

### **Retention of Wyoming's Labor Force: Holding on to Households**

*by:* Craig Radden Henderson, One Stop Program Supervisor and Sara Saulcy, Economist *tables and figures by:* Susan J. Murray, Administrative Specialist

"Labor market attachment may hinge on whether or not two or more members of the household have similar access to meaningful, competitively compensated job opportunities."

abor market analysis has traditionally considered the individual as the focus of understanding national and regional labor market experiences for different demographic groups. An alternative is to consider the household as the focus. By focusing on the household, our analysis emphasizes the importance of work opportunities for all demographic segments, even though the available data make this task difficult.<sup>1</sup> Using selected economic indicators (e.g., labor force growth rate, population growth, average annual pay, median weekly earnings), we evaluate

differences in labor force attachment between individuals and households, and differences between genders. Our analysis is predicated on the assumption that decisions to stay and work in Wyoming are household or family decisions rather than decisions by individuals. Our analysis suggests that Wyoming's ability to retain and develop its current skilled labor force may require expanding existing employment opportunities for secondary wage earners, but most notably to include broadening the industrial reach of female employees beyond Retail Trade, Services and Government.

A number of factors contribute to the chilly climate for creating new opportunities for secondary wage earners within households. Among them are

• Historic and cultural patterns of industrial employment in local labor markets;

• Occupational projections which indicate the limited influence of higher education as a remedy to the state's gender gap with respect to wages; and

• The limited availability in the private sector of benefit packages comparable with public sector employment, most

(Continued on page 2)

## February 2001 Wyoming Labor Force TRENDS Table of Contents

Retention of Wyoming's Labor Force: Holding on to Households	1
State Unemployment Rates	13
Wyoming Unemployment Rate Falls in December	14
Nonagricultural Wage and Salary Employment	15
Economic Indicators	16
County Unemployment Rates	17
Unemployment Insurance Statistics, Initial and Continued Claims	18

particularly in Retail Trade and Services.

#### National Measures of Economic Performance – Labor Force Growth

One standard for measuring economic performance of a state's labor market is the labor force growth rate.<sup>2</sup> As shown in Table 1 (see page 3), Wyoming's rate of growth over the past decade equals the national average of 11.0 percent, reflecting an increase in Wyoming's civilian labor force from 236,000 in 1990 to 262,000 in 1999. Wyoming ranks 26<sup>th</sup> among the states in terms of growth, which could be considered modest and stable. However, all six neighboring states have exceeded Wyoming's growth over the decade.

#### Labor Force Relative to Population Growth

A second measure of economic well-being is how the labor force is growing relative to overall population growth. Based on decennial population data for 2000 and Table 1, the labor force grew faster than the population in almost half of all states as a result of baby boom generation growth and participation in the labor force. Though Wyoming's labor force has grown by 11.0 percent, the state's population increased at a lower rate, 8.9 percent. Wyoming has a maturing baby boom generation, almost all of whom participate in the labor market.<sup>3</sup>

At both ends of the labor market's age scale, for workers in their early twenties and those approaching traditional retirement age, migration from the state may occur for a multitude of noneconomic or job-related reasons (i.e., marriage, higher education, career paths, proximity to family, climate, health reasons). However, for those members of working households who want to continue to live and work in Wyoming, or who seek to move to Wyoming from elsewhere, labor market attachment may hinge on whether or not two or more members of the household have similar access to meaningful, competitively compensated job opportunities within commuting distance of their homes. Recent population trends suggest that Wyoming

(Text continued on page 5)

# *Wyoming Labor Force Trends* is a monthly publication of the Wyoming Department of Employment, Beth Nelson, Director.

Research & Planning Section, P.O. Box 2760Casper, WY 82602-2760Tom Gallagher, Managere-mail: tgalla@state.wy.us307-473-3801Krista R. Shinkle, Publications Editore-mail: kshink@state.wy.us307-473-3808Editorial Committee:David Bullard, Craig Radden Henderson, and Krista R. Shinkle.

Contributors to *Wyoming Labor Force Trends* this month: Julie Barnish, David Bullard, Craig Radden Henderson, Brad Payne, Rich Peters, and Sara Saulcy.

Subscriptions, additional copies and back issues available free of charge. © Copyright 2001 by the Wyoming Department of Employment, Research & Planning

Material contained in this publication is in the public domain and may be reproduced without special permission provided that source credit is given to: *Wyoming Labor Force Trends*, Wyoming Department of Employment, Research & Planning.

#### DEPARTMENT OF EMPLOYMENT NONDISCRIMINATION STATEMENT

The Department of Employment does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, sex, age, or disability. It is our intention that all individuals seeking services from our agency be given equal opportunity and that eligibility decisions be based upon applicable statutes, rules, and regulations.

