore, M. (2014). Construction labor shortages in Wyoming and the nation. Retrieved January 21, 2015 from http://doe.state.wy.us/LMI/w_r_ research/constr_2014.pdf
- Holmes, M. & Gallagher, T. (2015).
 Workforce opportunities in Wyoming: Developing a data-driven approach to public sector investment and evaluation, part two. Retrieved January 21, 2015 from http://doe.state.wy.us/LMI/ presentations/WWDC_Jan2015.pdf
- U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis. (2014, June 17). SA04 State income and employment summary. Retrieved June 17, 2014, from http://www.bea.gov/ iTable/iTable.cfm?ReqID=70&step=1&isu ri=1&acrdn=4

Total Wages, Average Monthly Employment, and Average Monthly Wage Changes for Wyoming by Year/Quarter: 2005Q3 to 2014Q3

		%	Avg. Monthly	%	Ava. Monthly	%
/ear/Ouarter	Total Wages	Change	Employment	Change	Wage	Change
2005/3	\$2 188 006 458	Gildinge	263 747	chunge	\$2,765,28	enange
2006/3	\$2,511.603.105	14.8%	274.060	3.9%	\$3.054.81	10.5%
2005/4	\$2 283 976 604		259 256	0.0770	\$2,936,58	
2005/1	\$2,203,370,001	171%	270 498	4 3%	\$3 296 11	12 2%
2006/1	\$2,206,882,734	17.170	254 302	1.570	\$2 892 73	12.270
2000/1	\$2,200,002,731	14.6%	266 599	4 8%	\$3 161 89	93%
2007/1	\$2,320,071,915	14.070	268 726	4.070	\$2,963,86	2.370
2000/2	\$2,505,551,775	12 1%	278 792	3 7%	\$3 203 87	8 1%
2006/3	\$2,57,5,611,511	12.170	274,060	3.770	\$3,054,81	0.170
2000/3	\$2,511,005,105	8.0%	2/4,000	3 7%	\$3,054.01	1 1%
2007/3	\$2,712,323,140	0.070	204,317	J.7 /0	\$3,179.95	-1. 170
2000/4	\$2,074,775,271	11 3%	270,490	3.8%	\$3,200.11	7 20%
2007/4	\$2,970,397,331	11.370	266,500	5.070	\$3,552.15	7.270
2007/1	\$2,320,071,913 \$3,700 527 522	10 704	200,399	2 604	\$3,101.09	6 904
2000/1	\$2,790,237,273	10.7%	270,195	5.0%	\$2,277.15	0.0%
2007/2	\$2,079,041,341 \$2,019,009,721	Q 004	2/0,/92	2 204	\$3,203.07	5 504
2000/2	\$2,910,000,721	0.9%	20/,/00	5.2%	\$3,3/9.91	5.5%
2007/3	\$2,712,525,140	10 10/	204,517	2 40/	\$5,179.95	6 50/
2008/3	\$2,985,771,294	10.1%	293,895	3.4%	\$3,380.44	0.5%
2007/4	\$2,970,397,331 \$2,177,332,603	C 70/	280,888	2 20/	\$3,532.13	4 20/
2008/4	\$3,177,223,082	0.7%	28/,4/8	2.5%	\$3,084.02	4.5%
2008/1	\$2,798,237,273	1 20/	2/6,195	1.00/	\$3,377.13	0.20/
2009/1	\$2,764,364,307	-1.2%	2/3,4/1	-1.0%	\$3,369.48	-0.2%
2008/2	\$2,918,008,721	F 00/	287,780	2 40/	\$3,3/9.91	1.00/
2009/2	\$2,//3,191,493	-5.0%	2/7,897	-3.4%	\$3,326.40	-1.6%
2008/3	\$2,985,771,294	0.40/	293,895	F 20/	\$3,386.44	2.20/
2009/3	\$2,/36,056,/80	-8.4%	2/8,234	-5.3%	\$3,277.88	-3.2%
2008/4	\$3,177,223,682	0.40/	28/,4/8	6.20/	\$3,684.02	0.00/
2009/4	\$2,911,594,084	-8.4%	269,439	-6.3%	\$3,602.04	-2.2%
2009/1	\$2,764,364,307		2/3,4/1	/	\$3,369.48	
2010/1	\$2,627,558,836	-4.9%	260,726	-4.7%	\$3,359.29	-0.3%
2009/2	\$2,773,191,493		277,897		\$3,326.40	
2010/2	\$2,802,848,365	1.1%	273,044	-1.7%	\$3,421.73	2.9%
2009/3	\$2,736,056,780		278,234		\$3,277.88	
2010/3	\$2,866,694,334	4.8%	279,429	0.4%	\$3,419.71	4.3%
2009/4	\$2,911,594,084		269,439		\$3,602.04	
2010/4	\$3,087,069,661	6.0%	272,511	1.1%	\$3,776.08	4.8%
2010/1	\$2,627,558,836		260,726		\$3,359.29	
2011/1	\$2,769,072,169	5.4%	263,558	1.1%	\$3,502.17	4.3%
2010/2	\$2,802,848,365		273,044		\$3,421.73	
2011/2	\$2,933,492,659	4.7%	275,169	0.8%	\$3,553.56	3.9%
2010/3	\$2,866,694,334		279,429		\$3,419.71	
2011/3	\$3,053,914,162	6.5%	282,231	1.0%	\$3,606.87	5.5%
2010/4	\$3,087,069,661		272,511		\$3,776.08	
2011/4	\$3,165,745,021	2.5%	278,015	2.0%	\$3,795.65	0.5%
2011/1	\$2,769,072,169		263,558		\$3,502.17	
2012/1	\$2,991,246,352	8.0%	270,073	2.5%	\$3,691.90	5.4%
2011/2	\$2,933,492,659		275,169		\$3,553.56	
2012/2	\$3,074,207,136	4.8%	281,192	2.2%	\$3,644.26	2.6%
2011/3	\$3,053,914,162		282,231		\$3,606.87	
2012/2	\$3,060,122,560	0.2%	284.180	0.7%	\$3,589,42	-0.5%

Table continued on page 13

(Table continued from page 12)

Total Wages, Average Monthly Employment, and Average Monthly Wage Changes for Wyoming by Year/Quarter: 2005Q3 to 2014Q3

Year/Quarter	Total Wages	% Change	Avg. Monthly Employment	% Change	Avg. Monthly Wage	% Change
2011/4	\$3,165,745,021		278,015		\$3,795.65	
2012/4	\$3,294,064,060	4.1%	278,934	0.3%	\$3,936.49	3.7%
2012/1	\$2,991,246,352		270,073		\$3,691.90	
2013/1	\$3,024,233,488	1.1%	270,881	0.3%	\$3,721.48	0.8%
2012/2	\$3,074,207,136		281,192		\$3,644.26	
2013/2	\$3,093,096,086	0.6%	281,707	0.2%	\$3,659.94	0.4%
2012/3	\$3,060,122,560		284,180		\$3,589.42	
2013/3	\$3,119,244,931	1.9%	285,726	0.5%	\$3,638.97	1.4%
2012/4	\$3,294,064,060		278,934		\$3,936.49	
2013/4	\$3,344,359,716	1.5%	280,701	0.6%	\$3,971.44	0.9%
2013/1	\$3,024,233,488		270,881		\$3,721.48	
2014/1	\$3,124,158,426	3.3%	274,050	1.2%	\$3,799.99	2.1%
2013/2	\$3,093,096,086		281,707		\$3,659.94	
2014/2	\$3,243,373,986	4.9%	286,669	1.8%	\$3,771.33	3.0%
2013/3	\$3,119,244,931		285,726		\$3,638.97	
2014/3(p)	\$3,316,104,524	6.3%	290,945	1.8%	\$3,799.23	4.4%

The Recent Labor Market Downturn as a Natural Experiment, Part 3: Previous Unemployment Insurance (UI) Spells as a Predictor of the Length of Future UI Benefit Collection

by: Patrick Harris, Principal Analyst

According to Heckman and Borjas (1980), employment and unemployment may depend on three different types of state dependence. In this article we focus on occurrence dependence which rests on the assumption that the number of previous spells of unemployment determines the probability of future employment. This article contributes to the state dependence literature by including the economic conditions before and after the first use of the Unemployment Insurance (UI) system. Using UI claims data, we found that economic conditions before and after the first spell of unemployment played a significant role in determining length of future UI benefit collection. We found little evidence that a claimant's personal characteristics contribute to the length of future UI benefit collection. Implications for workforce service agencies are also discussed.

I f state workforce agencies set a goal of decreasing the number of benefit weeks claimed, past benefit collections have been found to play a significant role in

leaving the UI system which can impact this goal (Corak, 1993; Niedergesäss, 2012). Due to the variability in the use of UI prior, during, and after the recent economic downturn, many authors deem it a natural experiment (Kahn, 2011; Rothstein, 2011). A natural experiment is one way to evaluate large-scale policy changes and their effects on labor market activity (van Ours & Vodopivec, 2006). A natural experiment is one where clusters of individuals are exposed to treatment or control conditions that are determined by nature or laws and not induced by the researcher. If the variation in subsequent claim duration is accounted for by personal characteristics at the time of the first UI claim, then policies should target those specific characteristics to reduce future claim duration. However, if the variation is due to macro- and microeconomic conditions, such policies will be ineffective in reducing the number of weeks of UI benefits claimed.