#### ISSN 0512-4409

http://lmi.state.wy.us/

United States $123,678,000$ $137,260,000$ $13,582,000$ $11.0\%$ Nevada $665,000$ $942,000$ $277,000$ $41.7\%$ $1$ Idaho $493,000$ $655,000$ $162,000$ $32.9\%$ $2$ Utah $817,000$ $1,084,000$ $267,000$ $32.7\%$ $3$ Arizona $1,801,000$ $2,364,000$ $563,000$ $31.3\%$ $4$ Colorado $1,764,000$ $2,264,000$ $500,000$ $28.3\%$ $5$ Georgia $3,300,000$ $4,088,000$ $788,000$ $23.9\%$ $6$ Washington $2,538,000$ $3,076,000$ $538,000$ $21.2\%$ $7$ Texas $8,617,000$ $10,206,000$ $1,589,000$ $18.4\%$ $8$ Montana $401,000$ $474,000$ $73,000$ $18.2\%$ $9$ Oregon $1,490,000$ $1,760,000$ $270,000$ $18.1\%$ $10$ Tennessee $2,388,000$ $2,819,000$ $431,000$ $18.0\%$ $11$ Alaska $270,000$ $315,000$ $45,000$ $16.7\%$ $12$ South Dakota $347,000$ $400,000$ $53,000$ $15.3\%$ $13$ New Mexico $708,000$ $810,000$ $102,000$ $14.4\%$ $14$
Nevada665,000942,000277,00041.7%1Idaho493,000655,000162,00032.9%2Utah817,0001,084,000267,00032.7%3Arizona1,801,0002,364,000563,00031.3%4Colorado1,764,0002,264,000500,00028.3%5Georgia3,300,0004,088,000788,00023.9%6Washington2,538,0003,076,000538,00021.2%7Texas8,617,00010,206,0001,589,00018.4%8Montana401,000474,00073,00018.2%9Oregon1,490,0001,760,000270,00018.1%10Tennessee2,388,0002,819,000431,00018.0%11Alaska270,000315,00045,00016.7%12South Dakota347,000400,00053,00015.3%13New Mexico708,000810,000102,00014.4%14Elorida6,468,0007,366,000898,00013,9%15
Idaho 493,000 655,000 162,000 32.9% 2   Utah 817,000 1,084,000 267,000 32.7% 3   Arizona 1,801,000 2,364,000 563,000 31.3% 4   Colorado 1,764,000 2,264,000 500,000 28.3% 5   Georgia 3,300,000 4,088,000 788,000 23.9% 6   Washington 2,538,000 3,076,000 538,000 21.2% 7   Texas 8,617,000 10,206,000 1,589,000 18.4% 8   Montana 401,000 474,000 73,000 18.2% 9   Oregon 1,490,000 1,760,000 270,000 18.1% 10   Tennessee 2,388,000 2,819,000 431,000 18.0% 11   Alaska 270,000 315,000 45,000 16.7% 12   South Dakota 347,000 400,000 53,000 15.3% 13   New Mexico 708,000 810,000 102,000 14.4% 14   Elorida 6 468,000
Idaho137,0001,087,0001087,000102,0003217%3Utah817,0001,084,000267,00032.7%3Arizona1,801,0002,364,000563,00031.3%4Colorado1,764,0002,264,000500,00028.3%5Georgia3,300,0004,088,000788,00023.9%6Washington2,538,0003,076,000538,00021.2%7Texas8,617,00010,206,0001,589,00018.4%8Montana401,000474,00073,00018.2%9Oregon1,490,0001,760,000270,00018.1%10Tennessee2,388,0002,819,000431,00018.0%11Alaska270,000315,00045,00016.7%12South Dakota347,000400,00053,00015.3%13New Mexico708,000810,000102,00014.4%14Elorida6,468,0007,366,000898,00013,9%15
Arizona 1,801,000 2,364,000 563,000 31.3% 4   Colorado 1,764,000 2,264,000 500,000 28.3% 5   Georgia 3,300,000 4,088,000 788,000 23.9% 6   Washington 2,538,000 3,076,000 538,000 21.2% 7   Texas 8,617,000 10,206,000 1,589,000 18.4% 8   Montana 401,000 474,000 73,000 18.2% 9   Oregon 1,490,000 1,760,000 270,000 18.1% 10   Tennessee 2,388,000 2,819,000 431,000 18.0% 11   Alaska 270,000 315,000 45,000 16.7% 12   South Dakota 347,000 400,000 53,000 15.3% 13   New Mexico 708,000 810,000 102,000 14.4% 14   Elorida 6,468,000 7,366,000 898,000 13,9% 15
Colorado1,764,0002,264,000500,00028.3%5Georgia3,300,0004,088,000788,00023.9%6Washington2,538,0003,076,000538,00021.2%7Texas8,617,00010,206,0001,589,00018.4%8Montana401,000474,00073,00018.2%9Oregon1,490,0001,760,000270,00018.1%10Tennessee2,388,0002,819,000431,00018.0%11Alaska270,000315,00045,00016.7%12South Dakota347,000400,00053,00015.3%13New Mexico708,000810,000102,00014.4%14Elorida6,468,0007,366,000898,00013,9%15
Georgia3,300,0004,088,000788,00023.9%6Washington2,538,0003,076,000538,00021.2%7Texas8,617,00010,206,0001,589,00018.4%8Montana401,000474,00073,00018.2%9Oregon1,490,0001,760,000270,00018.1%10Tennessee2,388,0002,819,000431,00018.0%11Alaska270,000315,00045,00016.7%12South Dakota347,000400,00053,00015.3%13New Mexico708,000810,000102,00014.4%14Elorida6,468,0007,366,000898,00013,9%15
Washington2,538,0003,076,000538,00021.2%7Texas8,617,00010,206,0001,589,00018.4%8Montana401,000474,00073,00018.2%9Oregon1,490,0001,760,000270,00018.1%10Tennessee2,388,0002,819,000431,00018.0%11Alaska270,000315,00045,00016.7%12South Dakota347,000400,00053,00015.3%13New Mexico708,000810,000102,00014.4%14Elorida6,468,0007,366,000898,00013,9%15
Texas8,617,00010,206,0001,589,00018.4%8Montana401,000474,00073,00018.2%9Oregon1,490,0001,760,000270,00018.1%10Tennessee2,388,0002,819,000431,00018.0%11Alaska270,000315,00045,00016.7%12South Dakota347,000400,00053,00015.3%13New Mexico708,000810,000102,00014.4%14Elorida6,468,0007,366,000898,00013,9%15
Montana401,000474,00073,00018.2%9Oregon1,490,0001,760,000270,00018.1%10Tennessee2,388,0002,819,000431,00018.0%11Alaska270,000315,00045,00016.7%12South Dakota347,000400,00053,00015.3%13New Mexico708,000810,000102,00014.4%14Elorida6,468,0007,366,000898,00013,9%15
Oregon1,490,0001,760,000270,00018.1%10Tennessee2,388,0002,819,000431,00018.0%11Alaska270,000315,00045,00016.7%12South Dakota347,000400,00053,00015.3%13New Mexico708,000810,000102,00014.4%14Elorida6.468,0007.366,000898,00013.9%15
Tennessee2,388,0002,819,000431,00018.0%11Alaska270,000315,00045,00016.7%12South Dakota347,000400,00053,00015.3%13New Mexico708,000810,000102,00014.4%14Elorida6.468,0007.366,000898,00013.9%15
Alaska270,000315,00045,00016.7%12South Dakota347,000400,00053,00015.3%13New Mexico708,000810,000102,00014.4%14Elorida6.468,0007.366,000898,00013.9%15
South Dakota347,000400,00053,00015.3%13New Mexico708,000810,000102,00014.4%14Elorida6.468,0007.366,000898,00013.9%15
New Mexico   708,000   810,000   102,000   14.4%   14     Elorida   6.468,000   7.366,000   898,000   13.9%   15
Elorida 6 468 000 7 366 000 898 000 13 9% 15
Alabama 1,889,000 2,145,000 256,000 13.6% 16
Minnesota2,386,0002,699,000313,00013.1%17
South Carolina   1,739,000   1,962,000   223,000   12.8%   18
Kansas 1,276,000 1,434,000 158,000 12.4% 19
Wisconsin   2,581,000   2,892,000   311,000   12.0%   20
Nebraska 814,000 911,000 97,000 11.9% 21
Louisiana 1,837,000 2,052,000 215,000 11.7% 22
Michigan 4,598,000 5,136,000 538,000 11.7% 23
North Carolina 3,469,000 3,874,000 405,000 11.7% 24
Kentucky 1,767,000 1,970,000 203,000 11.5% 25
Wyoming   236,000   262,000   26,000   11.0%   26
Vermont 304,000 336,000 32,000 10.5% 2/
Indiana 2,/94,000 3,0/8,000 284,000 10.2% 28
Missouri 2,595,000 2,847,000 252,000 9.7% 29
California 15,187,000 16,586,000 1,399,000 9.2% 30
Oklanoma 1,514,000 1,648,000 134,000 8.9% 31
Virginia 3,238,000 3,522,000 284,000 8.8% 32
IOWA 1,448,000 1,574,000 126,000 8.7% 33
Arkansas 1,126,000 1,222,000 96,000 8.5% 34
Delaware 359,000 369,000 30,000 8.4% 35
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
West Virginia   701,000   817,000   50,000   7.4%   50     Mississippi   1.184,000   1.270,000   86,000   7.3%   30
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Manyland 2.609.000 2.766.000 157.000 6.0% 42
North Dakota 318 000 337 000 19 000 6 0% 43
Maine 635,000 672,000 37,000 5.8% 44
New Jersey 4 062 000 4 207 000 145 000 3 6% 45
Pennsylvania 5 791 000 5 969 000 178 000 3 1% 46
Massachusetts 3 228 000 3 278 000 50 000 1 5% 47
New York 8 843 000 8 883 000 40 000 0 5% 48
Rhode Island 519,000 504,000 -15,000 -2.9% 49
Connecticut 1,832,000 1,692,000 -140,000 -7.6% 50

#### Table 1: Civilian Labor Force by State, 1990 & 1999

\* Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics, Local Area Unemployment Statistics, <u>http://www.bls.gov/lauhome.htm</u>, January 3, 2001. \*\* Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics, **State and Regional Unemployment**, **1999 Annual Averages**, <u>http://www.bls.gov/</u> <u>lauhome.htm</u>, January 3, 2001. Beginning with January 1998 data, national labor force series reflect new composite estimation procedures and minor revisions in the population controls used in the household survey. For further information on these revisions, see "Revisions in the Current Population Survey Effective January, 1998" in the February issue of **Employment and Earnings**. \*\*\* The percent change is rounded to the nearest tenth. States were ranked before rounding to avoid false "ties" in the ranking.

# Table 2: Average Annual Pay of All Jobs Worked (Full- and Part-Time), and Median Weekly<br/>Earnings of Full-Time Wage and Salary Workers by Gender by State, 1999