Parts I and II of this series explored the rate of UI claimants leaving Wyoming's labor market after UI benefit collection and the characteristics of those claimants who will become repeat claimants. In the first two articles in this series, we found the behavior of UI claimants differs based on labor market histories and regional and local economic conditions.

In this article, we include employment history and economic condition data before and after the first spell of UI to simulate the various experiences of collecting UI. We found that variation in the number of weeks of successive UI spells was accounted for more by macro- and microeconomic conditions than by claimant characteristics. This result suggests that implementing or changing policies that target claimant characteristics is likely to be ineffective in reducing the number of weeks of benefit collection in successive claims. Further, we found that claimants who do not return to work for the same employer and make higher wages after collecting UI benefits claim fewer benefit weeks in subsequent claims than those who do return to the same employer. This result suggests that there may be an incentive to learn the UI system for those claimants who anticipate repeat use of the UI system.

We also found that the number of weeks of benefits claimed in successive UI spells changes depending upon the level of wage gain (or loss) surrounding the first claim and the weekly UI benefit amount they receive. Claimants who experience a loss in wages after collecting benefits and receive small UI benefit payments claimed more weeks of UI benefits in subsequent claims. This result may be due to claimants searching for more suitable work reducing the likelihood of using the UI system again.

R&P research on UI claims can be found here: http://doe.state.wy.us/LMI/ui.htm.

Overview

In this article, we examine the number of weeks of UI benefits collected using the theoretical framework of state dependence developed by Heckman and Borjas (1980). State dependence is the concept that past labor market states (employment and

This is the third article in a three-part series. Part 1 can be found in the November issue of *Wyoming Labor Force Trends* at http://doe.state.wy.us/LMI/trends/1114/a1.htm. Part 2 can be found in the December issue of *Trends* at http://doe.state.wy.us/LMI/trends/1214/a1.htm.

unemployment) have a causal impact on future labor market states. According to Heckman and Borjas, there are three types of state dependence: duration dependence, occurrence dependence, and lagged duration dependence. Duration dependence is the length of the current spell of unemployment that determines the likelihood an individual will remain unemployed. Occurrence dependence refers to the number of previous spells of unemployment that determines the probability of becoming or remaining unemployed. Lagged duration dependence refers to the probability of remaining on unemployment insurance depending upon the length of previous spells of unemployment.

Many studies have focused on the effect of state dependence in the context of transitioning from one employment state to another such as from employment to unemployment and vice versa (Arranz & Muro, 2000; Cappellari, Dorsett, & Haile, 2010; Doiron & Gørgens, 2008; Heckman & Borjas, 1980; Niedergesäss, 2012; Omori, 1997; Ruhm, 1991). The results of these studies are mixed as to whether the effect of true state dependence has an impact on future labor market states (including in cross-cultural settings). Even though state dependence refers specifically to employment transitions as the outcome, Corak (1993) applied the theoretical underpinnings of occurrence dependence to the length of future UI benefit collection. Using data from the Canadian UI system, Corak found that occurrence dependence played a role in the length of successive UI spells by way of a "scarring" effect of unemployment. The scarring effect is thought to involve changes in tastes and human capital decay as a result of being employed in a sector of the labor market where unemployment is a regular experience (e.g., construction). Examining

the effects of unemployment "scarring" effects on life satisfaction, Knabe and Rätzel (2011) found that individuals are not only scarred by their experience of unemployment (i.e., unemployment having severe direct negative consequences on future employment opportunities), but this scarring is more a result of the individual's negative perception of their future labor market participation (the authors refer to this as "scarring").

Separating true state dependence from unmeasured variables that give rise to unobserved heterogeneity (differing characteristics) among claimants and economic conditions has been recognized. Unobserved heterogeneity is the characteristics (such as work ethic and cognitive ability) that are related to both the predictor variable(s) and the outcome variable. The hypothesis that simply interacting with the UI system (and even unemployment itself) increases lengths of future UI benefit collections rests on two assumptions. First, interacting with the UI system erodes a stigma that collecting UI benefits makes claimants "losers", and they become more knowledgeable about how the UI system works (Corak, 1993; Gray & McDonald, 2012). Second, UI spells cannot be considered stable across time as both claimant and economic characteristics change from one spell to the next. If a researcher does not properly control for variable changes across spells, the correlation between past experiences and future experiences may be "due solely to uncontrolled heterogeneity" (Heckman & Borjas, 1980). However, Heckman and Borjas note that occurrence dependence is the least restrictive (of the three state dependence types) in terms of necessary assumptions in the presence of unobserved heterogeneity while duration dependence is the most restrictive.

Past Research Limitations

The data in Corak (1993) spanned a long horizon; however, the author noted several limitations. The dataset did not contain information on the labor market behavior of claimants between spells of UI claims. This drawback is significant as claimants' wage, employment, residency, and industry can change after UI benefit collection and are likely to influence the length of subsequent claims. Due to the amount of weekly benefits received and the length of benefit collection being tied to past earnings, the labor market activity upon re-employment has an impact on the number of weeks a claimant can claim a benefit. Second, Corak did not have access to information on the time spent before the first UI claim or after the end of the last claim. As with the time spent between claims, the variability between claimants on wage and employment histories at these two time periods will likely contribute to future UI benefit collection.

This article builds upon Corak (1993) by including individual labor market history before, between, and after each UI claim. We argue that it is not merely state dependence that leads to an increase in subsequent UI benefit collection, but the various experiences of past UI collection determining the effect on future UI use. Very few studies include data on labor market participation before and after collecting UI benefits limiting the ability of researchers to analyze the effect of economic characteristics surrounding past states of unemployment on future labor market outcomes. The use of individual and economic characteristics only at the start of UI benefit collection restricts our understanding of the relationship between the entire experience of UI interaction (e.g., job search requirements and workforce

agency service delivery) and future labor market outcomes. We apply the theoretical concept of occurrence dependence on the experience of collecting UI benefits.

Explaining an individual's future labor market behavior after an initial state of unemployment has primarily revolved around three main theories. First, the unemployed individual and employer perceived stigma regarding the UI system has been found to increase the probability of remaining unemployed and increase the length of current and future unemployment (Omori, 1997). The level of stigma experienced has been shown to be negatively related to the local unemployment rate with higher levels of unemployment being associated with lower levels of stigma and perceived human capital decay. Second, as individuals interact with the unemployment system (UI), they begin to understand how the system works and due to the generosity of the program adjust employment patterns to maximize the benefits (Gray & McDonald, 2012). Third, higher wages earned prior to unemployment and lower weekly UI benefits paid have been shown to increase the probability of exiting unemployment due to the lower value of being unemployed (Meyer, 1990).

This article attempts to add to the literature by utilizing the statistical method outlined by Heckman and Borjas (1980) to test the effects of the three theories outlined above on subsequent lengths of UI benefit collection. As stated previously, most studies on state dependence and labor market behavior include only demographic and economic characteristics at the time of the claim while not including fluctuations in conditions before and after the claim. Economic conditions before and after collecting UI benefits are likely to affect availability of jobs, work search intensity, and the length of benefit collection (along with unemployment duration) in terms of future UI duration. In the section below, we argue three hypothesized moderating relationships among the characteristics surrounding the first claim on the duration of subsequent UI benefit collection. A moderation variable is one that interacts with the relationship of other variables such that the relationship depends upon the level of the moderating variable.

Stigma Effects and Unemployment Duration

If a stigma erodes with the first interaction with the UI system, the expected duration of future UI benefit collection should increase. However, as past research indicates during times of high unemployment, employers (and individuals) may be less likely to view collecting UI benefits as stigmatizing (Omori, 1997). The probability of receiving a job offer has been found to decrease during a recession which also decreases the likelihood of reemployment (Kahn, 2011). Jobs during a recession may be part-time or temporary which the worker is likely to be laid off and because the claimant has already interacted with the UI system, the stigma of being a "loser" is likely to have diminished. We argue that the local unemployment rate immediately before collecting UI benefits will moderate the relationship between length of unemployment and longer durations of future use of UI. That is, as the number of unemployed individuals in a labor market increases before an individual begins collecting benefits, the longer an individual will remain unemployed increasing the number of weeks of future UI benefits (a reduced stigma due to the first unemployment spell occurring during times when many individuals are unemployed).

Incentive Effects and UI Program Generosity

If the generosity of the UI system creates an incentive effect to claim an increased number of weeks in subsequent claims, individuals who are re-employed with the same employer have a greater stake in learning the UI system and learning more about how the system functions compared to those individuals who do not intend to return to their previous employer. Claimants who return to their previous employer may be more likely to use the UI system repeatedly. As part of his social learning theory, Bandura (1986) argues that knowledge is frequently passed from one individual to another and if the individual modeling the behavior is rewarded, then vicarious reinforcement in the observer is likely to increase. From this, we argue that individuals (observers) working in jobs where workers are more likely to be laid off and return to the same employer (e.g., such as in the construction industry), are vicariously reinforced when others (models) are seen benefitting from the UI system, making the observers more inclined to use the experience of the first claim to modify their behavior in subsequent claims.

Reservation Wage and UI Weekly Benefit Amount

An increase in the weekly benefit amount and the number of weeks of UI benefits for which claimants are eligible has been shown to decrease job search intensity, increase the value of being unemployed, and increase a claimant's reservation wage while claiming benefits (Fishe, 1981). Reservation wage is defined as the minimum wage a worker will accept for any one job offer. Further, higher wages prior to UI benefit collection have been associated with shorter lengths of unemployment due to an increased cost of being unemployed (Meyer, 1990). The UI benefit amount paid during the first claim is likely to be salient to the claimant and those who received low (high) benefits in the past may anticipate low (high) benefits in the future, thus changing the incentive to collect UI benefits depending on the perceived generosity of the UI system. If claimants find employment that pays a higher wage after collecting UI (compared to just prior) and the salience of the UI system is negative (received low weekly benefits), they may perceive the UI system as unhelpful and there is an increased incentive to find employment quickly in subsequent UI spells. We expect that an increase in wages the year after (compared to prior) receiving the first UI benefit will decrease the duration of subsequent UI benefit collection for those individuals who are paid a lower weekly benefit amount.

Methodology

The data used in this article were collected for claimants who received their first UI benefit between first quarter of 2005 (2005Q1) and first quarter of 2013 (2013Q1) in Wyoming. Corak (1993) suggests that claimant behavior is more likely to change between the first and the second interaction with the UI system with only minimal change in subsequent claims. We collected the same data for the second claim to create the UI experience before, during, between, and after the two claims. The second claim could have been filed between 2006Q1 and 2014Q1. Claimants had to collect at least one week of UI benefits on both claims to be included in the analysis. In an attempt to limit the

sample to first time UI claimants, all individuals who received Wyoming UI benefits prior to 2005 were excluded from the analyses. However, controlling for prior use of UI in another state is not possible with current Research & Planning (R&P) datasets.

R&P maintains a UI wage records database which includes guarterly wages for approximately 92% of Wyoming workers since 1992. Quarterly wage data, number of employers, and the number of tenured quarters with the same employer(s) were gathered to compile labor market participation four quarters prior and four quarters after each claim was filed. The same labor market information was gathered for the time spent between claims. The number of unique quarters the claimant appeared in wage records was calculated to get a complete history of participation in Wyoming's labor market. Wage records are submitted by employers who are required to report quarterly wages for UI tax purposes on all employees. Wage and weekly benefit amount data were adjusted for inflation to the 2013 Consumer Price Index (Manning, 2012). The inflation adjustment methodology is available at http://doe.state.wy.us/LMI/ trends/0812/toc.htm). To measure labor market conditions surrounding the claim, we used local unemployment rates three months prior and three months after each claim. The local unemployment rate allows us to control for economic conditions leading up to the claim and during and after (which is the time period the claimant is searching for work).

Generally, once an individual applies for benefits and receives their first benefit, they have one year to collect the remainder of their benefits (a benefit year). The number of benefit weeks claimed was calculated by counting benefit payments collected between the start of UI benefits (first pay) and the

final payment. However, if an individual was eligible for and began collecting extended UI benefits during and after the economic downturn, the weeks claimed continued even after the final payment. During the recent economic downturn and recovery (200901 to 201304), the Federal Government passed legislation allowing for extended UI benefits (up to 13 weeks) for those who continued to be unemployed after the initial benefits were exhausted. The number of continued weeks claimed between final payment and the start of a new claim was calculated to obtain the number of extended benefit weeks. The ratio of the total number of weeks claimed during the second claim and the number of weeks claimed during the first week was calculated using a log-linear function as claim length can never be zero. Moderation variables

in multiple regression are multiplied with the independent variables of interest and entered into the model as multiplicative (interaction) variables. Each of the variables that constitute the interaction term must be included in the model to reduce bias in the estimates (Brambor, Clark, & Golder, 2005).

According to Heckman and Borjas (1980), a test for the presence of occurrence dependence is a test of the model variables that do not change across spells (spell invariant) having statistically significant regression coefficients. In the regression model, the change variables control for unobserved heterogeneity (of both claimant characteristics and economic conditions) between claims. See Table 1 for a list and the definition for the variables included in the model.

Table 1: Definition	ns of Variables Used in the Regression Analysis
Variable	Definition
time1_age	Age at first claim divided by 10
time1_ed	Education at first claim
used_eb	1 if claimant used Extended Benefits, 0 otherwise
f_msa	1 if claimant resided in a Cheyenne or Casper (MSA), 0 otherwise
F_union	1 if claimant was part of a union hiring hall or was job attached, 0 otherwise
F_resident	1 if claimant had a Wyoming address (WY resident), 0 otherwise
F_SecondQuarter	1 if claimant started collecting benefits in the second calendar quarter, 0 otherwise
F_ThirdQuarter	1 if claimant started collecting benefits in the third calendar quarter, 0 otherwise
F_FourthQuarter	1 if claimant started collecting benefits in the fourth calendar quarter, 0 otherwise
f_natres	1 if claimant collected under natural resources and mining , 0 otherwise
f_construct	1 if claimant collected under construction , 0 otherwise
f_manufact	1 if claimant collected under manufacturing , 0 otherwise
f_trade	1 if claimant collected under trade, transportation, or utilities , 0 otherwise
f_info	1 if claimant collected under information or financial activities , 0 otherwise
f_profess	1 if claimant collected under professional and business services, 0 otherwise
f_edandhealth	1 if claimant collected under educational and health , 0 otherwise
f_leisure	1 if claimant collected under leisure and hospitality , 0 otherwise
f_otherservices	1 if claimant collected under other services , 0 otherwise
f_public	1 if claimant collected under government, 0 otherwise
f_unclassified	1 if claimant collected under unclassified, 0 otherwise
firstweekselg	The number of weeks of benefit eligibility for first claim
f_wba100_adj	Weekly benefit amount divided by 100 (adjusted to 2013 CPI)
firstue	Local Unemployment Rate at the time of first claim
avg_ue_before	Average Local Unemployment Rate three months prior to the month of first claim
avg_ue_after	Average Local Unemployment Rate three months after the month of first claim
	(Table continued on page 20)

http://doe.state.wy.us/LMI

Results

Table 2 (see page 21) shows the relative lengths of benefit weeks claimed by gender and successive claim number. The average length of benefit collection increased from the first to the second spell for both genders; however, the length began to decrease for both genders beginning with the third claim. The total number of male claimants decreased by 10,269 (-55.1%) after the second claim while the total number of females decreased by 4,230 (-62.5%). A total of 24,570 unique claimants were included in the regression model.

Table 3 (see page 22) shows the results of

(Table continued from Table 1: Definition	om page 19) s of Variables Used in the Regression Analysis
Variable	Definition
all_qtrs_before_ first	The number of unique quarters claimant had wages in Wyoming Wage Records
qtrs_worked_4_ prior_first	The number of quarters claimant had wages four quarters prior to first claim
qtrs_tenured_4_ prior_first	The number of quarters the claimant was continuously employed by an employer four quarters prior to first claim
employers_4_ prior_first	The number of employers the claimant had four quarters prior to first claim
months_ between_spells	The number of months between the first and second claim
weeks_ unemployed	Number of weeks unemployed between first claim and re-employment
sameemp	1 if the claimant was re-employed with the same employer after first claim
qtrs_worked_4_ after_first	The number of quarters claimant had wages four quarters after first claim
qtrly_wages_4_ after_first	The average quarterly wages four quarters after first claim divided by 10,000
qtrs_tenured_4_ after_first	The number of quarters the claimant was continuously employed by an employer four quarters after to first claim
employers_4_ after_first	The number of employers the claimant had four quarters after first claim
wagediff	The difference in wages after collecting UI benefits compared to prior (wages after - wages prior)
age_change	The change in age from the first claim to the second
msa_change	1 if claimant moved to or out of Cheyenne or Casper, 0 otherwise
QuarterChange	1 if the claimant filed their second claim in a different quarter than their first, 0 otherwise
industry_change	1 if the claimant filed their second claim under a different industry than their first, 0 otherwise
union_change	1 if the claimant changed their union or job attached status from first to second claim, 0 otherwise
res_change	1 if the claimant filed their second claim under a different county of residence than their first, 0 otherwise
weekselg_change	The change in the number of weeks of benefit eligibility from first claim to second
wba_change100_ adj	The change in the weekly benefit amount from first to second claim divided by 100 (adjusted to 2013 CPI)
unemp_change	The change in the Local Unemployment Rate from first to second claim
uebefore_weeksue	(Average Local Unemployment Rate three months prior to the month of first claim * Number of weeks unemployed between first claim and re-employment) divided by 10
sameemp_ wagesafter	1 if the claimant was re-employed with the same employer after first claim divided by 10,000 * The average quarterly wages four quarters after first claim
eb_weeksue	1 if claimant used Extended Benefits, 0 otherwise * Number of weeks unemployed between first claim and re- employment
wagediff_wba	The difference in wages after collecting UI benefits compared to prior (wages after - wages prior) * Weekly benefit amount divided by 100 (adjusted to 2013 CPI)

the multiple regression model by gender with the log-linear ratio of the length of second claim over the first as the dependent variable. The predictor variables that are statistically significant (p < .05) are bolded. For the female sample, several spell in-variant predictor variables are significant indicating that occurrence dependence may be present. However, we would expect that the intercept term would be statistically significant if true occurrence dependence was present. The coefficient on age (.03) indicates that during the first UI spell, older claimants experience an increase in the number of weeks claimed in successive UI claims. Further, individuals with more education collected fewer weeks of UI benefits in subsequent claims. For males, education was not statistically significant. It should be noted that few claimant personal characteristics during the first claim were statistically significant indicating that focusing on specific claimant characteristics to reduce future length of benefit collection may not result in an actual reduction. Many of the variables that were significant pertained to economic conditions (e.g.,