	Establishment	Jobs*				Household Surv	ey of Ful	I-Time Workers**		
	Average	Pank	<u>Both Se</u> Median Wee	<u>kes</u> kly	Dank	<u>Women</u> Median Weekly	Dank	<u>M</u> en Median Weekly Farmings	Dank	Women's Earnings as Percent of Men's
	Annual r ay	Nalik		igs	NALIK	Larnings	nain	Larnings	Natik	recent of Men's
United States	\$33,313		\$5	49		\$473		\$618		76.5%
Alabama	28,069	31	5	01	31	418	37	584	30	71.6
Alaska	34,034	12	6	70	2	541	5	763	2	71.0
Arizona	30,523	23	4	98	34	452	25	538	46	83.9
Arkansas	25,371	46	4	25	50	3/4	49	485	50	77.1
California	37,564	5	5	86	13	524	8	621	20	84.3
Colorado	34,192	1	6	07	9	520	9	6/9 7(5	10	/6.6
Connecticut	42,653	1	6	77	10	590	17	/65	10	72.0
Delaware	35,102	20	5	/ I 00	16	48/	1/	624	18	/8.0
Florida	28,911	30	4	89 14	40	428	33	535	4/	79.9
Georgia	32,339	17	) 5 5	14	20	443	20 1 E	59/	20	74.3
Hawaii Idaha	29,771	20 42	3	94 05	42	400	10	562	20	79.1
Illinois	26,042	42	4	80 00	42 10	404	40	505	39 11	71./
Indiana	30,279	24	5	40	12	493	22	620	17	67.0
lowo	26,027	24	5	40 13	25	420	22 21	593	27	07.9 72.3
Kansas	20,555	30	5	13	27	425	26	595	27	72.5
Kentuchy	20,029	34	3	97	20	444	20	565	20	73.9
Louisiana	27,740	36	4	57 66	47	383	46	553	41	69.3
Maine	26,887	30	5	00	30	455	70	545	43	83.3
Maryland	34 472	10	5	35	5	582	27	713		81.6
Massachusetts	40 331	3	6	12	7	532	6	684	9	77 7
Michigan	35 734	8	6	09	8	492	12	709	6	69.4
Minnesota	33 487	13	6	42	3	552	4	703	3	76.3
Mississinni	24 392	47	4	70	44	380	47	545	43	69.6
Missouri	29.958	25	5	44	20	480	19	607	25	79.1
Montana	23.253	50	4	60	49	368	50	532	48	69.2
Nebraska	26.633	40	4	95	38	415	39	575	34	72.2
Nevada	31,213	20	5	01	31	432	29	567	36	76.1
New Hampshire	32,139	18	5	99	10	492	12	698	8	70.6
New lersev	N/A ***	4	6	37	4	558	3	716	4	77.8
New Mexico	26,270	41	4	98	34	425	35	573	35	74.2
New York	42,133	2	5	91	11	513	10	642	15	79.9
North Carolina	29,453	29	4	93	39	424	36	561	40	75.5
North Dakota	23,753	49	4	67	45	377	48	532	48	70.9
Ohio	31,396	19	5	80	14	476	20	670	12	71.1
Oklahoma	25,748	44	4	87	41	397	41	584	30	68.0
Oregon	30,867	22	5	56	19	465	23	619	21	75.1
Pennsylvania	32,694	16	5	67	18	472	22	648	14	72.9
Rhode Island	31,177	21	5	70	17	488	15	638	16	76.5
South Carolina	27,124	37	5	07	29	429	31	583	32	73.6
South Dakota	23,765	48	4	64	48	392	43	543	45	72.2
Tennessee	29,518	28	4	67	45	385	45	580	33	66.3
Texas	32,895	15	4	96	37	444	26	548	42	81.0
Utah	27,884	33	5	18	25	432	29	613	24	70.5
Vermont	27,595	35	5	33	24	481	18	585	29	82.2
Virginia	33,015	14	5	77	15	489	14	659	13	74.1
Washington	35,736	7	6	17	6	526	7	706	7	74.4
West Virginia	26,008	43	4	73	43	393	42	567	36	69.2
Wisconsin	29,597	27	5	42	22	473	21	618	22	76.6
Wyoming	25,639	<b>45</b>	5	00	33	390	44	623	19	62.6

\* Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics, Average Annual Pay by State and Industry, Table 2, November 17, 2000,

http://www.bls.gov/news.release/annpay.nr0.htm (November 28, 2000). The average annual pay comes from establishment tax records. It reflects wages for all workers, including both full- and part-time.

\*\* Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics, Highlights of Women's Earnings in 1999, Report 943, Table 4, p. 15, May 2000. The median weekly earnings come from the Current Population Survey (CPS). It reflects only full-time workers.

\*\*\* New Jersey data were not available for fourth quarter of 1999 and therefore are not shown for 1999. Totals for the United States were calculated using estimated data for New Jersey. For purposes of ranking, it was assumed that the 1999 annual average pay for New Jersey was the same as 1998.

employers must increasingly rely on the current stock of locally available labor to fulfill their needs.

#### Using National Data Sources to Compare States' Abilities to Attract and Retain Labor

Table 2 (see page 4) is comprised of two discrete types of data. From the tax records of establishments covered by Unemployment Insurance (UI), the table shows the average annual pay per job for full- and part-time labor by state. Employers covered by UI are required to report employment and pay for each job filled by a worker during a given quarter. Because individuals may work for more than one establishment. average annual pay measures average wages per job, not the total earnings of individuals. Table 2 also contains estimated median weekly earnings of fulltime workers (only) for both sexes, and for men and women separately, by state. These estimates are derived from the Current Population Survey (CPS).<sup>4</sup>

Importantly, though Table 2 compares data from these two unique sources, the difference between data based on Wyoming UI tax files, which as discussed earlier reflects *all* full- and parttime wage earners covered by UI, and data obtained through the CPS is that this presentation of CPS data include only earnings for individuals working full-time.

UI Tax data allow Research & Planning to examine wages as a partial proxy for the competitive

position of the states in attracting and retaining labor. For example, Table 2 provides a state comparison of average annual pay. The averages reflect payroll data gathered from establishment tax records, which are reported to their respective state UI tax agencies. Average annual pay reflects the wages of all jobs worked whether full- or part-time. In 1999, the average annual pay per job in Wyoming was \$25,639. Wyoming ranked 45<sup>th</sup> among the 50 states. Wyoming's rank was similar to several regional neighbors including Montana (50<sup>th</sup>), North Dakota (49th) and South Dakota  $(48^{th})$ . (Data for these three states are shown in bold in Table 2.)

As shown in Table 2, Wyoming, the Dakotas and Montana also ranked at comparable levels when using household data showing median weekly earnings for women. South Dakota ranked 43<sup>rd</sup>, followed by Wyoming (44<sup>th</sup>), North Dakota (48<sup>th</sup>) and Montana (50<sup>th</sup>).

#### Using National Data to Form a Context for Understanding Earnings Differences by Gender

The low ranking of Wyoming's average annual wage as shown in Table 2, however, disguises a more complex pattern of labor market attachment and earnings power between male and female workers. For example, earnings differences by gender, as shown in Table 2, appear especially acute. From data collected through the CPS, median weekly earnings in 1999 for all full-time Wyoming workers (both genders) were \$500. Considered separately, females working full-time in Wyoming earned \$390 per week compared to earnings by males of \$623. When the levels of female earnings were ranked by state, Wyoming placed 44<sup>th</sup> in the nation. With respect to male earnings, Wyoming ranked 19th, just above California. In fact, median weekly earnings for males working at full-time jobs in Wyoming slightly exceeded the national average of \$618.

To put median household weekly earnings estimates into a regional perspective, we again consider the rankings of Montana, North Dakota and South Dakota in comparison to Wyoming. For both sexes, and men and women separately, Montana, North Dakota and South Dakota are very similar to each other in earnings. These three states rank between 43rd and 50<sup>th</sup> in all three demographic categories for earnings in Table 2. Moreover, each of these states' rankings are roughly equivalent to the state's respective ranking of average annual pay (recall that this tax count reflects both full- and parttime workers). In contrast, Wyoming's rankings for both sexes, and women and men separately, are not uniform. Men's earnings are ranked significantly higher. Wyoming's average wage ranking increases from 45<sup>th</sup> to 33<sup>rd</sup> when only full-

(Continued on page 6)

time workers are observed, while the rankings of Montana and the Dakotas remain at the same low level. To explain this difference, we need to consider whether men and women have similar access to full-time employment opportunities. Nationally, we know that approximately 10 percent of men and 25 percent of women work part-time.<sup>5</sup>

Baseline research on labor market attachment using Wyoming wage records showed that in 1998 only 43.7 percent of all Wyoming workers were classified as steady workers with a single employer.<sup>6</sup> Gathered from other Wyoming administrative databases and matched to the UI Wage Records database, demographic information compiled on steady workers with a single employer showed that in 1998, 51.9 percent were male and 49.0 percent were female.7 Thus, no significant gender difference exists in Wyoming within this category of labor attachment.

#### Relationship between Household Income and Establishment Average Pay

In Figure 1 (see page 7), a scatterplot shows the relationship between estimated median household income from the household survey and establishment average pay by state based on data from Table 2. States cluster approximately in a diagonal line, indicating a strong positive relationship between the pay and income variables. We interpret Figure 1 to mean that average annual pay is a strong predictor of household income. We cannot rule out, however, that this relationship may be related to cost-of-living factors.