Table 2: Average Length of Benefit Collection by Gender and Claim Sequence Number								
Male Sample	9							
Claim Sequence	Total	Average Number of Weeks Claimed by Claim Sequence						
1	18,665	16.4						
2	18,622	17.3						
3	8,353	16.4						
4	4,334	16.3						
5+	5,293	14.9						
All Spells	55,267	16.6						
All Spells Female Sam	55,267 ble	16.6						
All Spells Female Sam Claim	55,267 ble	16.6 Average Number of Weeks						
Female Sam Claim Sequence	55,267 Die Total	16.6 Average Number of Weeks Claimed by Claim Sequence						
Female Samp Claim Sequence	55,267 ole <u>Total</u> 6,788	Average Number of Weeks Claimed by Claim Sequence 18.4						
Female Samp Claim Sequence 1 2	55,267 ble Total 6,788 6,765	Average Number of Weeks Claimed by Claim Sequence 18.4 20.1						
Female Samp Claim Sequence 1 2 3	55,267 ole <u>Total</u> 6,788 6,765 2,535	Average Number of Weeks Claimed by Claim Sequence 18.4 20.1 17.5						
Female Samp Claim Sequence 1 2 3 4	55,267 Dle Total 6,788 6,765 2,535 1,241	Average Number of Weeks Claimed by Claim Sequence 18.4 20.1 17.5 16.1						
Female Samp Claim Sequence 1 2 3 4 5+	55,267 Dle Total 6,788 6,765 2,535 1,241 1,566	16.6 Average Number of Weeks Claimed by Claim Sequence 18.4 20.1 17.5 16.1 14.3						

unemployment rate, quarter of claim).

For both genders, the average unemployment rate three months after the start of benefit collection was significant. If claimants experienced higher levels of unemployment during their first three months of UI collection, the number of weeks they collect UI benefits decreases in subsequent claims. This result supports (Omori, 1997) in that claimants may be less "scarred" by UI benefit collection if unemployment is high during their first use of the UI benefit system due to reduced employer stigma. For males, a claimant who was re-employed with the same employer saw an increase in subsequent benefit collection indicating claimants might be learning the UI system if they are employed in an industry that frequently lays-off their workforce.

In terms of our three hypothesized moderating relationships, one was statistically significant for females and two for males. For both genders, a claimant going back to work for the same employer moderated the relationship between wages after the first claim and subsequent claim duration (see Figure 1, page 23, and Figure 2, page 24). Those claimants who earned higher wages after the first claim and did not return to the same employer claimed fewer weeks in successive UI spells compared to those who did return to the same employer. This result supports our hypothesis that for claimants not returning to work with the same employer, learning models may not be as readily available to reinforce the use of the UI system. When others (models) are seen benefitting from the UI system, observers are more inclined to use the experience of the first claim to modify their behavior in subsequent claims.

(Text continued on page 23)

	Female Sam	ple			Male Sample				
Variable	В	Beta	t	Sig.	В	Beta	t	Sig.	
Intercept	0.324		1.305	0.192	0.025		0.118	0.906	
time1 age	0.030	0.033	2.213	0.027	0.060	0.065	6.883	0.000	
time1 ed	-0.021	-0.038	-2.731	0.006	0.005	0.008	0.930	0.352	
used eb	-0.839	-0.293	-18.729	0.000	-0.742	-0.243	-25.410	0.000	
f msa	0.014	0.005	0.321	0.748	-0.016	-0.005	-0.591	0.555	
F union	-0.123	-0.042	-2.654	0.008	-0.068	-0.027	-2.695	0.007	
F resident	0.113	0.032	1.889	0.059	0.126	0.039	3.671	0.000	
F SecondQuarter	-0.176	-0.062	-3.538	0.000	-0.045	-0.015	-1 438	0 151	
F ThirdQuarter	-0.045	-0.013	-0.804	0.422	0.023	0.006	0.586	0.558	
F FourthOuarter	-0.057	-0.022	-1 169	0.242	0.027	0.010	0.928	0.353	
f natres	0.162	0.023	1 040	0.298	0.241	0.060	1 395	0 163	
f_construct	-0.011	-0.003	-0.086	0.230	0.207	0.082	1 207	0.103	
f_manufact	0.097	0.005	0.640	0.532	0.324	0.059	1.207	0.067	
f_trade	0.124	0.035	0.952	0.341	0.321	0.052	1 263	0.007	
f_info	0.032	0.004	0.202	0.840	0.338	0.025	1.205	0.101	
f_nrofess	0.032	0.006	0.191	0.849	0.173	0.025	0.988	0 323	
f_edandbealth	0.025	0.000	0.740	0.019	0.173	0.035	1 111	0.525	
f leisure	-0.012	-0.005	-0.099	0.921	0.175	0.014	0.223	0.200	
f otherservices	-0.039	-0.005	-0.252	0.921	0.000	0.010	1 594	0.023	
f_public	0.052	0.005	0.582	0.561	0.132	0.024	0.804	0.177	
f unclassified	0.002	0.010	0.952	0.341	0.152	0.024	1 007	0.722	
firstweeksela	0.144	0.025	3 812	0.000	0.178	0.054	3 462	0.01	
f wba100 adi	-0.016	-0.015	-0.606	0.545	-0.047	-0.040	-2 0/0	0.001	
firstue	-0.010	-0.015	-0.000	0.945	0.047	0.040	3 /33	0.003	
ava ue before	0.002	0.005	2 064	0.000	0.013	0.075	0.932	0.352	
avg_ue_berore	-0.071	-0.116	-2.004	0.000	-0.108	-0 171	-9.390	0.552	
all atrs before first	0.001	0.023	1 4 4 2	0.000	-0.002	-0.024	-2 439	0.000	
atrs worked 4 prior first	0.001	0.025	0.689	0.149	-0.002	-0.024	-0.468	0.640	
atrs tenured 4 prior first	-0.027	-0.021	-0.883	0.377	0.019	0.007	1 330	0.040	
employers A prior first	0.019	0.004	0.005	0.377	0.01	0.022	0.094	0.10-	
atrs worked 4 after first	-0.041	-0.033	-1 287	0.044	-0.032	-0.027	-1 607	0.025	
atrly wages A after first	-0.101	-0.028	-1 023	0.100	-0.138	-0.058	-3 502	0.100	
atrs tenured 4 after first	-0.101	-0.028	-0.907	0.300	-0.007	-0.009	-0.496	0.620	
employers 1 after first	-0.019	-0.024	-2 969	0.003	-0.007	-0.009	-2 661	0.020	
months between spells	-0.002	-0.055	-4 677	0.005	-0.039	-0.035	-7.256	0.000	
weeks upemployed	-0.007	-0.032	-0.909	0.364	-0.0012	-0.012	-0.517	0.605	
sameemp	0.002	0.032	1 976	0.304	0.168	0.012	-0.517 4 637	0.005	
wagediff	0.103	0.057	0.849	0.396	-0.205	-0.089	-1 572	0.000	
age change	0.195	0.057	0.049	0.530	0.138	0.009	0.83/	0.110	
msa chango	0.001	0.013	0.474	0.030	-0.004	0.013	-0.055	0.404	
OuartorChange	0.091	0.012	2 671	0.401	0.162	0.000	-0.035 7 0/8	0.930	
	0.055	0.040	1.679	0.000	0.026	0.005	1.046	0.000	
union change	0.000	0.027	1.020	0.104	0.020	0.010	2 240	0.510	
ros chango	-0.103	-0.033	-2.300	0.018	-0.030	-0.020	-2.340	0.019	
wooksola chango	0.040	0.009	0.022 9 914	0.334	0.040	0.007	12 072	0.595	
when change 100 adi	0.037	0.101	7 962	0.000	0.034	0.155	12.075	0.000	
	0.100	0.157	9.364	0.000	0.142	0.109	10.020	0.000	
unemp_change	0.075	0.015	0.205	0.000	0.076	-0.007	-0.204	0.000	
comporter weeksue	0.010	0.015	0.395	0.093	-0.007	-0.007	-0.294	0.708	
sameemp_wagesafter	0.134	0.059	2.012	0.009	0.094	0.054	3.054	0.000	
	-0.048	-0.056	-0.928	0.353	0.066	0.121	2.223	0.026	
wodel Significance	KZ = .22,				$K_2 = .21,$				
	г(47, 4433) — 26 17 n /				F(49, 11207) –				
	– 20.17, P < 000				5978 n <				
	.000				J., J, P \				

(Text continued from page 21)

For males, weekly benefit amount moderated the relationship between the wage difference surrounding the first claim and subsequent claim duration (see Figure 3, page 24). As expected, claimants who gained wages after receiving UI benefits and received low benefit payments claimed fewer weeks of UI in subsequent claims compared to claimants receiving high benefit payments. The positive slope for claimants receiving high benefit payments is of note. As described in the introduction, the perception of the UI system depending on the amount of benefit payments is likely to be salient the second time a claimant receives UI

benefits. For those claimants receiving high benefit payments during their first claim may view the UI system as positive and helpful and claimants may spend more time looking for a job that pays more than their reservation wage thus increasing the wage earned after claiming compared to before their claim.