Income itself reflects the industries in which members of the labor force participate. Variations between the states reflect differences in the industrial composition of state economies, the number of persons per household who find work, and the amounts and types of non wage and salary income. For instance, in Wyoming the five industries with the largest payroll share, based on the average of quarterly earnings in 1999, were 1) Government and Government Enterprises,<sup>8</sup> 2) Services, 3) Mining, 4) Retail Trade and 5) Transportation, Communications and Public Utilities (TCPU).<sup>9</sup> In comparison, the five industries with the largest payroll share in 1999 in Connecticut (representing the highest relative position and designated in bold in Figure 1) were 1) Manufacturing, 2) Finance, Insurance and Real Estate (FIRE), 3) Government and Government Enterprises, 4) Retail Trade and 5) Wholesale Trade. New Mexico which occupies a position below the diagonal has among its five industries with the largest payroll share in 1999: 1) Services, 2) Government, 3) Retail Trade, 4) Manufacturing and 5) Construction. The differences in the primary industries of Wyoming, Connecticut and New Mexico (shown in blue) as well as

differences in industrial composition in other states, help to explain the relative position of states in Figure 1.

#### Using Administrative Databases to Characterize Attachment to the Wyoming Labor Market

Wyoming's Wage Records database, an administrative database used to calculate **Unemployment Insurance** benefits, in combination with demographic data from other administrative databases (e.g., driver's license database), permits us to characterize labor supply in more detail. Unlike national surveys such as the CPS, which base estimates on a sample of physical locations in each state, Wage Records reflect population working in the state regardless of where they may reside. Figures 2 through 6 represent examples of Wage Records research (see pages 8, 10, and 11).

Figure 2 (see page 8) shows the industrial distribution of Wyoming employment in 1999 and provides a baseline for comparing employment distributions by gender and age. As shown in Figure 2, two industries (Services and Retail Trade) accounted for nearly half of all employment in the state. Government (including school districts), accounted for another 18 percent. Previous articles in Wyoming Labor Force Trends have shown how the higher paying industries (e.g., Mining

(Text continued on page 8)





and TCPU) have traditionally employed significantly higher proportions of male than female workers.<sup>10</sup> These industries also, in general, retain their workers longer than either Services or Retail Trade. Therefore, employee mobility into higher paying jobs, when it may require a change from one industry to another, is limited by comparatively fewer opportunities created through attrition and turnover in the higher paying industries.

Though we do not have demographic data by industry for Montana and the Dakotas, we expect that Wyoming has a higher combined proportion of full-time employment of males in

the often closely linked industries of Mining, Construction and TCPU than Montana or the Dakotas. Partly, differences in male earnings between Wyoming, Montana and the Dakotas reflect the different industrial distribution within these states. In addition, the extractive industries, which have exerted a historical and cultural influence in Wyoming, continue to assume a more central role in Wyoming's economy than in neighboring states. Furthermore, extractive industries have traditionally employed greater numbers of male employees than female employees. This helps explain the heightened earnings differential between males and females in Wyoming.

In comparison, based on 1999 UI employment data, individuals working in Montana and the Dakotas are more likely than Wyoming workers to be employed in Manufacturing.<sup>11</sup> Although the distribution of statewide employment in Retail Trade and Services in these states is proportional to Wyoming's, ostensibly larger proportions of full-time employees in these other states may be found in industries which traditionally pay lower wages than in Mining. For example, while Mining ranked as the third most significant industry in Wyoming in terms of average quarterly earnings in 1999, in

(Continued on page 9)

Montana and North Dakota, Mining ranked ninth and in South Dakota, tenth.<sup>12</sup>

Figures 3 through 6 (see pages 10 and 11) show how employment opportunities for individuals are distributed differently among selected gender and age categories. For example, employment opportunities for youth follow a simple pattern of industrial distribution, but the distribution was more complex for mature men. The employment distribution of mature women is much less complex than for mature men. Differences in earnings may reflect the age of workers. In labor market research, age often (but not always) reflects additional years of work experience. Figure 3 shows that in 1998, two-thirds of all males, ages 20-24, were employed in three industries, Retail Trade (28%), Construction (20%) and Services (19%). Typically, entry-level positions in these industries do not require high levels of education or experience, and higher turnover rates in these industries create opportunities. However, as indicated by Figure 5, only about one-third of males, ages 45-54, held primary jobs in these same three industries (Retail Trade, 9%; Construction 12%; and Services 13%). Additionally, approximately twice as many males, ages 45-54, worked in Mining (16%) than did males, ages 20-24. Nearly three times as many males, ages 45-54 than ages 20-24 years worked in Finance, Insurance and Real Estate (FIRE — 3%);

Transportation, Communications & Public Utilities (TCPU — 10%); and Government (28%). This pattern suggests that males, as they age, are able to transfer skills and prior years of experience in Construction, Services or Retail Trade to more secure jobs with single employers in industries that are generally considered higher paying.

The 1998 Wage Records data show that the distribution of females, ages 20-24 and 45-54, among industries did not differ much when compared to males in the same age groups. Figure 4 shows that nearly 75 percent of all females, ages 20-24, worked in either Retail Trade (40%) or Services (32%). Another 13 percent worked in Government. Figure 6 shows that a comparable 82 percent of females, ages 45-54, were found in these same three industries. The difference is that only 16 percent of women, ages 45-54, were employed in Retail Trade, rather than 40 percent of women, ages 20-24, in the same sector. If in their youth, significant numbers of these mature women had worked in Retail Trade or Services, they appear to have translated those earlier years of work experience into jobs or careers in Wyoming's public sector. Indeed, Figure 6 shows that 41 percent of females, ages 45-54, were found working in Government (including school districts). A comparison of Figures 4 and 6 shows that only Government appears to offer a significant number of women the opportunity to transfer their prior experience and skills between industries. This apparent lack of industrial mobility for women (as an aggregate group) over time may also contribute to the earnings divide separating men and women. In the absence of access to full-time job opportunities in the public sector, the difference between male and female earnings would very likely be much higher.

#### Recognizing Household Needs in Employee Recruitment and Economic Development

The preceding analysis suggests that employee recruitment and economic development should consider the needs of households. For those Wyoming workers who choose to be married or who are otherwise dependent on another's income, attachment to a labor market is probably more likely to reflect a household strategy than an individual's preference. Likewise, an employer or economic developer's attempt to attract skilled workers must recognize that for every worker they want to attract and retain, the local labor market may, in time, need to provide or create two or more suitable opportunities for employment. In Wyoming, the primary wage earner in a household, often a male, working in a smaller and less diverse labor market, usually must weigh the decision to continue working against the comparatively restricted

(Text continued on page 12)



![](_page_9_Figure_3.jpeg)

\* Transportation, Communications, & Public Utilities.

\*\* Finance, Insurance, & Real Estate.

Source: Wyoming Department of Employment, Research & Planning, Strategies for Evaluation, an Excerpt from Outlook 2000: Detailed Occupational Projections and Labor Supply, October 2000, Table 9, pp. 21-26.

![](_page_10_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_3.jpeg)

\* Transportation, Communications, & Public Utilities.

\*\* Finance, Insurance, & Real Estate.

Source: Wyoming Department of Employment, Research & Planning, Strategies for Evaluation, an Excerpt from Outlook 2000: Detailed Occupational Projections and Labor Supply, October 2000, Table 9, pp. 21-26.

employment opportunities available to a spouse or other family member.

#### Potentially Limited Role for Education and Training in Labor Market Attachment

One response to comparatively lower earnings for women has been increasingly to emphasize education and training.<sup>13</sup> This strategy, however, must be viewed in the context of projected occupational growth for the period 1998 to 2008. Current projections show that only nine of the 50 occupations estimated to make up the largest share of new jobs in Wyoming require an Associate's degree or higher.<sup>14</sup> Therefore, if training-related employment is not available locally, the expectations for higher wages, especially to recover the costs associated with an investment in higher education or other technical training of a spouse, may only increase the pressure on a household to relocate. Many households must consider the alternative costs and benefits associated with a family member commuting to a job, relocation elsewhere within the state, securing acceptable employment unrelated to training, or migration to higher wage areas outside Wyoming.

#### Government Employment and Household Attachment to the Wyoming Labor Market

Preliminary research using data from Wyoming's institutions of higher education has shown

that a significant proportion of those who do earn degrees secure employment in Government. State and Local Government agencies, including school districts, employ people in most communities. Often they are the among the largest employers in a given area. Because of their size, they offer many employees, particularly females, internal opportunities for full-time career advancement that may not be available in smaller firms. Additionally, they usually provide women and their families a safety net with respect to health insurance, paid leave and other benefits that may exceed the total compensation package offered by smaller, private-sector firms.<sup>15</sup> If the provision of health insurance or other benefits meets a household need not otherwise being met by the primary wage earner's employer, this fact alone may direct the employment decision of the secondary wage earner. Also, this may be one reason why retention rates for Government employment, as a whole, are higher than several other industries.