Of further note, there is a point where the slopes intersect (in the little change in wage category). When claimants experience a loss in wages, those with low benefit payments have higher subsequent UI weeks compared to those with high benefit payments. However, as claimants gain wages, the relationship intersects and those with higher benefit payments



Figure 1: Incentive Effects and Unemployment Insurance (UI) Program Generosity Moderation Effect for the Female Sample

claim more weeks of UI. This result suggests that wage change surrounding the first claim plays a significant role in the weeks of benefits claimed in the future depending upon the amount of benefit payment. For instance, claimants who experience low benefit payments (compared to those with higher payments) and a loss in wages after benefit collection may spend more time looking for work during the second claim to avoid the need to continue to claim in the future. This may be due to their perception that the UI system is not as valuable as being employed because of low benefit payments.

For both genders, the unemployment rate prior to receiving the first claim did not significantly moderate the relationship between length of unemployment and subsequent benefit weeks claimed. Further. for females, weekly benefit amount did not significantly moderate the relationship between wage difference and subsequent benefit weeks claimed. It should be noted that the simple slopes in each of the moderation terms were not significantly different from zero. This means we cannot draw conclusions regarding the strength of the slopes of the moderation variables.

Conclusion

This article explored the experience of using the UI system and expanded upon past research by including both personal and economic variables surrounding the collection of UI. We tested for the presence of occurrence dependence with mixed results. We found that several spell in-variant variables (at the time of the first claim) were statistically significant. However, the constant term was not significant indicating that, all other variables held constant, there is no evidence of true occurrence dependence (simply the occurrence of a UI spell alters future spells).

Our results suggest that changing or implementing policies that target claimant personal characteristics is unlikely to affect the number of benefit weeks claimed in subsequent UI claims. Most of the variation in the duration was due to macro- and micro-economic conditions such as the local unemployment rate and when the claimant was unemployed. Employment histories were also not significant predictors of future weeks of UI benefit claims.

Future research should include data on the number and intensity of workforce agency services on future claimant labor market outcomes. If workforce agencies wish to decrease the amount of UI benefits received, they will be able to tailor their services to claimants that have specific past and future labor market histories. For example, claimants who







Figure 3: Wage Difference After Compared to Before First Unemployment Insurance (UI) Benefit Collection and Weekly Benefit Amount (WBA) Moderation Effect for the Male Sample

experience their first claim during high levels of unemployment and have highly specialized skills may be more difficult to employ as job opportunities shrink and they may take lower paying jobs to ease the financial burden. However, jobs during economic downturns tend to be of lower quality (Kahn, 2011) and the claimant may claim more benefits during their second claim to spend time looking for a higher quality job. Placing this claimant in workshops or classes where they can broaden their skills and abilities may give more opportunities for better employment after that first claim. Further, workforce agency services may interact with economic conditions where certain services are more or less effective as the business cycle changes.

Several limitations should be noted. The length of time unemployed after the first claim was calculated using the number of quarters between the quarter of collecting benefits and the quarter after appearing in wage records again. There are typically 13 weeks in a quarter and the number of quarters was multiplied by 13 thus limiting the variability in the actual number of weeks unemployed. The Wyoming unemployment rate was substituted for those claimants without a Wyoming residence. Using the statewide unemployment rate does not tell us the economic conditions at the local (county) level. Future research could incorporate the unemployment rate in the claimant's home county which would also be a proxy for the likelihood they could find employment in their home county. Finally, the R^2 for males and females (.21 and .22, respectively) was relatively small. This means that around 80% of the variance in both models was accounted for by other variables. Future research could survey claimants regarding their experience with the UI system and may yield more fruitful information on such

variables as reservation wage, likelihood of taking a job if offered, value of employment, and unemployment stigma.

Future research should also include examining the relationship of returning to the same employer and employeroffered benefits. For example, there may be an interaction between benefits offered and likelihood of returning in those industries where lay-offs are common due to seasonality (e.g., construction). R&P currently surveys a sample of employers in the state regarding the benefits they offer employees. More information regarding R&P benefit survey research can be found here: http://doe.state.wy.us/LMI/benefits. htm.

References

- Arranz, J.M., & Muro, J. (2000). New evidence on state dependence in unemployment histories.
- Bandura, A. (1986). Social Foundations of Thought and Action: A Social Cognitive Theory. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall, Inc.
- Braumoeller, B.F. (2004). Hypothesis testing and multiplicative interaction terms. *International Organization*, 58, 807-820.
- Cappellari, L., Dorsett, R., & Haile, G. (2010). State dependence and unobserved heterogeneity in the employment transitions of the over-50s. *Empirical Economics, 38*, 523-554.
- Card, D., & Levine, P.B. (2000). Extended benefits and the duration of UI spells: Evidence from the New Jersey extended benefit program. *Journal of Public*

Economics, 78, 107-138.

- Corak, M. (1993). Is unemployment insurance addictive? Evidence from the benefit durations of repeat users. *Industrial & Labor Relations Review, 47*, 62-72.
- Doiron, D., & Gørgens, T. (2008). State dependence in youth labor market experiences and the evaluation of policy interventions. *Journal of Econometrics*, *145*, 81-97.
- Fishe, R.P. (1981). Unemployment insurance and the reservation wage of the unemployed. *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, 64, 12-17.
- Gray, D., & McDonald, T. (2012). Does the sophistication of use of unemployment insurance evolve with experience? *Canadian Journal of Economics*, 45, 1220-1245.
- Harris, P. (2014). The recent labor market downturn as a natural experiment, part 1: unemployment insurance (UI) claimant labor market behavior: length of benefit collection and the likelihood of exiting the labor market. *Wyoming Labor Force Trends*, 50(8).
- Heckman, J.J., & Borjas, G.J. (1980).
 Does unemployment cause future unemployment? Definitions, questions, and answers from a continuous time model of heterogeneity and state dependence. *Economica*, 47, 247-283.
- Kahn, L.B. (2011). Job durations, match quality and the business cycle: What we can learn from firm fixed effects. Yale University.

Knabe, A, & Rätzel, S. (2011). Scarring

or scaring? The psychological impact of past unemployment future unemployment risk. *Economica*, 78, 283-293.

- Manning, P. (2012). Examining wage progression in Wyoming from 1992 to 2011. Wyoming Labor Force Trends, 50(8).
- Meyer, B.D. (1990). Unemployment insurance and unemployment spells. *Econometrica*, 58, 757-782.
- Niedergesäss, M. (2012). Duration dependence, lagged duration dependence, and occurrence dependence in individual employment histories. (University of Tuebingen Working Papers in Economics and Finance No. 26).
- Omori, Y. (1997). Stigma effects of nonemployment. *Economic Inquiry, 35*, 394-416.
- Opp, K.D. (1979). Social evolution: Learning theory applied to group action. *Theory and Decision, 10*, 229-243.
- Rothstein, J. (2011). Unemployment insurance and job search in the Great Recession. *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*. (Fall).
- Ruhm, C.J. (1991). Are workers permanently scarred by job displacements? *The American Economic Review, 81*, 319-324.
- van Ours, J.C., & Vodopivec, M. (2006). How shortening the potential duration of unemployment benefits affects the duration of unemployment: Evidence from a natural experiment. *Journal of Labor Economics*, 24, 351-378.

Quarterly Turnover Statistics by Industry, Second Quarter 2014

The hire rate for construction was 24.7%, the highest hire rate for this industry since 1997Q2. Updated historical data with charts can be found at http://doe.state.wy.us/LMI/ turnover.htm.

			(H)	(H)+(B)	(B)	(E)	(E)+(B)	(C)	(H+E+B+C)	H+E+B+C) Turno	
Sector	Major Industry		Hire Only	Total Hires	Both Hire and Exit	Exit Only	Total Exits	Continuous Employment	Total	Rate ^a	Change Prior Year
lucing	Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing, & Hunting	Transactions ^b Rates	798 22.8	1,072 30.6	274 7.8	288 8.2	562 16.0	2,144 61.2	3,504 100.0	38.8	0.4
s Prod	Mining	Transactions Rates	2,992 10.2	3,840 13.0	848 2.9	2,622 8.9	3,470 11.8	22,990 78.1	29,452 100.0	21.9	2.6
Good	Construction	Transactions Rates	8,196 24.7	12,676 38.2	4,480 13.5	4,020 12.1	8,500 25.6	16,455 49.6	33,151 100.0	50.4	2.3
	Manufacturing	Transactions Rates	1,123 10.4	1,436 13.2	313 2.9	816 7.5	1,129 10.4	8,592 79.2	10,844 100.0	20.8	-0.9
	Wholesale Trade, Transp., Utilities, & Warehousing	Transactions Rates	2,942 12.2	3,896 16.1	954 3.9	2,275 9.4	3,229 13.3	18,042 74.5	24,213 100.0	25.5	2.5
	Retail Trade	Transactions Rates	6,089 18.3	8,223 24.8	2,134 6.4	4,682 14.1	6,816 20.5	20,318 61.2	33,223 100.0	38.8	0.4
	Information	Transactions Rates	422 8.8	532 11.0	110 2.3	388 8.1	498 10.3	3,897 80.9	4,817 100.0	19.1	0.3
ding	Financial Activities	Transactions Rates	1,294 10.1	1,595 12.5	301 2.4	1,142 9.0	1,443 11.3	10,021 78.5	12,758 100.0	21.5	-0.8
ice Provi	Professional & Business Services	Transactions Rates	4,649 18.5	7,128 28.4	2,479 9.9	3,113 12.4	5,592 22.3	14,881 59.2	25,122 100.0	40.8	-0.4
Servi	Educational Services	Transactions Rates	1,868 5.5	2,946 8.7	1,078 3.2	4,865 14.4	5,943 17.6	25,878 76.8	33,689 100.0	23.2	1.2
	Health Services	Transactions Rates	3,723 10.1	4,668 12.7	945 2.6	3,671 10.0	4,616 12.5	28,495 77.4	36,834 100.0	22.6	1.0
	Leisure & Hospitality	Transactions Rates	15,596 29.5	21,107 40.0	5,511 10.4	8,754 16.6	14,265 27.0	22,942 43.4	52,803 100.0	56.6	0.9
	Other Services	Transactions Rates	1,478 15.2	2,068 21.3	590 6.1	1,186 12.2	1,776 18.3	6,449 66.5	9,703 100.0	33.5	-0.2
	Public Admin.	Transactions Rates	2,706 11.7	3,158 13.7	452 2.0	1,463 6.3	1,915 8.3	18,427 80.0	23,048 100.0	20.0	-1.5
	Unclassified	Transactions Rates	194 30.1	317 49.2	123 19.1	111 17.2	234 36.3	216 33.5	644 100.0	66.5	12.6
Total		Transactions Rates	54,07 <mark>0</mark> 16.2	74,662 22.4	20,592 6.2	39,39 <mark>6</mark> 11.8	59,988 18.0	219,747 65.8	333,805 100.0	34.2	1.0

(H) Hire Only. (B) Both Hire and Exit. (E) Exit Only. (C) Continuous Employment.

^aTurnover rate equals (H+E+B)/Total.

^bJobs worked at any time during the quarter.

Historical turnover data can be found online at http://doe.state.wy.us/LMI/turnover.htm.

Persons Working in Jobs Covered by Wyoming State Unemployment Insurance, Third Quarter 2014

by: Tony Glover, Workforce Information Supervisor

The number of new persons not previously found working in Wyoming increased 7.8% from 2013Q3. This was the first over-the-year increase during the third quarter since 2011Q3.

The average quarterly wage for persons working one job in Wyoming in third quarter 2014 (2014Q3) was \$10,754, up from \$10,370 (\$384, or 3.7%) in third quarter 2013 (2013Q3). Total wages increased by 5.7% from 2013Q3 to 2014Q3.





Figure 1: Percentage Change from Previous Year, Wyoming Wage Records, Third Quarter 2014



Figure 3: Percentage of Total Persons by Number of Jobs Worked in Wyoming, Third Quarter 2014

Figure 2: Mean Quarterly Wages in Wyoming by Number of Jobs, Third Quarter 2014



Figure 4: Running Total of Persons in Wyoming Wage Records, Third Quarter 1992 (1992Q3) to Third Quarter 2014 (2014Q3)

Wyoming Unemployment Rate Falls to 4.5% in November 2014 David Bullard, Senior Economist

The Research & Planning section of the Wyoming Department of Workforce Services reported that the state's seasonally adjusted¹ unemployment rate fell from 4.7% in October to 4.5% in November (not a statistically significant change). Wyoming's unemployment rate was unchanged from its November 2013 level of 4.5%, and significantly lower than the current U.S. unemployment rate of 5.8%. Seasonally adjusted employment of Wyoming residents increased slightly, rising by an estimated 670 individuals (0.2%) from October to November.

Most county unemployment rates changed very little from October to November. One exception to this pattern was Teton County, where unemployment rose from 5.2% to 7.9%. It is normal for unemployment to increase in November in Teton County as the summer tourist season has ended and the ski season has not begun. Park County, another tourism dependent county, saw its unemployment rate increase from 4.5% to 5.1%.

Seasonal adjustment is a statistical procedure to remove the impact of normal regularly recurring events (such as weather, major holidays, and the opening and closing of schools) from economic time series to better understand changes in economic conditions from month to month. From November 2013 to November 2014, unemployment rates decreased in 17 counties, increased slightly in 5 counties, and remained unchanged in Albany County. The largest decreases occurred in Platte (down from 4.8% to 4.0%), Johnson (down from 5.2% to 4.6%), Uinta (down from 4.4% to 3.9%), and Niobrara (down from 3.8% to 3.3%) counties. Unemployment rates rose slightly in Laramie (up from 4.3% to 4.6%), Washakie (up from 4.1% to 4.4%), and Weston (up from 3.6% to 3.9%) counties.

Most of the counties with the lowest unemployment rates in November were found in the eastern part of the state where energy development activity has grown in recent years. Converse County had the lowest unemployment rate (2.8%), followed by Campbell (3.0%), Sublette (3.2%), and Niobrara (3.3%) counties. Several of the areas with the highest unemployment rates were found in western Wyoming: Teton (7.9%), Fremont (5.2%), Lincoln (5.1%), and Park (5.1%) counties.

Total nonfarm employment (measured by place of work) rose from 290,300 in November 2013 to 293,000 in November 2014, a gain of 2,700 jobs (0.9%).



1

Current Employment Statistics (CES) Estimates and Research & Planning's Short-Term Projections, November 2014

by: David Bullard, Senior Economist

Industry Sector	Research & Planning's Short-Term Projections	Current Employment Statistics (CES) Estimates	N Difference	% Difference
Total Nonfarm	292,657	293,000	343	0.1%
Natural Resources & Mining	27,433	27,100	-333	-1.2%
Construction	23,603	21,500	-2,103	-9.8%
Manufacturing	10,105	10,100	-5	0.0%
Wholesale Trade	9,645	9,600	-45	-0.5%
Retail Trade	30,010	31,200	1,190	3.8%
Transportation & Utilities	15,591	15,700	109	0.7%
Information	3,731	3,800	69	1.8%
Financial Activities	11,069	11,300	231	2.0%
Professional & Business Services	18,398	18,800	402	2.1%
Educational & Health Services	27,389	27,800	411	1.5%
Leisure & Hospitality	31,807	30,900	-907	-2.9%
Other Services	11,260	12,200	940	7.7%
Government	72,616	73,000	384	0.5%

Projections were run in May 2014 and based on QCEW data through December 2013.





State Unemployment Rates November 2014 Seasonally Adjusted

Unemp.

State	Rate
Puerto Rico	14.0
District of Columbia	7.4
Mississippi	7.3
California	7.2
Georgia	7.2
Rhode Island	7.1
Oregon	7.0
Nevada	6.9
Arizona	6.8
Tennessee	6.8
Michigan	6.7
South Carolina	6.7
Alaska	6.6
Connecticut	6.5
Louisiana	6.5
Illinois	6.4
New Jersey	6.4
New Mexico	6.4
West Virginia	6.3
Washington	6.2
Alabama	6.0
Delaware	6.0
Kentucky	6.0
New York	5.9
Arkansas	5.8
Florida	5.8
Massachusetts	5.8
	5.0 E 0
Indiana	5.7
Maine	5.7
Maryland	5.6
Missouri	5.6
Wisconsin	5.2
Pennsylvania	5.1
Ohio	5.0
Virginia	5.0
Texas	4.9
Wyoming	4.5
Oklahoma	4.4
lowa	4.3
Kansas	4.3
Montana	4.3
Vermont	4.3
Colorado	4.1
New Hampshire	4.1
Hawaii	4.0
Idaho	3.9
Minnesota	3./
Utah	3.6
South Dakota	3.3
Nebraska	3.1
North Dakota	2./

Wyoming Nonagricultural Wage and Salary Employment by: David Bullard, Senior Economist