Often patterns of labor attachment reflect household strategies aimed at attaining an acceptable standard of living. The availability of suitable employment at competitive rates of compensation, including the provision of benefits, is a key condition in deciding whether to stay or leave a labor market. <sup>1</sup>Data available from the federal statistical system, which focuses on the individual is inadequate for studying entire households; however, it is the only system that allows for interstate comparison.

<sup>2</sup>Labor force growth rate refers to the annual percentage change that occurs in the total number of both employed persons (including both full- and part-time workers) and unemployed persons who were actively seeking employment. Not included in the labor force figures published by the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics are those persons who are not currently working and have not looked for work during the four weeks prior to the reference period. These individuals may not be looking for work because of child-care problems, transportation problems or discouragement over job prospects.

<sup>3</sup>Wyoming Department of Employment, Research & Planning, *Outlook 2000: Detailed Occupational Projections and Labor Supply*, October 2000, p. 33. In 1998, approximately 109,000 workers between the ages of 35-54 were found working in Wyoming, accounting for about one-third of Wyoming's workforce.

<sup>4</sup>The Current Population Survey (CPS) is a household survey conducted by the U.S. Bureau of the Census for the Bureau of Labor Statistics.

<sup>5</sup>U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, "Table A-6: Employed and Unemployed Full- and Part-Time Workers by Sex and Age, Seasonally

(Continued on page 13)

Adjusted," *Employment and Earnings*, December 2000, p. 18. Rounded percentages were computed from monthly data.

<sup>6</sup>Wyoming Department of Employment, Research & Planning, *Wyoming Wage Records 1992-1998: A Baseline Study*, November 2000, p. 66.

<sup>7</sup>Wyoming Department of Employment, Research & Planning, *Wyoming Wage Records 1992-1998: A Baseline Study*, p. 66.

<sup>8</sup>Government Enterprises include federal government.

<sup>9</sup>U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, "Regional Accounts Data, State Quarterly Personal Income," 1999, <u>http://www.bea.gov/bea/regional/</u> <u>sqpi/</u> (January 31, 2001).

<sup>10</sup>Rich Peters, "The Importance of Major Industry to Wyoming's Gender Pay Gap, Part One," *Wyoming Labor Force Trends*, July 2000, pp. 1-5. This article also cites most prior research on the subject of gender and wages published in *Wyoming Labor Force Trends*.

<sup>11</sup>U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, "State at a Glance, Nonfarm Wage and Salary Employment, State and Area, Employment Hours and Earnings: 1991-1999," <u>http://</u> <u>www.bls.gov/eag/eag.map.htm</u> (January 26, 2001).

<sup>12</sup>U.S. Department of Commerce.

<sup>13</sup>Research & Planning expects to publish an article this spring, jointly written with Casper College personnel, that highlights an outcomes-based approach to measuring labor market performance of graduates, including using employer satisfaction surveys as a tool for responding to new labor market needs and improving instructional programs.

<sup>14</sup>Wyoming Department of Employment, Research & Planning, "Table 3-8: Wyoming Projections for All Occupations, Net and Percent Employment Change, 1998-2008," *Outlook* 2000: Detailed Occupational Projections and Labor Supply, October 2000, Appendix 1.

<sup>15</sup>Carola Cowan, "Employee Benefits Survey: Compensation Revisited," *Wyoming Labor Force Trends*, August 2000, pp. 1-8. This article also cites Wyoming Department of Employment, Research & Planning, *Employee Benefits Survey: A Pilot Study for Wyoming*, July 1999.

![](_page_12_Picture_14.jpeg)

#### State Unemployment Rates December 2000 (Not Seasonally Adjusted)

	Unemp.
<u>State</u>	Rate
	<b>C C</b>
Puerto Rico	8.9
Alaska District of Columbia	6.1
District of Columbia	5.8
West Virginia	5.5
Louisiana	5.3
Idaho	5.0
Montana	4.9
New Mexico	4.9
Washington	4.9
Illinois	4.5
California	4.3
Mississippi	4.3
New York	4.2
Alabama	4.0
Nevada	4.0
Oregon	4.0
Arkansas	3.9
Pennsylvania	3.8
Tennessee	3.8
Kentucky	3.7
Ohio	3.7
United States	3.7
Wyoming	3.7
Hawaii	3.6
North Carolina	3.6
New Jersey	3.5
Michigan	3.4
Texas	3.4
Arizona	3.3
Delaware	3.3
Maryland	3.3
South Carolina	3.3
Florida	3.2
Kansas	3.2
Missouri	3.2
Rhode Island	3.2
Georgia	3.0
Wisconsin	3.0
Minnesota	2.8
Indiana	2.7
North Dakota	2.7
Utah	2.7
Maine	2.6
Oklahoma	2.6
Iowa	2.5
Nebraska	2.5
Vermont	2.4
South Dakota	2.3
New Hampshire	2.2
Colorado	2.1
Massachusetts	2.0
Virginia	1.9
Connecticut	1.5

#### State Unemployment Rates December 2000 (Seasonally Adjusted)

Unemp.

State	Rate
Puerto Rico	10.1
District of Columbia	6.3
Alaska	6.0
Louisiana	5.8
West Virginia	5.5
New Mexico	5.3
Mississippi	5.1
Idaho	4.9
Washington	4.9
Illinois	4.8
Alabama	4.6
California	4.6
Nevada	4.5
New York	4.5
Montana	4.4
Pennsylvania	4.4
Hawaii	4.3
Tennessee	4.3
Oregon	4.2
Arkansas	4.1
Kentucky	4.1
North Carolina	4.0
United States	4.0
New Jersey	3.9
Ohio	3.9
Delaware	3.8
Michigan	3.8
Anzona	3.1 27
Phodo Island	3.7 2.7
South Carolina	3.7
Τονος	3.7 3.7
Wyoming	37
Florida	3.6
Kansas	3.4
Missouri	3.4
Georgia	3.3
Utah	3.3
Wisconsin	3.3
Minnesota	3.1
Indiana	2.8
Maine	2.8
Nebraska	2.8
North Dakota	2.7
Oklahoma	2.7
lowa	2.5
Vermont	2.5
Colorado	2.4
Massachusetts	2.3
New Hampshire	2.3
South Dakota	2.3
Virginia	2.1
Connecticut	1.9

# Wyoming Unemployment Rate Falls in December

by: David Bullard, Senior Economist

"Employment continued to grow relatively rapidly in December, as 6,300 jobs were created in Wyoming for a growth rate of 2.7 percent."

yoming's seasonally adjusted unemployment rate fell from 3.8 percent in November to 3.7 percent in December, its lowest level since 1980 (see page 17). It remained below the U.S. unemployment rate (4.0%) for the second month in a row.

Employment continued to grow relatively rapidly in December, as 6,300 jobs were created in Wyoming for a growth rate of 2.7 percent (see page 15). In contrast, the U.S. employment growth rate fell from 1.6 percent in November to 1.5 percent in December.

Employment growth was seen in many industries. Compared to December 1999, the largest job gains came from Mining (1,100 jobs or 6.9%), Retail Trade (800 jobs or 1.7%), Services (1,400 jobs or 2.7%) and Government (1,500 jobs or 2.5%). Within Mining, oil & gas extraction posted the largest increase (1,300 jobs or 15.9%), while coal mining declined slightly (-200 jobs or -4.3%). Federal government and state government grew only slightly (100 and 300 jobs, respectively), but local government employment increased by 1,100 jobs or 2.8 percent. Local government is a large industry in Wyoming which includes school districts, community colleges, many hospitals and city and county governments.

Twenty Wyoming counties experienced a decrease in their unemployment rates from December 1999 to December 2000 (see page 17). Niobrara County's unemployment rate increased from 2.2 percent in December 1999 to 4.2 percent in December 2000 (an increase of 25 individuals). Albany County and Goshen County had more modest increases (up 0.2% and 0.4%, respectively). Fremont County had the highest **STOP** unemployment rate in December 2000, an estimated 6.8 percent, while Teton County had the lowest (1.6%).

# *Trends* is also available online at <u>http://lmi.state.wy.us/</u>

. ...

### Wyoming Nonagricultural Wage and Salary Employment<sup>1</sup>

by: David Bullard, Senior Economist

"Within Mining, oil & gas extraction posted the largest increase (1,300 jobs or 15.9%), while coal mining declined slightly (-200 jobs or -4.3%)."

WYOMING STATEWIDE*	Employm	ient in Thous	ands	Percent Change Total Employment NOV 00 DEC 99		
TOTAL NONAC WACE & CALADY	DEC00(p)	NOV00(r)	DEC 99	DEC 00	DEC 00	
EMPLOYMENT	238.6	239.4	232.3	-0.3	2.7	
TOTAL GOODS PRODUCING	45.6	46.6	43.8	-2.1	4.1	
Mining	17.1	17.1	16.0	0.0	6.9	
Coal Mining	4.5	4.5	4.7	0.0	-4.3	
Oil & Gas Extraction	9.5	9.5	8.2	0.0	15.9	
Crude Petrol-Natural Gas	2.6	2.7	2.5	-3./	4.0	
Nonmotallio Minoralo	0.9	0.0	0.7	1.0	21.1	
Construction	2.0	2.0	2.0	-5.1	0.0	
General Building Contractors	4.3	4.5	37	-4.4	16.2	
Heavy Construction	4.6	5.0	4.8	-8.0	-4.2	
Special Trade Construction	7.9	8.2	7.9	-3.7	0.0	
Manufacturing	11.7	11.8	11.4	-0.8	2.6	
Durable Goods	5.2	5.2	5.1	0.0	2.0	
Nondurable Goods	6.5	6.6	6.3	-1.5	3.2	
Printing & Publishing	1.7	1.7	1.7	0.0	0.0	
Petroleum & Coal Products	1.1	1.1	1.1	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL SERVICE PRODUCING	193.0	192.8	188.5	0.1	2.4	
Transportation	14.4	14.0	14.1	-1.4	2.1 5.6	
Railroad Transportation	9.4	9.0	2.7	-2.1	18.5	
Trucking & Warehousing	37	3.8	37	-2.6	0.0	
Communications	2.2	2.2	2.2	0.0	0.0	
Telephone Communications	1.0	1.1	1.1	-9.1	-9.1	
Electric, Gas & Sanitary Services	2.8	2.8	3.0	0.0	-6.7	
Electric Services	1.9	1.9	1.9	0.0	0.0	
Trade	54.6	54.7	53.6	-0.2	1.9	
Wholesale Trade	7.8	7.7	7.6	1.3	2.6	
Durable Goods	4.5	4.4	4.3	2.3	4.7	
Nondurable Goods	3.3	3.3	3.3	0.0	0.0	
Relall Trade Building Meterials & Cardon Supply	40.8	47.0	46.0	-0.4	1./	
Ceneral Merchandise Stores	2.1	2.1	2.1	0.0	0.0	
Denartment Stores	4.6	4.6	41	0.0	12.2	
Food Stores	5.6	5.6	5.8	0.0	-3.4	
Auto Dealers & Service Stations	8.3	8.4	8.2	-1.2	1.2	
Gas Stations	4.4	4.4	4.3	0.0	2.3	
Apparel & Accessory Stores	1.4	1.4	1.5	0.0	-6.7	
Furniture & Home Furnishing Stores	1.7	1.7	1.5	0.0	13.3	
Eating & Drinking Places	16.7	16.8	16.3	-0.6	2.5	
Miscellaneous Retail	5.5	5.5	5.3	0.0	3.8	
Finance, Insurance & Real Estate	8.4	8.3	8.1	1.2	3.7	
Depos-Nondepos & Security Brokers	4.3	4.Z	4.1	2.4	4.9	
	3.4 1 Q	3.4 1.8	3.3 1.8	0.0 5.6	5.0	
Services	54.1	53.4	52.7	1.3	2.7	
Hotels & Other Lodging Places	7.9	7.7	7.4	2.6	6.8	
Personal Services	1.9	1.9	1.8	0.0	5.6	
Business Services	8.3	8.4	0.8	-1.2	3.8	
Automotive & Misc. Repair Services	2.9	2.9	2.8	0.0	3.6	
Amusements (Rec Services & Mot. Pics.)	4.1	3.3	4.0	24.2	2.5	
Health Services	11.0	11.0	10.8	0.0	1.9	
Utilices of Doctors of Medicine	2.5	2.5	2.5	0.0	0.0	
Leyal Services	6.2	1.3 6.1	6.0	-7.7	0.0	
Membershin Ornanizations	3.5	3.7	3.8	-5.4	_79	
Engineering & Management	3.9	3.8	3.7	2.6	5.4	
Government	61.5	61.8	60.0	-0.5	2.5	
Total Federal Government	7.0	7.2	6.9	-2.8	1.4	
Department of Defense	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.0	12.5	
Total State Government	13.9	13.9	13.6	0.0	2.2	
State Education	5.6	5.7	5.6	-1.8	0.0	
Total Local Government	40.6	40.7	39.5	-0.2	2.8	
Local Hospitals	5.2	5.1	4.9	2.0	6.1	
Local Education	23.4	23.2	23.1	0.9	1.3	

1 Current Employment Statistics (CES) estimates include all full- and part-time wage and salary workers in nonagricultural establishments who worked or received pay during the week which includes the 12th of the month. Self-employed, domestic services, and personnel of the armed forces are excluded. Data are not seasonally adjusted.

\* Published in cooperation with the Bureau of Labor Statistics.

(p) Subject to revision. (r) Revised.

LARAMIE COUNTY	Employ	Total Emp NOV 00	DEC 99		
	DEC00(p)	<u>NOV00(r)</u>	<u>DEC 99</u>	<u>DEC 00</u>	DEC 00
FOTAL NONAG. WAGE & SALARY EMPLOYMENT	36.9	36.8	36.0	0.3	2.5
TOTAL GOODS PRODUCING	4.0	4.1	4.1	-2.4	-2.4
Mining & Construction	2.3	2.4	2.5	-4.2	-8.0
Manufacturing	1.7	1.7	1.6	0.0	6.2
TOTAL SERVICE PRODUCING	32.9	32.7	31.9	0.6	3.1
Transportation & Public Utilities	2.9	2.9	2.9	0.0	0.0
Irade	8.9	8.9	8.7	0.0	2.3
Wholesale I rade	0.8	8.0	0.8	0.0	0.0
Ketali I rade	8.1	8.1	1.9	0.0	2.5
Convices	1./	0.1	1.0	1.0	0.2
Total Covernment	11.2	0.1	11.0	1.2	1.0
Federal Government	2.4	2.4	2.4	0.9	1.0
State Government	2.7	33	2.7	0.0	0.0
Local Government	5.5	5.4	5.3	1.9	3.8
NATRONA COUNTY*					
TOTAL NONAG. WAGE & SALARY					
MPLOYMENT	32.1	32.1	31.1	0.0	3.2
TOTAL GOODS PRODUCING	5.3	5.5	5.1	-3.6	3.9
Mining	2.0	2.0	1.8	0.0	11.1
Construction	1.7	1.9	1.8	-10.5	-5.6
Manufacturing	1.6	1.6	1.5	0.0	6.7
TOTAL SERVICE PRODUCING	26.8	26.6	26.0	0.8	3.1
Transportation & Public Utilities	1.7	1.7	1.6	0.0	6.2
Transportation	1.2	1.2	1.0	0.0	20.0
Communications & Public Utilities	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.0	-16.7
I rade	8.8	8.8	8.7	0.0	1.1
WITUIesale Trade	2.3	2.3	2.3	0.0	1.0
Finance Incurance & Real Estate	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	1.0
Somicae	1.5	1.3	0.1	1.1	2.2
Parsonal & Rusinass Sanvinas	2.0	9.3 2.2	2.0	-01	0.0
Health Services	3.0	3.0	2.0	0.0	3.4
Government	5.6	5.5	5.4	1.8	3.7
Federal Government	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.0	0.0
State Government	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.0	0.0
Local Government	4.3	4.2	4.1	2.4	4.9
Local Education	3.0	2.9	3.0	3.4	0.0

#### Nonagricultural Employment Growth (Percent Change over Previous Year)

![](_page_14_Figure_11.jpeg)