	E	mplovmen	t	Total Employment			
	in	Thousand	Oct 2014	Nov 2013			
	Nov 2014	Oct 2014	Nov 2013	Nov 2014	Nov 2014		
CAMPBELL COUNTY							
TOTAL NONAG. WAGE & SALARY EMPLOYMENT	29.6	29.8	28.5	-0.7	3.9		
TOTAL PRIVATE	24.3	24.5	23.3	-0.8	4.3		
GOODS PRODUCING	11.6	11.8	10.8	-1.7	7.4		
Natural Resources & Mining	8.1	8.2	7.8	-1.2	3.8		
Construction	2.9	3.0	2.4	-3.3	20.8		
Manufacturing	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.0	0.0		
SERVICE PROVIDING	18.0	18.0	17.7	0.0	1.7		
Trade, Transportation, & Utilities	5.9	5.9	5.7	0.0	3.5		
Information	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0		
Financial Activities	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.0	0.0		
Professional & Business Services	1.7	1.7	1.7	0.0	0.0		
Educational & Health Services	1.1	1.0	1.1	10.0	0.0		
Leisure & Hospitality	2.3	2.4	2.3	-4.2	0.0		
Other Services	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.0	0.0		
GOVERNMENT	5.3	5.3	5.2	0.0	1.9		

	E	mplovmen	Percent Change Total Employment			
	in	Thousand	s	Oct 2014	Nov 2013	
	Nov 2014	Oct 2014	Nov 2014	Nov 2014		
SWEETWATER COUNTY						
TOTAL NONAG. WAGE & SALARY EMPLOYMENT	25.5	25.8	25.0	-1.2	2.0	
TOTAL PRIVATE	20.7	20.9	20.1	-1.0	3.0	
GOODS PRODUCING	9.2	9.3	8.6	-1.1	7.0	
Natural Resources & Mining	5.8	5.8	5.7	0.0	1.8	
Construction	2.0	2.1	1.5	-4.8	33.3	
Manufacturing	1.4	1.4	1.4	0.0	0.0	
SERVICE PROVIDING	16.3	16.5	16.4	-1.2	-0.6	
Trade, Transportation, & Utilities	5.1	5.1	5.1	0.0	0.0	
Information	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	
Financial Activities	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.0	0.0	
Professional & Business Services	1.1	1.1	1.1	0.0	0.0	
Educational & Health Services	1.2	1.2	1.1	0.0	9.1	
Leisure & Hospitality	2.3	2.4	2.4	-4.2	-4.2	
Other Services	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.0	0.0	
GOVERNMENT	4.8	4.9	4.9	-2.0	-2.0	

			Percent Change			
	E	mplovmer	Total Employment			
	in	Thousand	ls	Oct 2014	Nov 2013	
	Nov 2014	Oct 2014	Nov 2013	Nov 2014	Nov 2014	
TETON COUNTY						
TOTAL NONAG. WAGE & SALARY EMPLOYMENT	15.7	18.4	15.5	-14.7	1.3	
TOTAL PRIVATE	13.3	15.9	13.1	-16.4	1.5	
GOODS PRODUCING	2.2	2.2	2.0	0.0	10.0	
Natural Resources, Mining & Construction	2.0	2.0	1.9	0.0	5.3	
Manufacturing	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.0	100.0	
SERVICE PROVIDING	13.5	16.2	13.5	-16.7	0.0	
Trade, Transportation, & Utilities	2.3	2.5	2.2	-8.0	4.5	
Information	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	
Financial Activities	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.0	12.5	
Professional & Business Services	1.7	1.8	1.7	-5.6	0.0	
Educational & Health Services	1.0	1.1	1.1	-9.1	-9.1	
Leisure & Hospitality	4.5	6.7	4.6	-32.8	-2.2	
Other Services	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.0	
GOVERNMENT	2.4	2.5	2.4	-4.0	0.0	

State Unemployment Rates
November 2014
Not Seasonally Adjusted

	Unemp.
State	Rate
Puerto Rico	13.5
California	7.1
District of Columbia	7.0
Nevada	6.9
Arizona	6.8
Georgia	6.7
Oregon	6.7
Rhode Island	6.6
Mississippi	6.4
Tennessee	6.4
Louisiana	6.3
South Carolina	6.3
Alaska	6.2
Connecticut	6.2
New Mexico	6.2
wasnington	6.1
IIIInois Neur Ierreeu	6.0
Indiana	5.9
Kontucky	5.0 5.0
New York	5.8
Michigan	5.7
Florida	5.6
Alabama	5.5
United States	5.5
West Virginia	5.5
Maine	5.4
Arkansas	5.3
Maryland	5.3
North Carolina	5.3
Massachusetts	5.2
Missouri	5.1
Delaware	5.0
WISCONSIN	4./
Toyor	4.0
Ohio	4.0
Virginia	4 5
Montana	4.2
Oklahoma	4.2
Wyoming	4.2
Colorado	4.0
Hawaii	4.0
Kansas	4.0
lowa	3.9
New Hampshire	3.9
Vermont	3.9
luano	3.8 2.2
Minnesota	2.5
South Dakota	3.2
Nebraska	2.5
North Dakota	2.4

January 2015

Deveent Change

Economic Indicators

by: David Bullard, Senior Economist

Benefits paid by Wyoming unemployment insurance fell 20.6% from November 2013 to November 2014, suggesting improvement in the state's labor market.

	Nov 2014 (p)	Oct 2014 (r)	Nov 2013 (b)	Percent Month	Change Year
Wyoming Total Nonfarm Employment	293,000	297,900	290,300	-1.6	0.9
Wyoming State Government	15,900	15,900	16,000	0.0	-0.6
Laramie County Nonfarm Employment	46,400	46,800	46,600	-0.9	-0.4
Natrona County Nonfarm Employment	42,800	42,900	42,500	-0.2	0.7
Selected U.S. Employment Data					
U.S. Multiple Jobholders	7,549,000	7,773,000	6,973,000	-2.9	8.3
As a percent of all workers	5.1%	5.3%	4.8%	N/A	N/A
U.S. Discouraged Workers	698,000	770,000	762,000	-9.4	-8.4
U.S. Part Time for Economic Reasons	6,713,000	6,787,000	7,563,000	-1.1	-11.2
Wyoming Unemployment Insurance					
Weeks Compensated	12,446	8,724	16,125	42.7	-22.8
Benefits Paid	\$4,340,053	\$3,082,414	\$5,466,340	40.8	-20.6
Average Weekly Benefit Payment	\$348.71	\$353.33	\$339.00	-1.3	2.9
State Insured Covered Jobs ¹	271,327	275,467	267,159	-1.5	1.6
Insured Unemployment Rate	1.7%	1.1%	2.2%	N/A	N/A
Consumer Price Index (U) for All U.S. Urban Consumers					
(1982 to 1984 = 100)					
All Items	236.2	237.4	233.1	-0.5	1.3
Food & Beverages	244.9	244.8	237.6	0.1	3.1
Housing	234.3	234.4	228.4	-0.1	2.6
Apparel	129.0	132.0	129.4	-2.2	-0.3
Transportation	206.9	212.6	212.8	-2.7	-2.8
Medical Care	438.4	437.0	427.7	0.3	2.5
Recreation (Dec. 1997=100)	115.0	115.4	115.3	-0.3	-0.3
Education & Communication (Dec. 1997=100)	137.7	138.0	136.8	-0.2	0.6
Other Goods & Services	409.8	410.3	403.0	-0.1	1.7
Producer Prices (1982 to $1984 = 100$)					
All Commodities	201.0	203.6	201.0	-1.3	0.0
Wyo. Bldg. Permits (New Privately Owned Housing Units Authorized)					
Total Units	107	140	144	-23.6	-25.7
Valuation	\$33,662,000	\$32,219,000	\$28,888,000	4.5	16.5
Single Family Homes	101	113	107	-10.6	-5.6
Valuation	\$33,148,000	\$31,101,000	\$26,360,000	6.6	25.8
Casper MSA ² Building Permits	14 62.645.000	81	53 67004000	-22.2	-/3.6
Valuation Chaverage MCA Duilding Dermite	\$3,645,000	\$3,388,000	\$7,084,000	/.6	-48.5
Valuation	14 000 SNC C3	22 ممر عود م	3/ \$5 451 000	-30.4	-02.2
valuation	\$2,245,000	\$4,760,000	\$5,451,000	-22.1	-20.9
Baker Hughes North American Rotary Rig Count for Wyoming	61	61	56	0.0	8.9

(p) Preliminary. (r) Revised. (b) Benchmarked.

¹Local Area Unemployment Statistics Program estimates.

²Metropolitan Statistical Area.

Note: Production worker hours and earnings data have been dropped from the Economic Indicators page because of problems with accuracy due to a small sample size and high item nonresponse. The U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics will continue to publish these data online at http://www.bls.gov/eag/eag.wy.htm.



Wyoming County Unemployment Rates

by: Carola Cowan, BLS Programs Supervisor

Most of the counties with the lowest unemployment rates in November were found in the eastern part of the state where energy development activity has grown in recent years.