# Wyoming Economic Indicators by: Julie Barnish, Statistical Technician

#### "When compared with December 1999, the consumer price index rose 3.4 percent in December 2000."

	December	N ovem ber	December	Percen	t Change
	2000	2000	1999	Month	Year
	(p)	(r)	(b)_		
Wyoming Total Civilian Labor Force(1)	261,999	264,125	260,091	-0.8	0.7
Ú nem ployed	9,752	9,404	11,540	3.7	-15.5
Employed	252,247	254,721	248,551	-1.0	1.5
Wyoming Unemployment Rate/Seas. Adj.	3.7%/3.7%	3.6%/3.8%	4.4%/4.4%	N/A	N/A
U.S. Unemployment Rate/Seas. Adj.	3.7%/4.0%	3.8%/4.0%	3.7%/4.1%	N/A	N/A
U.S. Multiple Jobholders	7,735,000	7,455,000	8,037,000	3.8	-3.8
As a percent of all workers	5.7%	5.5%	6.0%	N/A	N/A
U.S. Discouraged Workers	265,000	234,000	267,000	13.2	-0.7
U.S. Part Time for Economic Reasons	3,246,000	3,241,000	3,332,000	0.2	-2.6
Hours & Earnings for Production Workers					
W yoming Mining					
Average Weekly Earnings	\$859.13	\$879.97	\$884.52	-2.4	-2.9
Average Weekly Hours	44.7	45.5	45.5	-1.8	-1.8
U.S. Mining Hours & Earnings					
Average Weekly Earnings	\$765.78	\$769.41	\$763.24	-0.5	0.3
Average Weekly Hours	44.6	45.1	44.4	-1.1	0.5
Wyoming Manufacturing Hours & Earnings					
Average Weekly Earnings	\$623.15	\$598.69	\$600.46	4.1	3.8
Average Weekly Hours	40.1	38.7	39.4	3.6	1.8
U.S. Manufacturing Hours & Earnings					
Average Weekly Earnings	\$607.52	\$608.19	\$603.50	-0.1	0.7
Average Weekly Hours	41.3	41.6	42.5	-0.7	-2.8
W yom ing Unemployment Insurance					
Weeks Compensated (2)	12,973	9,504	12,164	36.5	6.7
Benefits Paid	\$2,627,681	\$1,894,695	\$2,210,676	38.7	18.9
Average Weekly Benefits Payment	\$202.55	\$199.36	\$181.74	1.6	11.5
State Insured Covered Jobs (1)	214,088	214,139	211,616	-0.0	1.2
Insured Unemployment Rate	1.7%	1.3%	1.5%	N/A	N/A
Consumer Price Index (U) for All U.S. Urban Consumers (1982	to $1984 = 100$ )				
All Items	174.0	174.1	168.3	-0.1	3.4
Food & Beverages	170.5	169.5	165.9	0.6	2.8
Housing	171.9	171.6	164.8	0.2	4.3
Apparel	127.8	131.8	130.1	-3.0	-1.8
Transportation	154.4	155.2	148.3	-0.5	4.1
Medical Care	264.8	264.1	254.2	0.3	4.2
Recreation (Dec. 1997=100)	103.7	103.7	102.0	0.0	1.7
Education & Communication (Dec. 1997=100)	103.6	103.2	102.3	0.4	1.3
Other Goods & Services	274.0	276.2	263.0	-0.8	4.2
Producer Prices (1982 to 1984 = 100)					
All Commodities	135.7	134.6	127.8	0.8	6.2
W yoming Building Permits					
New Privately Owned Housing Units Authorized	56	114	120	-50.9	-53.3
Valuation	\$9,742,000	\$14,405,000	\$10,731,000	-32.4	-9.2

(p) Preliminary. (r) Revised. (b) Benchmarked. (1) Local Area Unemployment Statistics Program estimates. (2) Not normalized.

![](_page_15_Figure_7.jpeg)

## Wyoming County Unemployment Rates

by: Brad Payne, Senior Statistician

"In December 2000, each region in Wyoming posted over-the-year decreases in the unemployment rate."

	La	Labor Force			Employed			employ	ed	<b>Unemployment Rates</b>		
<b>REGION</b> County	Dec 2000	Nov 2000	Dec 1999	Dec 2000	Nov 2000	Dec 1999	Dec 2000	Nov 2000	Dec 1999	Dec 2000	Nov 2000	Dec 1999
,	(p)	(r)	(b)	(p)	(r)	(b)	(p)	(r)	(b)	(p)	(r)	(b)
NORTHWEST	45,295	45,918	46,205	42,875	43,677	43,507	2,420	2,241	2,698	5.3	4.9	5.8
Big Horn	5,929	6,052	5,846	5,639	5,784	5,471	290	268	375	4.9	4.4	6.4
Fremont	17,795	18,068	18,162	16,577	16,937	16,860	1,218	1,131	1,302	6.8	6.3	7.2
Hot Springs	2,397	2,413	2,453	2,307	2,337	2,354	90	76	99	3.8	3.1	4.0
Park	14,256	14,442	14,765	13,613	13,841	14,072	643	601	693	4.5	4.2	4.7
Washakie	4,918	4,943	4,979	4,739	4,778	4,750	179	165	229	3.6	3.3	4.6
NORTHEAST	44,380	44,910	44,141	42,835	43,458	42,262	1,545	1,452	1,879	3.5	3.2	4.3
Campbell	20,505	20,760	19,956	19,902	20,156	19,183	603	604	773	2.9	2.9	3.9
Crook	2,925	3,017	3,056	2,826	2,914	2,887	99	103	169	3.4	3.4	5.5
Johnson	3,776	3,896	3,820	3,671	3,784	3,685	105	112	135	2.8	2.9	3.5
Sheridan	13,827	13,839	13,888	13,240	13,333	13,253	587	506	635	4.2	3.7	4.6
Weston	3,347	3,398	3,421	3,196	3,271	3,254	151	127	167	4.5	3.7	4.9
SOUTHWEST	52,932	52,668	51,985	51,042	50,721	49,535	1,890	1,947	2,450	3.6	3.7	4.7
Lincoln	6,730	6,827	6,471	6,396	6,563	6,070	334	264	401	5.0	3.9	6.2
Sublette	2,970	3,108	3,016	2,905	3,053	2,938	65	55	78	2.2	1.8	2.6
Sweetwater	20,601	20,573	20,884	19,738	19,737	19,733	863	836	1,151	4.2	4.1	5.5
Teton	12,154	11,487	10,826	11,956	11,149	10,566	198	338	260	1.6	2.9	2.4
Uinta	10,477	10,673	10,788	10,047	10,219	10,228	430	454	560	4.1	4.3	5.2
SOUTHEAST	70,552	71,134	69,128	68,633	69,399	67,049	1,919	1,735	2,079	2.7	2.4	3.0
Albany	17,818	18,026	17,598	17,504	17,724	17,319	314	302	279	1.8	1.7	1.6
Goshen	6,512	6,649	6,614	6,323	6,493	6,450	189	156	164	2.9	2.3	2.5
Laramie	40,421	40,597	39,061	39,233	39,527	37,634	1,188	1,070	1,427	2.9	2.6	3.7
Niobrara	1,278	1,291	1,314	1,224	1,253	1,285	54	38	29	4.2	2.9	2.2
Platte	4,523	4,571	4,541	4,349	4,402	4,361	174	169	180	3.8	3.7	4.0
CENTRAL	48,840	49,499	48,634	46,862	47,469	46,199	1,978	2,030	2,435	4.0	4.1	5.0
Carbon	8,091	8,270	8,195	7,723	7,922	7,802	368	348	393	4.5	4.2	4.8
Converse	6,505	6,646	6,680	6,223	6,395	6,297	282	251	383	4.3	3.8	5.7
Natrona	34,244	34,583	33,759	32,916	33,152	32,100	1,328	1,431	1,659	3.9	4.1	4.9
STATEWIDE	261,999	264,125	260,091	252,247	254,721	248,551	9,752	9,404	11,540	3.7	3.6	4.4
Statewide Season	nally Adjuste	ed								3.7	3.8	4.4
U.S										3.7	3.8	3.7
U.S. Seasonally A	djusted									4.0	4.0	4.1

Prepared in cooperation with the Bureau of Labor Statistics. Benchmarked 02/00. Run Date 01/01. Data are not seasonally adjusted except where otherwise specified.

(p) Preliminary. (r) Revised. (b) Benchmarked.

NOTE: The Current Population Survey (CPS) estimated the 1999 annual average Wyoming unemployment rate at 4.9 percent.

The 90 percent confidence interval for this estimate suggests that in 9 out of 10 cases, the interval 4.3 to 5.5 percent would contain the actual rate.

#### Wyoming Normalized Unemployment Insurance Statistics: Initial Claims

by: Rich Peters, Unemployment Insurance Analyst

"Statewide, initial claims for Mining, Manufacturing, and Finance, Insurance, & Real Estate increased over 50 percent from December 1999 to December 2000."

![](_page_17_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_6.jpeg)

				Percent C	Change
	C	aims Filad		NOV 00	
	DEC 00	NOV 00	DEC 99	DEC 00	DEC 00
WYOMING STATEWIDE					
TOTAL CLAIMS FILED	2,551	2,528	2,423	0.9	5.3
TOTAL GOODS PRODUCING	1,425	1,177	1,242	21.1	14.7
Mining	181	118	117	53.4	54.7
Oil & Gas Extraction	101	61	87	65.6	16.1
Construction	1,077	965	1,036	11.6	4.0
Manutacturing	167	94	89	77.7	87.6
Transportation Communications & Public Utilities	919	1144	947	-19.7	-3.0
Transportation	83	61	66	36.1	25.8
Communications & Public Utilities	22	15	14	46.7	57.1
Trade	265	306	284	-13.4	-6.7
Wholesale Trade	32	27	40	18.5	-20.0
Retail Trade	233	279	244	-16.5	-4.5
Finance, Insurance & Real Estate	37	29	17	27.6	117.6
Services	364	520	387	-30.0	-5.9
Health Services	23	3/	24	-4.1	-1.7
Government	148	213	179	-30.5	-17.3
Local Government	47	61	59	-23.0	-20.3
Local Education	10	11	16	-9.1	-37.5
UNCLASSIFIED	207	207	234	0.0	-11.5
LARAMIE COUNTY					
TOTAL CLAIMS FILED	361	269	279	34.2	29.4
TOTAL GOODS PRODUCING	212	144	171	47.2	24.0
Mining	15	2	3	650.0	400.0
UI & Gas Extraction	1	0	150	0.0	-50.0
Construction Manufacturing	108	135	10	24.4	0.3 100.0
	130	110	92	18.2	41.3
Transportation, Communications & Public Utilities	23	15	13	53.3	76.9
Transportation	9	11	9	-18.2	0.0
Communications & Public Utilities	14	4	4	250.0	250.0
Trade	36	29	26	24.1	38.5
Wholesale Trade	2	4	8	-50.0	-75.0
Finance, Incurance & Real Estate	34	20	10	30.U 166.7	300.0
Services	50	55	35	-9.1	42.9
Personal & Business Services	26	17	17	52.9	52.9
Health Services	4	9	2	-55.6	100.0
Government	13	8	16	62.5	-18.8
Local Government	4	3	4	33.3	0.0
	10	2	3	0.0	0.0
	15	15	10	20.7	10.0
NATRONA COUNTY					
TOTAL CLAIMS FILED	327	320	356	2.2	-8.1
TOTAL GOODS PRODUCING	225	181	222	24.3	1.4
Mining	20	17	19	17.6	5.3
UII & Gas Extraction	1/	14	15	21.4	13.3
Manufacturing	100	10	6	90.0	216.7
TOTAL SERVICE PRODUCING	93	122	115	-23.8	-19.1
Transportation, Communications & Public Utilities	7	11	10	-36.4	-30.0
Transportation	6	9	9	-33.3	-33.3
Communications & Public Utilities	1	2	1	-50.0	0.0
Trade	37	27	42	37.0	-11.9
Wholesale I rade Retail Trade	6	4	8	50.0	-25.0
Finance Insurance & Real Estate	31 5	23	34 5	-28.6	0.0- 0.0
Services	42	60	49	-30.0	-14.3
Personal & Business Services	10	23	16	-56.5	-37.5
Health Services	10	4	6	150.0	66.7
Government	2	17	9	-88.2	-77.8
Local Government	1	11	3	-90.9	-66.7
	U	17	10	0.0	0.0
	э	17	19	-47.1	-92.0

#### Wyoming Normalized Unemployment Insurance Statistics: Continued Claims

by: Rich Peters, Unemployment Insurance Analyst

"Statewide unique claimants increased 20.3 percent from December 1999 to December 2000."

	0 DEC 00	Claims Filed	DEC 99	Percent C <u>Claims</u> NOV 00 DFC 00	Change <u>Filed</u> DEC 99 DEC 00	Continued Unemployment Insurance Claims by Major Industry December 2000
WYOMING STATEWIDE		101.00	22000	<u></u>	22000	Mining
TOTAL WEEKS CLAIMED TOTAL UNIQUE CLAIMANTS	15,812 <b>5,234</b>	11,589 <b>3,539</b>	13,102 <b>4,351</b>	36.4 <b>47.9</b>	20.7 <b>20.3</b>	Construction
TOTAL GOODS PRODUCING	6,859	4,343	5,132	57.9	33.7	Manufacturing
Mining Oil & Gas Extraction Construction	708 356 5.444	550 301 3 321	566 353 4 103	28.7 18.3 63.9	25.1 0.8 32.7	Е тср∪* 1999
Manufacturing TOTAL SERVICE PRODUCING	707 7,600	472 6,260	463 6,847	49.8 21.4	52.7 11.0	Trade
Transportation, Communications & Public Utilities Transportation	507 370	362 258	447 383	40.1 43.4	13.4 -3.4	FIRE**
Communications & Public Utilities Trade	137 1,916	104 1,693	64 1,838	31.7 13.2	114.1 4.2	Services
Wholesale Trade Retail Trade	228 1,688	228 1,465	279 1,559	0.0 15.2	-18.3 8.3	Government
Services Personal & Business Services	3,243 831	2,721	3,067 766	22.3 19.2 41.6	5.7 8.5	Unclassified
Health Services Government	234 1,682	240 1,278	214 1,334	-2.5 31.6	9.3 26.1	0 1,000 2,000 3,000 4,000 5,000 6,000
Local Government Local Education	472 140	374 125	421 119	26.2 12.0	12.1 17.6	Weeks Claimed
UNCLASSIFIED	1,353	986	1,123	37.2	20.5	* Transportation, Communications, & Public Utilities. ** Finance, Insurance, & Real Estate.
LARAMIE COUNTY						
TOTAL WEEKS CLAIMED TOTAL UNIQUE CLAIMANTS	1,774 595	1,247 <b>387</b>	1,456 <b>500</b>	42.3 <b>53.7</b>	21.8 <b>19.0</b>	Continued Unemployment Insurance Claims by REGION and County December 2000
TOTAL GOODS PRODUCING Mining	808 24	438 24	705 6	84.5 0.0	14.6 300.0	NORTHWEST
Oil & Gas Extraction Construction	0 729	0 381	3 683	0.0 91.3	0.0 6.7	Big Horn
Manufacturing	55	33	16	66.7	243.8	Fremont Hat Springe
Transportation, Communications & Public Utilities	840 101	720 91	627 81	16.7	34.0 24.7	Park
Transportation Communications & Public Utilities	75 26	68 23	71 10	10.3 13.0	5.6 160.0	Washakie
Trade Who less la Trada	225	214	188	5.1	19.7	NORTHEAST
Retail Trade	28 197	30 184	29 159	-6.7 7.1	-3.4 23.9	
Finance, Insurance & Real Estate	66 245	68 241	35	-2.9	88.6 52.7	Johnson
Personal & Business Services	145	85	67	70.6	116.4	Sheridan
Health Services Government	58 103	55 106	26 97	5.5 -2.8	123.1 6.2	Weston
Local Government	30	19	21	57.9	42.9	SOUTHWEST
Local Education	11 126	9 89	5 124	22.2 41.6	120.0 1.6	
	120	00		11.0	1.0	Z Sweetwater
NATRONA COUNTY						C Teton
TOTAL WEEKS CLAIMED TOTAL UNIQUE CLAIMANTS	2,045 <b>701</b>	1,614 509	1,780 <b>625</b>	26.7 <b>37.7</b>	14.9 <b>12.2</b>	SOUTHEAST
TOTAL GOODS PRODUCING	1,010	729	848	38.5	19.1	Albany
Mining Oil & Gas Extraction	125	116	94 50	7.8	33.0 76.0	Laramie
Construction	799	530	673	50.8	18.7	Niobrara
Manufacturing	86	83	81	3.6	6.2	Platte
Transportation, Communications & Public Utilities	908	74	58	23.0	56.9	CENTRAL
Transportation	44	27	44	63.0	0.0	Carbon
Trade	47 263	47 256	14 290	0.0 2.7	∠35.7 -9.3	Natrona
Wholesale Trade	61	72	68	-15.3	-10.3	OTHER
Finance, Insurance & Real Estate	202	184 20	222	9.8 80.0	-9.0 -28.0	Out of State
Services	404	295	357	36.9	13.2	Unknown (WY)
Personal & Business Services Health Services	165 48	120 42	162 40	37.5 14 3	1.9 _2 n	
Government	174	171	81	1.8	114.8	0 300 600 900 1,200 1,500 1,800 2,100
Local Government	44	41	41	7.3	7.3	Weeks Claimed
LOCAL EQUICATION	16	18	9	-11.1	20.2	Weeks chamieu

February 2001

After 5 Days Return to: Wyoming Department of Employment Research & Planning P.O. Box 2760 Casper, WY 82602

Official Business Penalty for Private Use \$300

![](_page_19_Picture_2.jpeg)