		Labor Forc	e	Employed			Unemployed			Unemployment Rates		
REGION	Nov	Oct	Nov	Nov	Oct	Nov	Nov	Oct	Nov	Nov	Oct	Nov
County	2014	2014	2013	2014	2014	2013	2014	2014	2013	2014	2014	2013
	(p)	(r)	(b)	(p)	(r)	(b)	(p)	(r)	(b)	(p)	(r)	(b)
NORTHWEST	46,522	47,281	46,807	44,220	45,043	44,462	2,302	2,238	2,345	4.9	4.7	5.0
Big Horn	5,287	5,217	5,242	5,046	4,969	4,979	241	248	263	4.6	4.8	5.0
Fremont	19,718	19,854	19,813	18,693	18,843	18,748	1,025	1,011	1,065	5.2	5.1	5.4
Hot Springs	2,513	2,551	2,626	2,417	2,444	2,519	96	107	107	3.8	4.2	4.1
Park	14,688	15,351	14,757	13,936	14,655	14,025	752	696	732	5.1	4.5	5.0
Washakie	4,316	4,308	4,369	4,128	4,132	4,191	188	176	178	4.4	4.1	4.1
NORTHEAST	57,269	57,085	55,122	55,204	55,006	53,004	2,065	2,079	2,118	3.6	3.6	3.8
Campbell	29,482	29,277	27,876	28,607	28,372	26,952	875	905	924	3.0	3.1	3.3
Crook	3,575	3,601	3,545	3,445	3,466	3,409	130	135	136	3.6	3.7	3.8
Johnson	4,134	4,150	3,975	3,945	3,965	3,769	189	185	206	4.6	4.5	5.2
Sheridan	16,623	16,655	16,331	15,887	15,943	15,602	736	712	729	4.4	4.3	4.5
Weston	3,455	3,402	3,395	3,320	3,260	3,272	135	142	123	3.9	4.2	3.6
SOUTHWEST	63,990	66,021	63,937	61,038	63,166	60,848	2,952	2,855	3,089	4.6	4.3	4.8
Lincoln	7,867	8,036	7,638	7,468	7,619	7,241	399	417	397	5.1	5.2	5.2
Sublette	6,575	6,981	6,903	6,364	6,758	6,690	211	223	213	3.2	3.2	3.1
Sweetwater	25,490	25,402	25,473	24,599	24,435	24,544	891	967	929	3.5	3.8	3.6
Teton	12,962	14,415	12,867	11,940	13,665	11,800	1,022	750	1,067	7.9	5.2	8.3
Uinta	11,096	11,187	11,056	10,667	10,689	10,573	429	498	483	3.9	4.5	4.4
SOUTHEAST	79,589	78,920	78,847	76,255	75,521	75,604	3,334	3,399	3,243	4.2	4.3	4.1
Albany	20,268	19,982	19,821	19,566	19,225	19,134	702	757	687	3.5	3.8	3.5
Goshen	6,621	6,654	6,788	6,371	6,376	6,511	250	278	277	3.8	4.2	4.1
Laramie	47,045	46,646	46,684	44,880	44,515	44,657	2,165	2,131	2,027	4.6	4.6	4.3
Niobrara	1,357	1,453	1,338	1,312	1,406	1,287	45	47	51	3.3	3.2	3.8
Platte	4,298	4,185	4,216	4,126	3,999	4,015	172	186	201	4.0	4.4	4.8
CENTRAL	63,428	62,978	61,995	61,120	60,588	59,639	2,308	2,390	2,356	3.6	3.8	3.8
Carbon	8,557	8,544	8,025	8,219	8,196	7,684	338	348	341	3.9	4.1	4.2
Converse	8,971	8,689	8,406	8,716	8,427	8,137	255	262	269	2.8	3.0	3.2
Natrona	45,900	45,745	45,564	44,185	43,965	43,818	1,715	1,780	1,746	3.7	3.9	3.8
STATEWIDE	310,801	312,286	306,709	297,839	299,326	293,557	12,962	12,960	13,152	4.2	4.2	4.3

Statewide Seasonally Adjusted	4.5	4.7	4.5
U.S	5.5	5.5	6.6
U.S. Seasonally Adjusted	5.8	5.8	7.0

Prepared in cooperation with the Bureau of Labor Statistics. Benchmarked 02/2014. Run Date 12/2014.

Data are not seasonally adjusted except where otherwise specified.

(p) Preliminary. (r) Revised. (b) Benchmarked.

Wyoming Normalized^a Unemployment Insurance Statistics: Initial Claims

by: Patrick Harris, Principal Analyst

Initial claims increased over the year by 9.7% and over the month by 33.1%. A significant increase was observed in construction (64.9%).



Wyoming Normalized^a Unemployment Insurance Statistics: Continued Claims

by: Patrick Harris, Principal Analyst

Continued claims decreased over the year by 21.8% with large decreases in retail trade (-47.0%), trade, transportation, and utilities (-34.8%), and leisure and hospitality (-17.6%).

		% Change Weeks Claimed				
	Continued	Weeks C	laimed	Nov 14 Oct 14	Nov 14 Nov 13	
Wyoming Statewide	1107 14	00014	100 15	00014	100/15	
TOTAL WEEKS CLAIMED TOTAL UNIQUE CLAIMANTS ^b Benefit Exhaustions Benefit Exhaustion Rates	16,199 5,103 246 4.8%	10,486 2,914 215 7.4%	20,727 6,066 375 6.2%	54.5 75.1 14.4 -2.6%	-21.8 -15.9 -34.4 -1.4%	
TOTAL GOODS-PRODUCING Natural Res. & Mining Oil & Gas Extraction Construction Manufacturing TOTAL SERVICE-PROVIDING Trade, Transp., & Utilities Wholesale Trade Retail Trade Transp., Warehousing & Utilities Information Financial Activities Prof. & Business Services Educational & Health Svcs. Leisure & Hospitality Other Svcs., exc. Public Admin. TOTAL GOVERNMENT Federal Government State Government Local Education UNCLASSIFIED	4,164 791 663 81 2,888 483 8,648 1,617 300 741 576 68 422 1,309 790 4,131 304 1,786 889 205 690 138 1,601	2,457 753 679 90 1,355 348 5,536 1,409 297 665 447 92 411 978 840 1,555 245 1,235 381 201 652 158 1,256	4,850 1,264 1,132 124 2,985 600 11,030 2,481 401 1,398 689 443 1,392 1,029 5,015 593 2,461 1,020 310 1,130 242 2,384	69.5 5.0 -2.4 -10.0 113.1 38.8 56.2 14.8 1.0 11.4 28.9 -26.1 2.7 33.8 -6.0 165.7 24.1 33.3 2.0 5.8 -12.7 27.5	-14.1 -37.4 -41.4 -34.7 -3.2 -19.5 -21.6 -34.8 -25.2 -47.0 -15.5 -1.4 -47.7 -6.0 -23.2 -17.4 -48.7 -27.4 -12.8 -33.9 -38.9 -38.9 -32.8	
Laramie County		-				
TOTAL WEEKS CLAIMED TOTAL UNIQUE CLAIMANTS	1 ,950 684	1, 526 397	2,009 596	27.8 72.3	- 2.9 14.8	
TOTAL GOODS-PRODUCING Construction TOTAL SERVICE-PROVIDING Trade, Transp., & Utilities Financial Activities Prof. & Business Svcs. Educational & Health Svcs. Leisure & Hospitality TOTAL GOVERNMENT UNCLASSIFIED	758 598 881 214 114 295 171 89 240 70	345 203 929 268 121 327 175 79 199 52	615 476 1,114 350 94 316 193 147 234 43	119.7 194.6 -5.2 -20.1 -5.8 -9.8 -2.3 12.7 20.6 34.6	23.3 25.6 -20.9 -38.9 21.3 -6.6 -11.4 -39.5 2.6 62.8	
Natrona County						
TOTAL WEEKS CLAIMED TOTAL UNIQUE CLAIMANTS	1,412 474	1,169 328	2,026 598	20.8 44.5	- 30.3 -20.7	
TOTAL GOODS-PRODUCING Construction TOTAL SERVICE-PROVIDING Trade, Transp., & Utilities Financial Activities Professional & Business Svcs. Educational & Health Svcs. Leisure & Hospitality TOTAL GOVERNMENT UNCLASSIFIED	540 400 752 209 47 272 144 99 64 55	327 194 744 235 44 249 164 65 47 50	773 371 1,124 345 53 216 166 200 79 48	65.1 106.2 1.1 -11.1 6.8 9.2 -12.2 52.3 36.2 10.0	-30.1 7.8 -33.1 -39.4 -11.3 25.9 -13.3 -50.5 -19.0 14.6	

^aAn average month is considered 4.33 weeks. If a month has four weeks, the normalization factor is 1.0825. If the month has five weeks, the normalization factor is 0.866. The number of raw claims is multiplied by the normalization factor to achieve the normalized claims counts. ^bDoes not include claimants receiving extended benefits.



January 2015

Wyoming Department of Workforce Services, Research & Planning P.O. Box 2760 Casper, WY 82602

Official Business Penalty for Private Use \$300 Return Service Requested PRSRT STD US POSTAGE PAID CASPER WY PERMIT NO. 100